

THE
P R E C U R S O R,

DEVOTED TO
THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PHONETIC ORTHOGRAPHY.

FOR THE YEAR 1852.

L O N D O N.

FRED PITMAN, 20, PATERNOSTER ROW.

1852..

CONTRIBUTORS.

[During the transition from the old to the new Phonetic Alphabet, romanio spelling is employed in the title page and index of the present volume. The numbers refer to the paragraphs, except in a few cases where the page (p) is given.]

Andrews L. P. p. 95	Imperial Dict. ^s 56, 100, 118
Booth B. S. 50	Innes Samuel, 21
Bullock Geo. jr. p. 95	Lang Thomas 1
Cumin Dr. 156	Lunn W. A. 278
Diack J. G. 182	Nichols R. C. p. 93
Dornbusch Geo. p. 137	Ogle Thomas, p. 141
Douglas Carstairs p. 135	Parkillo Leroy 35
Edmondson Joseph, 277	Mathews W. S., 161
Haldeman Prof. 17	Silver W. 135
Henry John, 183	Smalley D. S. 38
Imbert A., p. 94	Stokes Adrian, 51

INDEX.

- Alphabet, new phonetic, 2, 17, 48, 79, 132, p 129,
210, 278
- Alphabet, new arrangement of, 161
- Capitals, on, 156, p 137
- Cases, phonetic, for compositors, p 148
- Council, the Phonetic, 185
- Crystal Palace, 216
- Diphthongs, on the representation of, 133
- Elphinstone's Phonotypy, or "Propriety ascertained
in her Picture, 83, 173, p 142, 238
- Franklin Benjamin, 180, p 142
- Langham Robert, 177
- Letters X, 0, 158, 159
- Longhand, phonetic, 71, 116, p 92
- Operative Classes of Great Britain and Ireland,
intellectual state of, p 55, 142, p 81
- Phonographic Improvements, 1, 55
- "Phonography" and "Phonotypy" in the Imp. Dict. 129
- Printing, phonetic, 213
- Pronunciation, remarks on, 56, 100, 118
- Reading, phonetic, 255, 265
- Reform, the phonetic, 272
- Scotch i, 8, 182, 183
- Storrs, Nathaniel, of Boston, U. S. who bequeathed
ten thousand dollars for the publication of a phonetic
dictionary of the English Language, 38
- Syllables, division of, 60
- Vowel scale, English character of i, ee, aa, p 129

Spellings.

a in mat. and mare, 50

a in pass, mass, master, &c. p 93

a, o, or ah, au before f in after &c. 25

ar, or, 21

ar, er, or, ur, yr, final, 100

Article a, 33

Article the, 33, 35

be-, de-, re-, se-, &c. 76

c and g followed by ar, as in cart or cyart, 108

ch or sh in French, 28, 70

d or j in obedience, &c. 104

de-, di-, &c. 26

dis, 29

er, ur, 22, 36, 283

ery or ry in bravery &c. 118

i in ability, 56, 59, 71

le, 31, 141

n or ng in ink, concord, &c. 115

o, American short, 51

ö or ö in consonant, &c. 37

öö in book &c. 69

s or z in possess, 110

-sure, 211, 277

t or ch in nature &c. 24, 103, 154

to, the preposition, 33

Treatise, 212

u in union &c. 111

y or i in Christian, &c. 32

١. ٢. ٣. ٤. ٥. ٦. ٧. ٨. ٩. ١٠. ١١. ١٢. ١٣. ١٤. ١٥. ١٦. ١٧. ١٨. ١٩. ٢٠. ٢١. ٢٢. ٢٣. ٢٤. ٢٥. ٢٦. ٢٧. ٢٨. ٢٩. ٣٠. ٣١. ٣٢. ٣٣. ٣٤. ٣٥. ٣٦. ٣٧. ٣٨. ٣٩. ٤٠. ٤١. ٤٢. ٤٣. ٤٤. ٤٥. ٤٦. ٤٧. ٤٨. ٤٩. ٥٠. ٥١. ٥٢. ٥٣. ٥٤. ٥٥. ٥٦. ٥٧. ٥٨. ٥٩. ٦٠. ٦١. ٦٢. ٦٣. ٦٤. ٦٥. ٦٦. ٦٧. ٦٨. ٦٩. ٧٠. ٧١. ٧٢. ٧٣. ٧٤. ٧٥. ٧٦. ٧٧. ٧٨. ٧٩. ٨٠. ٨١. ٨٢. ٨٣. ٨٤. ٨٥. ٨٦. ٨٧. ٨٨. ٨٩. ٩٠. ٩١. ٩٢. ٩٣. ٩٤. ٩٥. ٩٦. ٩٧. ٩٨. ٩٩. ١٠٠.

٥. ٦. ٧. ٨. ٩. ١٠. ١١. ١٢. ١٣. ١٤. ١٥. ١٦. ١٧. ١٨. ١٩. ٢٠. ٢١. ٢٢. ٢٣. ٢٤. ٢٥. ٢٦. ٢٧. ٢٨. ٢٩. ٣٠. ٣١. ٣٢. ٣٣. ٣٤. ٣٥. ٣٦. ٣٧. ٣٨. ٣٩. ٤٠. ٤١. ٤٢. ٤٣. ٤٤. ٤٥. ٤٦. ٤٧. ٤٨. ٤٩. ٥٠. ٥١. ٥٢. ٥٣. ٥٤. ٥٥. ٥٦. ٥٧. ٥٨. ٥٩. ٦٠. ٦١. ٦٢. ٦٣. ٦٤. ٦٥. ٦٦. ٦٧. ٦٨. ٦٩. ٧٠. ٧١. ٧٢. ٧٣. ٧٤. ٧٥. ٧٦. ٧٧. ٧٨. ٧٩. ٨٠. ٨١. ٨٢. ٨٣. ٨٤. ٨٥. ٨٦. ٨٧. ٨٨. ٨٩. ٩٠. ٩١. ٩٢. ٩٣. ٩٤. ٩٥. ٩٦. ٩٧. ٩٨. ٩٩. ١٠٠.

١٠١. ١٠٢. ١٠٣. ١٠٤. ١٠٥. ١٠٦. ١٠٧. ١٠٨. ١٠٩. ١١٠.

١١١. ١١٢. ١١٣. ١١٤. ١١٥. ١١٦. ١١٧. ١١٨. ١١٩. ١٢٠. ١٢١. ١٢٢. ١٢٣. ١٢٤. ١٢٥. ١٢٦. ١٢٧. ١٢٨. ١٢٩. ١٣٠. ١٣١. ١٣٢. ١٣٣. ١٣٤. ١٣٥. ١٣٦. ١٣٧. ١٣٨. ١٣٩. ١٤٠. ١٤١. ١٤٢. ١٤٣. ١٤٤. ١٤٥. ١٤٦. ١٤٧. ١٤٨. ١٤٩. ١٥٠. ١٥١. ١٥٢. ١٥٣. ١٥٤. ١٥٥. ١٥٦. ١٥٧. ١٥٨. ١٥٩. ١٦٠. ١٦١. ١٦٢. ١٦٣. ١٦٤. ١٦٥. ١٦٦. ١٦٧. ١٦٨. ١٦٩. ١٧٠. ١٧١. ١٧٢. ١٧٣. ١٧٤. ١٧٥. ١٧٦. ١٧٧. ١٧٨. ١٧٩. ١٨٠. ١٨١. ١٨٢. ١٨٣. ١٨٤. ١٨٥. ١٨٦. ١٨٧. ١٨٨. ١٨٩. ١٩٠. ١٩١. ١٩٢. ١٩٣. ١٩٤. ١٩٥. ١٩٦. ١٩٧. ١٩٨. ١٩٩. ٢٠٠.

٢٠١. ٢٠٢. ٢٠٣. ٢٠٤. ٢٠٥. ٢٠٦. ٢٠٧. ٢٠٨. ٢٠٩. ٢١٠. ٢١١. ٢١٢. ٢١٣. ٢١٤. ٢١٥. ٢١٦. ٢١٧. ٢١٨. ٢١٩. ٢٢٠. ٢٢١. ٢٢٢. ٢٢٣. ٢٢٤. ٢٢٥. ٢٢٦. ٢٢٧. ٢٢٨. ٢٢٩. ٢٣٠. ٢٣١. ٢٣٢. ٢٣٣. ٢٣٤. ٢٣٥. ٢٣٦. ٢٣٧. ٢٣٨. ٢٣٩. ٢٤٠. ٢٤١. ٢٤٢. ٢٤٣. ٢٤٤. ٢٤٥. ٢٤٦. ٢٤٧. ٢٤٨. ٢٤٩. ٢٥٠. ٢٥١. ٢٥٢. ٢٥٣. ٢٥٤. ٢٥٥. ٢٥٦. ٢٥٧. ٢٥٨. ٢٥٩. ٢٦٠. ٢٦١. ٢٦٢. ٢٦٣. ٢٦٤. ٢٦٥. ٢٦٦. ٢٦٧. ٢٦٨. ٢٦٩. ٢٧٠. ٢٧١. ٢٧٢. ٢٧٣. ٢٧٤. ٢٧٥. ٢٧٦. ٢٧٧. ٢٧٨. ٢٧٩. ٢٨٠. ٢٨١. ٢٨٢. ٢٨٣. ٢٨٤. ٢٨٥. ٢٨٦. ٢٨٧. ٢٨٨. ٢٨٩. ٢٩٠. ٢٩١. ٢٩٢. ٢٩٣. ٢٩٤. ٢٩٥. ٢٩٦. ٢٩٧. ٢٩٨. ٢٩٩. ٣٠٠.

o l y, batbatrus, denjerus, " o d, i n V ~ e
apl. gapel x " d, " e d, l h ~ v ~ \ M. f -
~ , f. m, f. s, f. y, f. y, - \ h ~
o ~ h ~ v, f. y b. el x ~ b d, " d el, e
u. d, e, / ~ t ~ s ~ \ ~ e x o . i . y ~
the, p ~ e ~ de ~ , f de h. e. di h. y,
~ , e . h . Cu d. f x ~ u h ~ ~ d i e g,
de cup, . y . f . s . l 2 3 ~ , y b . l . b ~
y, o . ~ ~ , . b ~ b ~ x ~ e , . f .
f ~ b ~ , ~ ~ e , . x ~

- Leroi Patilo, ~ , f; 21 h, 1891 x " d h ~
e, o, l ~ i, d, " o ~ h ~ ~ l . d ~ e,
e ~ e ~ h ~ , ~ A. C y x ~
d ~ b ~ ~ e ~ e ~ d ~ y, . b ~
y ~ b ~ ~ h ~ , ~ e ~ h ~
" y ~ , . ~ e ~ y ~ l ~ ~
h ~ o ~ h ~ d i ~ y, , ~ e ~
~ , y ~ (the) o ~ d ~ . l ~ de h b
d i x ~ d i ~ d ~ h ~ , l ~ d e,
~ ~ ~ ~ y x ~ l ~ l ~
v ~ , " . t ~ e ~ d i, y b de d i x
~ ~ ~ e ~ , u r, ~ v ~
~ o ~ , o ~ u r d, s u r, h u r, . u r ~ e ~ ,

P R E C U R S U R .

Conducted by Isaac Pitman, Bqt Bath :

LONDON, Fred Pitman, Fench Depo, 20 Paternoster Row.
BOSTON, U.S. Otis Clap, 23, Seuil Street.

FEBRUARY, 1852.

Nathaniel Storrs, of Boston, U.S.

D. S. Smalley, 2. (1. 3, 2, 4, 5)
1. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100.

1. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100.

1. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100.

1. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100.

Vowels.

Иа 2 q, ay C, o pane pan pgn

Ее 2 e, ec' ay', pen pen pen

Аа 2 a, ah C, part part part

Аа 2 a, ac' ah', man man man

Вв 2 v, aw C, hall hvl hvl

Өө 2 o, oc' aw', not net not

Ее 2 e, e C, meet met met

Ии 2 i, ic' i', mit mit mit

Оо 2 o, o C, note not not

Өө 2 o, oc' o', coat cot cot

Фф 2 f, φ C, moon mqn mqn

Өө 2 o, oc' o' book boc boc

Уу 2 u, ut' u', but but but

Diphthongs.

Yy 2 y, æ (eye) mine min myn

Оо 2 o, vi (oy) noise noz noz

Уу 2 u, a o (ow) now nr nr

Уу 2 u, eo (ew) view vr vr

Yy 2 y, æ (eye) mine min myn

fat, u but, = äur = at, at, hat, b. x

ur, a b. x

ur, a b. x

Consonants.

Бб 2 b, che, church btlb btlb

Шш 2 h, she, shame ham hgm

Тт 2 t, the, thine tln tyn

Фф 2 f, thed, thin tin tin

Фф 2 f, thed, thin tin tin

Зз 2 z, ze, zone zon zon

Зз 2 z, ze, zone zon zon

Тт 2 t, thed, thin tin tin

Simple Consonants.

b d f g h l m n p p r s t l t v w p y z

ng = eng, e' ng' sing.

Compound Consonants.

b represents the sound of tvy,

as hat-yet - habet

j is composed of dvy, as did-yit-djit

h represents svy, as nas-yun-nafun

z is formed of zvy, as viz-yun=vizun

T is syllabic, and represents utr, at

ur, a b. x

fat, u but, = äur = at, at, hat, b. x

ur, a b. x

ur, a b. x

Observations on the Letters ω , \varnothing .

The "Phonetic Journal" for 31st Jan. contains a proposal from M^r. E. B. Celi, tu de Phonetic Council, tu aer de vsel tyfs ω & for de vsel in saw, so, insted ov \varnothing (formerli θ) \varnothing . Der ar meni Speliſ Reformers, and ardent wunz ty, hy sel-dum rjt a tſn in Phonetic Loſhand, but con-ſſn der practis tu fonetic forthand, and loſhand rjtiz in di old speliſ. Sug persons, in weiz de comparativ merits ov diferent alfabet, pas ty ol considerens ov iz and simetri in fonetic loſhand; but dis is veri ſort-ſited. If de Speliſ Reform iz tu bi a rial Reform, de sem cer fud bi biſtod upon de loſhand alfabet dat haz bin givn tu de forthand alfabet, for de smoleſt overſt hir, bicaum multiplid in its evil conſequenceſ Ory an indefinit pſriod ov tſm. In tyfografi wi tſc de sober lue ov \varnothing for de modest vſel in so, ſociety, mellow, and der iz harmoni bitwin de brod form ω for de vſel in ſought, and de compact \varnothing for de vſel in no. It iz also no ſlit recomendefun ov de plan, dat ty it wi rjt 5 & tu 1 ω , hwera

48 by the arrangement of, ω , we have to get 5.02 to 10_x
 saw so

49 Bi onli dōt wi hav ar tu de proprijetē ov de plan, arjres from de us ov ω by de Grics. On dis objecsjen wi me observ dat de Gric ω and de Englij. tjp ω ar so far unlyc dat in Dr. Comstock's Phonetic Alphabet ω is used in saw, and he mecs a veriefjen ov de Gric ω, dus ω for de vcel in so. If der ar practical considerations for usij ω in saw, wi onli dy, in de vcel twot wi hav alredy daen in de consonants, nemli, slytli genje de form ov a Gric letter, and put it tu anuder us. Wi hav genjd de Gric Σ tu Σ, and alterd its sūd from S tu s.

50 From B. L. Booth, 1, 25, 1851, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785, 786, 787, 788, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 799, 800, 801, 802, 803, 804, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836,

- 65 . 1st - a b ' e o - at x . h y o n e s s o ' n e s
 2nd . 1st nes, 2nd nis, o blessednes, blessednis x
 3rd . 1st b - o t i - less, 2nd me l i s, o
 blamelis, 3rd . 1st - e b ' h e x b h e o . ~
 2, 2 2 2 2, . h y . ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x
 66 ~ b h ' e b ' h e b h e , ') 2 . e (
 ~ b 2 h 2 . h x . 2 ' b h e , ' h 2 .
 ~ ~ h 1 b ' e ,) . e , / ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
 ~ b x . ~ b ' h e p o t i o n , . (b h e ,
 . h ' h e ~ ~ ~ h x . ~ . e b .
 b - , ' - h ' p h e - h e , ~ ~ (h e o
 e e . ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ p o - ~ h e ' a ~
 . ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ h 2 1 2 e 2 . 2 .
 h x ~ h o ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ h e ' h e
 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ b e b h e x
 67 o ~ ~ h e g 1 o . 2 , ' 2 h o h e
 o 2 , ' . e . h e b h e . ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
 h e p h x
 68 1st . o e . a / e) o (~ / a . o . o - b
 2 e , o ' h e 1 - father, psalm, calm x . 2 .
 ~ ~ ~ b e , ' 2 2 3 ~ ~ ~ , ~
 ~ ~ a b ' e , o - fat, bat, fancy, e ,
 ~ ~ ~ h e p - (1 b h e x b h e . 2 .
 ~ ~ ~ x

2x . 7i . . e . oo 5 2, . o p . p . i . . a .
 1 oo - A 4 k, . . 2 2x 7 . p . j . C . 2 e
 . oo 0 7, . 6) . . - 7i . 2, . 5 . 2 e .
 8 2 e, . wool, wood, good, hood, foot, stood, under-
 stood, & withstood x (20 307x) 1 2 2 7i . . 2 4 . 8
 - 7i, . 1 5 . oo - book, took, cook, . 2 7i 2, . 2
 e o - cool, boom, boot, food x 7i . 7i 7i 6 7i,
 . - 7i - 7i - 7i x

3x . 7i ch - bench, bunch, clinch, drench, inch,
 bench, wrench, . 2 2, 7i 2 . 2y e, (°; e
 sh, 7i ch, o bench, inch, 7i x 1 . 2 4 6 . 2
 - 7i 7i, (°) 1 2 7i . 2 7i 7i, 7i
 7i, 7i 7i 7i - 7i, 1 - 2 2 6 x 7i, 7i
 . 6 2 . 7i 7i 7i 7i x

4x 7i 7i, (7i 7i . e . i . y 2 - 7i
 7i, 1 7i . 7i 7i 7i e C - me, mete, 0 7i
 7i - 7i, . 2 7i 7i 7i 7i 7i x 7i 7i i
 7i 7i 7i . 7i 7i 7i x 6 0 i ability
 0 2, 7i 7i i, 7i 7i 7i e, o abileete x 7i 7i
 7i x 7i, abilities, 7i 7i 7i, 7i 7i 7i;
 7i 7i 7i 7i; 7i 7i 7i 7i; 7i 7i
 7i, 7i 7i 7i 7i . 7i, . 7i 7i 7i 7i x 7i
 7i 7i y - ability 0 e, 7i 7i 7i 7i x

72

u. l. 6. l. y. ' e. u. l. 6. o. u. 2. y. 2. n, u. u. u. 9. / e.
l. a. e. x.

72

o. u. u. 6. ' e. ' a. b, 2. 6. l. ou, ow, i.
' a, ' aw, ' u. e. ' u. x. ' o. 2. n, about, abound,
round, now, vow, — x. u. abawut, abawund, rawund

nawu, vawu x. 1. 2. / a. 6. uex. y. e); ' a

e. ' a. e. u. e. ' 6. l. y; 6. u. o. l. ' a. 2. ' a. 2.

y. o. aw; 6. e. ' a. u. x. 4. a. x.

6. x. u. 6. ' e. ' u. e. l. y, 6. / l. e. x. 2. 2.

6. u. u. u. u. u. / e, e. l. y. 2. 6. l.

6. x. 6. o. 8. x.

73

Sheridan.

Walker.

Jone

deliverance

deliverance

deliverance

dignitary

dignitary

dignitary

anser

anser

anser

assembled

assembled

assembled

average

average

average

barrin

barrin

barrin

penal

penal

penal

pennance

pennance

pennance

pennytenish

pennytenish

pennytenish

pennytenish

pennytenish

pennytenish

persunidge

persunidge

persunidge

proksymet

proksymet

proksymet

proflyget

proflyget

proflyget

pennetrant

pennetrant

pennetrant

akkusatory

akkusatory

akkusatory

akkusatory

akkusatory

akkusatory

allymunny

allymunny

allymunny

seremunny

seremunny

seremunny

1. a moderate. b moderate.
 2. a moderate. b moderate.
 3. a moderate. b moderate.
 4. a moderate. b moderate.
 5. a moderate. b moderate.
 6. a moderate. b moderate.
 7. a moderate. b moderate.
 8. a moderate. b moderate.
 9. a moderate. b moderate.
 10. a moderate. b moderate.

P R E C U R S U R .

Conducted by Isaac Pitman, Bqt (Bath).

[Faint, illegible handwriting]

LONDON: Fred Pitman, Phonetic Depo. 20 Paternoster Row.

BOSTON, U.S. Otis Clap. 23, School Street.

MAR 6, 1852.

Impruvd Phonetic Loxhand Alphabet.

Uk, Ee, Aq, Oo, Oo, Ul u;
eat, age, alms, all, ope, food,
Ii, Ee, Aa, Oo, Ul u, Ww.
it, edge, am, not, up, foot.

Ii, Yy, Uu.
ice, owl, you.

Cc, Qq, Bb, Ll, Zz, Nn.
such, thin, then, luke, vision, sitting.

together with the old letters

b, c, d, f, g, h, j, l, m, n, p, r, s, t, v, w, y, z.
be, can, do, for, go, he, joy, law, me, no, pie, war, see, to, vice, we, yet, real.

Inli by meni, and loy-continued efforts, can eni
 gnet ware bi effected, and particularli sug a wren
 ar di enlargement ov an Alphabet dat is in us
 bruest di world. Meni yars ov practis, obseverfing
 bot, and invenfing, ar necesari tw perfect sug a wren
 Twi Inglish Phonetic Alphabet, in its many scrip-
 loyhand form, ar hidertw presented, olds supe-
 rior tw enibig els ov di cynd wi hav sin, is un-
 satisfactori in sum points. Di leter "u" is di

sem as "i u" wie di "i" undoted, and it is wel
 non dat mi i rjterre habituati neglect tw dot
 der "j". Der ar abuv 200 Ingglijf wurdz contening
 di leter "i u" in dis porisun, as "fyrinus". If "ui" bi
 yrd for eni leter hwootever, se 1, der wil bi con-
 tinual mistecs in ridiny "fyrinus" as "fyrinus" & etc.
 Di capital ov dis leter, nemli "U" iz di sem ar
 U l x. Ridiny U l ar 1, "Ulfila" mjt bi tezen tw
 bi ider V or W x. It canot bi expected dat
 everi rjter ov fonetic loyhand wil dot everi "i & i",
 and mee a leup tw "l" huven it foloz "U", dus "U l",
 in order tw gard agenst mistecs. Bix sorder ov
 ambiguiti canot bi tolerated for a moment lyger
 dan it is sin hs tw avoid dem. Di leter "x", nuwig
 ecristed in di alfabet ov last yir, it is imposibel
 tw rjt wel and cwidli. It is fortunet for di cor
 ov fonetic speliq oot wi hav no nid tw emploij it.

On di dotiny ov leterz it me bi wel tw observ,
 for di informesun ov doz hae hav not trijd di pian
 ov rjting "i" widst a dot, dat so loy ar di forme
 "m, n, u, x" ar in di alfabet, di praens ov a dot
 tw "i" and "i" is necesari for lejibiliti. Sug a
 wurd ar "mummmmm" is hardli intelijibel:
 aot di "i" and it becums di clier and unmis-
 cabel — mimi-mmmmm. Di leterz "i i" huven
 undoted, ar di sem ar "u", and wi di no minn
 ov daing widst dis leter. If "i i" wer left undoted,
 "hai, siig, flaiig" wud bi red ar bay, daiig, flaiig.
 Di dot ov "i i" (1) is necesari tw distingwif it from
 1 on di rj, u. Di dot ov "j" is necesari bicor in a rapid
 or cooles stiel ar rjting "g" is med lji "f", and den di dot is
 di onli distingefun bitwin "g" and "j". Di uder de di fol-

oig wurd oecurd in an adres — "Kirkgate," hwiç wi sed
as Hergiel, and it ^{woe} onli di absens ov a dot tw j dat sijsted (Hergiel)

62 Di leters w and w l canot bi mestecen, even
if di midel stroc ov w l fud bi med as hç ar
dat ov w. Di porijun ov di leter wil olives fo
hwiç is ment; for "w" is olives folod by a vsel,
and "w" is never folod by a vsel. Morover dis
leters reprerent di sam sson under diferent con-
dijuns, "w" biç "w" (or w) modiffid tw a consonant

Elphinstone's Fonotipi.

83 We hav litle bicum poiest ov a copi ov di first
volum ov a scers wure entiteld ("Propriety as-
certained in her picture; or English speech and
spelling rendera mutual guides. By James
Elphinstone. Vol 1. The use of letters is to: measure words,
and return the deposit to: the reader.") However di secound
volum wor ever publiçt, wi doe not no. Dis gwodli
cworts ov 368 pejes is a trezuri ov rixuns, apils,
and argymnts for fonetic speliç. It is printed,
in sum senn, foneticali, by mins ov di old 26-leter
alfabet, and serten orthografic convenijuns, hwiç
wil bi beter understod by di transcripçun ov a
paragraf oan bi a verbal descripçun. Di foloiç
^{omitiç part, for wure paragraf,}
is di preces tw di wure, di first paragraf biç
presented in Elphinstone's on fonetic orthografi.
As wi find spes in di Jurnal & Precursur, we
purpus meay sum ecstracts from dis valyabel wure
Preface.

84 "Every ting is independant ov evem odd her.
However seekes the anallogy (or natural rule)
ov anny ting, must therfore find it at home:

nor wil she seeker seek in vain. English dic-
cion then has no laws, but her own. Yet, in her
picturage, and consequently in much ov her living
pracrice, hav anny oddher laws, or anny lawlesnes;
been prefferably regarded. No more can anny lan-
guage adopt dhe system ov anny oddher; dhan anny
nacion, dhe hoal pollity ov anoddher nacion: for such
adopter wer no more a distinct nacion or language,
wer but a mongrel, or an eccse. (Eng. Lex.)

84
85
Lazgwejer indid, as nefuns, hsever independent,
bicum reciprocali illustrated by toens ov propinquity.
Ancesturs ar venerabel do der stoniti bi past; and
colaterals gar by mythyat illuminesun. Nader des
esenfal, bajes ov bot wil remon; twijf Analogi
proside by her on domestic los. Desjrus, neverdiles,
ov gratiffing, as wel as ov garding, Etimologi (or
tresabel orijin), fi leburs tw du bot, as far as
intelijibel nepresentesun can admit. But, ferst,
di garj ov inovesun, wid dat ov inconsistencies, must
nicoil on der hse steyfli securig tw radical
and incompatibler simbole, hav laterli rejected
neni just picturs, down for Ingglif wurdh, on
der importesun; and disgyed der as nirlly as
posibel, in di frsd ov der progeniturs. Dus did
(moov) and (proov) import di esens (dropping di ter-
minesun) ov (mouvoing and prouvoing). (O) and (ov)
dif riy onli as direct and deprsiv, and so biig
ov old promiscuusti nepresented, (move) & (prove)
cam tw bi di mor popylar picturs; eshefali wid
der hse, noig no mor di desint dan di desint ov
di geldren, tw (movere) and (probare) tw bi di
imadiet-parents.

86

Ar fjerli did Iggli: hictoryej, tw hundred yirs
ago, insert di servil in (fourm) or (foorm) ns duli
(foarm), di beng; and mor letli, in (hoast), or ov
twotener jdia; — ar reject eni servil from di proper
part ov (wherefore) ment hwerfor, and (Shakspeare)
ns (Shakspear); (shake) and (where), ns (tware)
biing ar mug st ov di cwestyren ar (formel) or (forma),
(host) and (hoste) (afterwards (ost), and (hôte) for (ôte))
ar (hostie, hostia) or (hostes). In di ren ov Trist
ir and j obed her. Nider (thaught, braught) nor
eni uder (aught); mor dan (jail, perswade, assuage,
or (suttel), had yet bigun tw confond rym and
rixun wid di aspirans ov (ought, thought, brought;
gaol,) or (goal, perswade, assuage, subtle), and der
felo-foldisfiers. We par, has, was, iz, and (his)
had di neasolufun tw bi sin ar wel ar kend, in
Cwin Elisabeth der. For it wor, and ever wil
bi, di fenst accium ov ortografi: — A Pictyr
must reflect its Original. In uder wurdz —
Ortografi is di mirur ov Ortoepi; proper imej
ov proper ssond. On dis prinsipel, analoji, di
consistent cwin ov turp; ov iz in itself, and ov
ol wie iz uder, must adapt bj elizun, inser
fun, or genj, twotener-fi bring intw eni dicfun,
tw di presijs retnesen refun ov di ssond fi is der
tw comve; widst regard ider tw ssonds, or tw
simbolz; dat me or me not hav belongd tw
peneut, or colateral, turp.

87

Sum prinsipels must bi comun tw hyman
langgewej; but iz langgewej has a sistem ov her on
Lerten dicfun, lic di Grie, yring but wun pser
ov an aspirat; hav no nid ov mor dan wun

87
2

pictur. Fry (if eni) modern tuzs bisjd sr on, en
 joi di dental aspirat at al. Bi Ingglif, wid di
 Fræng, has lost di gutural. In compensæfun ov
 dat unregretted aspirat twig di enfents porest, at last
 in wun pser, bi moderns hav acwjd an art der
 re rents ny not, (or ny de nided not), ov aspirating
 sum berli (as di Fræng) bi silcen sibilefun; uders
 (as di Italyan) prificin bi drj dental tw dat aspirat
 and sum (as di Ingglif) dainz tot; in di sonda ov f
 and g, g & j. x x x x

88

Analoji biiz di jenerus gardian, not jebus
 rival ov Etimoloji, wil ecsterminet no radical
 dat endenjers not bi ssnd, ofer no insertiv un-
 les prauw indispensabel, nor propos eni genj
 bisjd bi demonstrabel recwirat; for di preservæfun
 and promofun ov sr tuz. Greter consecuna Ortho-
 rafi canot mee tw orijin; hae, tw langgewj, as
 tw man, is a foren pser. And sug di Fræng Aca-
 demi held her: els had not yet bin setelt, on so
 hapi prinsipels, dat independent pictur twig
 asertenin, difyres bi Fræng langgewj. Scers a
 sentyri has past since (aage, île, même, vicomte,
 estre, estoile, eschole,) bicein universali (âge, île
 même, vicomte, être, étoile, école); and di tjo; as
 di sentyri presidin had ecsploded (recepte, debte,
 doute, voulte, faulte), for di true and unolterabel
 (recette, dette, doute, voûte, faute) and di rest.

Bi Italyan Academifana had set bi ecscampel,
 and renderd di eldest doter ov di Latin tuz
 consistent and comunicativ as her perent. Be-
 licst her orthografi beyond di pser ov genj, &
 went innadictli farder dan iuen bi Fræng hav

er bin esel tw fold. Inglis orthografi must pas
 sic fjri trjal: kapi if fi can so survoiv it! Hærs
 ar di self-sem enemis, and hærs di sem interest
 tw overtro dem. Hærs also di sem ed, even dat
 ov analogi; existles in so cor, ar in eni iber.
 Bi obstinasi ov prescripfun must itself giv we,
 stimolais o must fly befor her. So fal Inglis
 rirun bi establist in Inglis liberti; so langweij
 fal prau accesibel as fi is acomplish; hwen ac-
 omplish in aspirans as fi is in rialiti. So fal
 di puriti ov so spig bi preservd bi di trut ov her
 pictur; and inefragabel thori bi, at lengt, carid
 intw universal practis.

Langweijer, hsever desended, or alfd, bicum
 seperet and independent, as nefund. A rafunal
 traveler visits iber, even perent, cuntris; giffli
 for di benefit ov his on. But hi gor not promis-
 cyusti tw colect everi novelti, or anticwiti hi
 finds. Hix candur discovers meni dgrs indis-
 pentabel tw wun sityefun, dat ar incompatibel
 wid anuder. But rirun hi dix comun tw man-
 cind. Hix marcs her atenfion in everi cljin or
 komuniti, tw di improvements and enacfans,
 di lor and custums dat respectivi set it. Ad-
 mirin dus abroad senten particulars hwig di sem
 rirun denje him tw bring hom, hi dur, hsever, bi
 her fol consent, and gind cultivefun, bring hom
 dat spirit ar test ov devjain, promotij, and
 perfectij, hwofever me improv his particular
 cuntri; do hi me not, perhaps canot, hav fnd
 dat jdentis improvement in anuder; but hwig
 hi nor (from hwot hi has sin) iber cuntris wot

hav پوست and practist, had de icwali recwird
or admitted it.

Si sem is di traveler intw foren langgewer,
as intw foren contris; di gif end ov viding bot
biig di improvement ov di netiv. His persivis men
Dys general, nor a fy peculiar. Far from asembly
tw import dis, or perversli tw reten dem if mis-
tacentli imported, hi dur import di test hi has
nigli impruvd from his wel-ernd notij ov uder
tunr; and wid bi test, di spirit ov dain justis tw
ig as ov dooin dens, respectivli, amuy numberles
advantagej, dat ov rendering his on langgewej, in
unborod beti, admirabel as her sisters, perhaps
matyrd befor her; nor di les, possible di mor, der
formidabel rjval.

Idel wer it tw repit dat langgewej biig dis-
tingt as. nefund, di constitefun ov eni wun, enpnt
or modern, can never pretend di smolest storiti,
or influens over eni uder. Briten wor pipeld
from Gol; but di Gols cwd never bi Brituns. Di
Britif langgewej spruy from Gotic or Seltic, and
Tutonic; from Freng, Spanif, Italyan, Latin, &
Gric; is no mor eni wun ov dor langgewej, dan
di Brituns ar Seltic, Tutonr, or eni uder ov der
progenitors. No langgewej, derfor, as no pipel, can be
di representefun, mor dan di rialiti, ov anuder;
or bi aminabel tw los hwig it cwd never no.

Heven di esens ov a langgewej (hwig is its com-
plit sistem ov sord) is brot, by rasunal netiv,
in di fulnes ov him, tw matyrite, it bicums di
dyti ov man tw perform his part (di sol part wid
hwig hi is ex. intrusted) in di asertennment ov human

spig; dat ov first investigatin, from di purest living
practic, si hol sistern ov rial or w-libel propriety
in his lazzwey, and den ov representin dat pro-
pjeteti bj di most exactiv sistern ov likerare sim-
bolz; so tw pictur spig, as nix ii as posibel, in di
exact stet ov perfectun zi me hav atend: dat dus
hi me propagat abroad, as wel as perpetuet at home,
di puriti hi has bin at leyt so hapi tw cumpas;
me secus alje di purifid lazzwey from relapsin
intw barbarism, and from degeneratin intw cor-
ruptun; me rer her tw her just rayc amuz polist
lazzweyer, hwyl hi renders her di wuordi vchicel ov
his nefuns wisdom and atenments tw remotest
ejer.

Turn wil se (for hwot wil not sum se,) "ol genje
ar denjerus, overfor nun fud bi med." Bis me,
must, hav olwes bin sed; it is obvious bj haum.
Yet genjes disgrosful, no les dan denjerus, hav
bin insensibli, ofen absurdli, med dis tw sentyris
past; hwyl di genjes indispensabel tw trut hav
continyd ider dreeded ov unnon. Lazzwey indid
is tw muc di glori, tw bi di ware ov man. Hi
med no mor his sonde dan his organs; dat is,
no man, or set ov men, devjod. human spig, or
contrjv'd di smolest sond ov it. Man, foloiz natur
promots and perfects harmoni. Hwen hi finds dat
bj his atentiv cooperesun, is unasynd instru-
mentaliti, his lazzwey has atend her sumit, it
den bicums his provins tw preclard, if hi can,
funder genj: nor can. uq. preclazuns bi efectel
but bj fiding her in ortografi.

Laz, riruners (nor ar de fy) wil replj, "Lazzwey

94 in ol gans and capris; it is derfor idel tw dize
 ov iber stopin or studiing it." Directli di never
 is langwey: dat characteristic faculti twig di
 Giver enebels man so tw matyr dat eveni part &
 partikel, eveni silabel and ssond, ar redysibel tw
 demonstrefun; and evins human spig ar consistent,
 and ar harmonius, ar di virun it is given tw conve
 sporit agen tw vulgar idia, so stedi is living langwey.
 wuns matyrd by hym and deposed in orthogرافي,
 dat it afterwarde ^{admits} veri litel veriefun: witness di
 Latin tuz from di dee ov Augustus; and di Fraeng from
 di ren ov Laui 14.

95 Be no langwey can bi asertend, or comynicet,
 widst biig comited tw dy representefun; uder dis
 fums apar, bifer de residv der ffinal polif, tw hav
 bin gifli endenjerd in der skourcs; hweras soe,
 for wont ov di fenses ov orthogرافي, has bin esford
 tw insidiet corrupfuns in her veri vjtals, sum not
 noig hs tw gard der spig, uder not noig twot
 spig tw gard. Hens di contrast ov di Ingglif and
 Scotif djalects; and di diferens, groon wid di distans
 betwin Lunduns langwey, and dat ov di provinses.
 [Riten in 1786.] It wor bifer berli posibel tw inves
 tigt Ingglif traut; ns it is imposibel tw mistec
 her. Be, dat for sum hym bi ff tw esibit her, wil
 at list apow di boldnes dat displs her hir; dat
 for no fut vsel open, or open fut; and renders
 di veri stres an object ov intyifun. Wen has but
 tw rid (if posibel) eni sentens, or cuplet, ar hid
 ertw riten, and di sem acording tw orthogرافي,
 tw bi struc not onli wid Proprieti, hwer alon
 fi can bi fnd, but wid di swit nesesity or aserting.

her in her Pictur. Or dis propriety di in, not di g,
is di jyg. for di in is di g or di mynd. Let us hir
arjt, den fal wi di tw spic. Let us ferst spel ar di
best spicers spic, and den (but not til den) let us pre-
tend tw spic ar wi spel.

[Experiment]

Read "g" me; "i, w," before a vowel, as j, w, and in other
cases as the vowels in happy, foot; "y" by, "is" new.]

From loy and atentiv discriminefyn ov living spjg,
in di senter ov Englis piseriti, has tyn at last com-
pleted a pictiur twig Trust can stamp wid di nem
ov Englis Orthografi. Dat secret deporit hwer Lunden
in prym polif, ferst sjr hwot fj sed, and hwot, derfor,
fj has onli tw prserv. but hwer der hae canot hje
her, me also sj it; and confiding in a deliniefyn so
senticeted, me spidili imbyt, in di remotest cor-
ners, a piseriti twig corts dee not olwer histo. Thwif
ol endod wid spjg, ar dus interested in propriety, sug
members ov di metropolis ar hav had di gwd fortien
(hweder from delicet educefyn, or from incorruptibel
test) ov cyfing icwali frj from groones, and from af-
fectefyn, hav dstles a gans, it stil but a gans, for
piseriti. But di distant hav no posibel gans unles
from reprasentefyn. If derfor a fixe cwd, ar nun
can, fj fur ov gder acwyring or prserving propriety,
widst an atested pictiur, widst dat fjori, stricthli so
cold, twig can alon embodi living practis, indispen-
sabel wwd fj sug pictiur or fjori tw di gret ma-
joriti jven ov di Britif capital.

Sins den fols pictiurej has so loy sig. yrd alge
and disonurd Englis propriety, I must it derfor
continie tw dee bot. If wj hav hidestw misrepae-

97

rented, and often mistaken. Truth, for want of having
 sign her, & fal wif fut or we tw her garmr no fj
 dene tw disple dem, or & fal wif fj a fred or bigining
 tw dæ her justis bicar wif hav not suener bigun.
 & How wil not rader on wis wun poet. pantig for
 eveni In 80--

"(Rien n'est beau, que le vrai: le vrai seul est aimable
 Il doit regner partout, et même dans la fable.)"

Not but di Truth is luvli; nat is fer:

No garmr has Febel, if no Truth fj der:

and consequentli forgiv him hae envir dis poets paragun

"(Quod verum atque decens, curo et rogo; et omnis in hoc sum.)"

Di tru, di disent is my arduus tasc;

Fer ol y em at, and is ol y asc.

Or & hae can forber joinig dat paragun huven fj erects
 his standard

"(Hoc opus, hoc studium parvi properemus et ampli;
 Si patriæ volumus, si nobis vivere cari.)"

Lug, gret and smol, if we di ardur fon,

We cron so cuntrie glori, and so on!

J. E. Gret Maribon St. W. 1, 1st Marz, 1786.

98

Di abuv experimen & is procremied ar in illustrefun
 ov a plan twig, streng tw se, is ad. oceted fj sum hae
 biye daptli on fonetic subjects -- (biye indid mor dan
 de practis --) and is recomended fj a corespondent in
 di Fonetic Jurnal for 28 Feb. Prof. Clare, ov Aberdin,
 uses di sem method in his "Vocal Inglish." If di plan
 wer practicabel, di tips for 11 rader dan dæ for 11,
 fud bi yrd. But auz wurd ar folian, fansing, com-
 hend wid folian, fansing so dat de biori is cronied.
 In di Fonetic Jurnal for 28 Feb. p. 72, we respect to "di" fol-

99

2
 1
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100. 101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106. 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. 112. 113. 114. 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120. 121. 122. 123. 124. 125. 126. 127. 128. 129. 130. 131. 132. 133. 134. 135. 136. 137. 138. 139. 140. 141. 142. 143. 144. 145. 146. 147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161. 162. 163. 164. 165. 166. 167. 168. 169. 170. 171. 172. 173. 174. 175. 176. 177. 178. 179. 180. 181. 182. 183. 184. 185. 186. 187. 188. 189. 190. 191. 192. 193. 194. 195. 196. 197. 198. 199. 200. 201. 202. 203. 204. 205. 206. 207. 208. 209. 210. 211. 212. 213. 214. 215. 216. 217. 218. 219. 220. 221. 222. 223. 224. 225. 226. 227. 228. 229. 230. 231. 232. 233. 234. 235. 236. 237. 238. 239. 240. 241. 242. 243. 244. 245. 246. 247. 248. 249. 250. 251. 252. 253. 254. 255. 256. 257. 258. 259. 260. 261. 262. 263. 264. 265. 266. 267. 268. 269. 270. 271. 272. 273. 274. 275. 276. 277. 278. 279. 280. 281. 282. 283. 284. 285. 286. 287. 288. 289. 290. 291. 292. 293. 294. 295. 296. 297. 298. 299. 300. 301. 302. 303. 304. 305. 306. 307. 308. 309. 310. 311. 312. 313. 314. 315. 316. 317. 318. 319. 320. 321. 322. 323. 324. 325. 326. 327. 328. 329. 330. 331. 332. 333. 334. 335. 336. 337. 338. 339. 340. 341. 342. 343. 344. 345. 346. 347. 348. 349. 350. 351. 352. 353. 354. 355. 356. 357. 358. 359. 360. 361. 362. 363. 364. 365. 366. 367. 368. 369. 370. 371. 372. 373. 374. 375. 376. 377. 378. 379. 380. 381. 382. 383. 384. 385. 386. 387. 388. 389. 390. 391. 392. 393. 394. 395. 396. 397. 398. 399. 400. 401. 402. 403. 404. 405. 406. 407. 408. 409. 410. 411. 412. 413. 414. 415. 416. 417. 418. 419. 420. 421. 422. 423. 424. 425. 426. 427. 428. 429. 430. 431. 432. 433. 434. 435. 436. 437. 438. 439. 440. 441. 442. 443. 444. 445. 446. 447. 448. 449. 450. 451. 452. 453. 454. 455. 456. 457. 458. 459. 460. 461. 462. 463. 464. 465. 466. 467. 468. 469. 470. 471. 472. 473. 474. 475. 476. 477. 478. 479. 480. 481. 482. 483. 484. 485. 486. 487. 488. 489. 490. 491. 492. 493. 494. 495. 496. 497. 498. 499. 500. 501. 502. 503. 504. 505. 506. 507. 508. 509. 510. 511. 512. 513. 514. 515. 516. 517. 518. 519. 520. 521. 522. 523. 524. 525. 526. 527. 528. 529. 530. 531. 532. 533. 534. 535. 536. 537. 538. 539. 540. 541. 542. 543. 544. 545. 546. 547. 548. 549. 550. 551. 552. 553. 554. 555. 556. 557. 558. 559. 560. 561. 562. 563. 564. 565. 566. 567. 568. 569. 570. 571. 572. 573. 574. 575. 576. 577. 578. 579. 580. 581. 582. 583. 584. 585. 586. 587. 588. 589. 590. 591. 592. 593. 594. 595. 596. 597. 598. 599. 600. 601. 602. 603. 604. 605. 606. 607. 608. 609. 610. 611. 612. 613. 614. 615. 616. 617. 618. 619. 620. 621. 622. 623. 624. 625. 626. 627. 628. 629. 630. 631. 632. 633. 634. 635. 636. 637. 638. 639. 640. 641. 642. 643. 644. 645. 646. 647. 648. 649. 650. 651. 652. 653. 654. 655. 656. 657. 658. 659. 660. 661. 662. 663. 664. 665. 666. 667. 668. 669. 670. 671. 672. 673. 674. 675. 676. 677. 678. 679. 680. 681. 682. 683. 684. 685. 686. 687. 688. 689. 690. 691. 692. 693. 694. 695. 696. 697. 698. 699. 700. 701. 702. 703. 704. 705. 706. 707. 708. 709. 710. 711. 712. 713. 714. 715. 716. 717. 718. 719. 720. 721. 722. 723. 724. 725. 726. 727. 728. 729. 730. 731. 732. 733. 734. 735. 736. 737. 738. 739. 740. 741. 742. 743. 744. 745. 746. 747. 748. 749. 750. 751. 752. 753. 754. 755. 756. 757. 758. 759. 760. 761. 762. 763. 764. 765. 766. 767. 768. 769. 770. 771. 772. 773. 774. 775. 776. 777. 778. 779. 780. 781. 782. 783. 784. 785. 786. 787. 788. 789. 790. 791. 792. 793. 794. 795. 796. 797. 798. 799. 800. 801. 802. 803. 804. 805. 806. 807. 808. 809. 810. 811. 812. 813. 814. 815. 816. 817. 818. 819. 820. 821. 822. 823. 824. 825. 826. 827. 828. 829. 830. 831. 832. 833. 834. 835. 836. 837. 838. 839. 840. 841. 842. 843. 844. 845. 846. 847. 848. 849. 850. 851. 852. 853. 854. 855. 856. 857. 858. 859. 860. 861. 862. 863. 864. 865. 866. 867. 868. 869. 870. 871. 872. 873. 874. 875. 876. 877. 878. 879. 880. 881. 882. 883. 884. 885. 886. 887. 888. 889. 890. 891. 892. 893. 894. 895. 896. 897. 898. 899. 900. 901. 902. 903. 904. 905. 906. 907. 908. 909. 910. 911. 912. 913. 914. 915. 916. 917. 918. 919. 920. 921. 922. 923. 924. 925. 926. 927. 928. 929. 930. 931. 932. 933. 934. 935. 936. 937. 938. 939. 940. 941. 942. 943. 944. 945. 946. 947. 948. 949. 950. 951. 952. 953. 954. 955. 956. 957. 958. 959. 960. 961. 962. 963. 964. 965. 966. 967. 968. 969. 970. 971. 972. 973. 974. 975. 976. 977. 978. 979. 980. 981. 982. 983. 984. 985. 986. 987. 988. 989. 990. 991. 992. 993. 994. 995. 996. 997. 998. 999. 1000.

syender, iven sug ar de den wer, had, for de pipel, litel, if eni, ecristens. Howtever nolej wor in biig, wor lwt upon ar de garterd monopolio ov de privilejd fæ, and, ar sug, wor jut up in soleser and sits ov lerniig, wid de cloisterd pristhwd. ov de hymn. De intellectual and spiritual vasaiej ov de pipel at larj, wor ar complit ar der sajal bondej. A sleveri ov de sol, infinitli wurs dan dat ov de bodi, uni-versali priveld; der wor a total prostrafun ov everi faculti and filiz bifor de fryn ov gostli storiti. Howyl a sensles filosofi rend wid despotic swæ in de scælr, a digrediz and tyranical superstifun spred its wideriig and binumiig influens over ol raycs ov sosyety; from de veri loest tw de veri hiest, — for in dis respect de lordli barun wor jenerali ar di-best ar de most ignobel serf. Sug wor de condifun ov biig at a period not veri rimot from dat in hwiig wi liv.

But a myti contrast no prezents itself tw de y ov de most superficial observer. "The scylmaster is abroad." De lesuns ov syens, formerli clodd in sug harf and technical terms dat nun but de inficted cowl apoi-fiet or understand dem, ar no popularyrd. Insted ov spaciig in de crabed and uninteli-jibel layzweij ov de scælr, nolej no acomodets her vois and maner tw almost everi order and gred ov intelect. And, insted ov de clos rityrment in hwiig fi wuns livd wid de silect fæ, fi no gor fort tw ecritit her

garns to de men. In fact, de tri ov nolej is spreadin its arms ovy de land; and standin by- syd it is de tri ov lyf, too loyger forbidden and interdicted, but invyting de tug and test ov-ol: and tot icwali redi to communicet der benefits to sug, ov eni clas, as fal part fort der hand to plus and it. In dis respect "de rig and de pær mit togeder," de wurcman and his emploier veri mug on de sem level.

Wi grant, indid, dat nolej in dis its dif- ferd and popular form, canot test ov mug profunditi; but for de levefun ov a pipel it is not dept hwiq is icwiyrd so mug as a propriety and vigor, and wi rejois dat it is wur ov de gret movements ov de ej, to bry de mine ov informesun to ber spēsifi- cali upon de condifun ov de mases, and dus to scater de sides ov improvment and civi- lizefun amony dis loy neglected poofun ov de comeniti.

It is not, howev, de actual amout ov por- titiv informesun diferd, hwiq constitutes ov gif encarejment. De most spēsifus omen ov de intelectual condifun ov de pipel, and dat wur hwiq perhaps mor dan eni uder cindels de bryttest hops ov de enlytend patriot, is de rapid progres ov de spirit ov incwryi — a spirit hwiq is pervedy mor or les ol claser ov sosyety, and spreadin a salutari inflay- ens ovy everi rijiun ov tot, hweder sacred or secular, hweder political or relijus. De tramels hwiq for so loy a period in de histori

or so world, fetterd de psons or de hauman mynd, if not yet absolutli severd, ar fast rilacsiy. De spel is disolvig, and de hauman spirit is awecig to a duper confusnes or its inharent and inalienabel ryts.

Wi behold, everi de, de spread and operefun or dis spirit amony de wurciy clader. Sistems hore wid anticwiti, and duple establist, into hys evidenses and cleare der notaris wuns dauid it impius to institut eni incwrye, and hwiq dus loy eccersyrd de most impius swer over de hauman mynd, and perpetu'ed der inflasens undisturbed from generesun to generesun, ar no compeld to submit to de cinest scrutini or public opinjion, and mit wid but litel reverens as de pas day, de ordal or public discusun.

Curiositi, lyc ol de original instijcts or so natur, has bin implanted by de Divyn hand for de best and wyrest or purpuses; and de atemt to cwenq it wud bi a vyolens dun to de divynli ordend lor or so mental constituesun, - a trorum comited cavali agent God and so on solz. It has, unquestjionably, its legitimet and proper spfir, widin hwiq it is not onli alsabel but even obligetoni to eccersyze it. Wos it not for de operefun or dis prinkepl, wi fard stil bi envelopt in de fador or barbarism, insted or enjoyig de lyts or civilisefun and refynment. But for it - fyndig, as it dur stlet and ecoprefun in fri incwrye? hwer had bin dor briljant discoveris in syens, in art, in hauman politi, by hwiq so ej is so gretli benefited and adorn'd. To arest dis mental maxvments, wer dat posibel, wud

bi te ples an embargo on human progress, to cast man down from his peculiar dignity, and to deprive him of wun ov de noblest characteristics ov his nature — dat wun by hwig in de pursuit ov noly and trost hi ascends de scel ov exsels, and claims cinders wid suprior biys.

Even in de dyp dyr ov god, de misteris ov yr rilijun, human curiositi and incivry fynd an important spix ov actun. We ar no advocets for dat stolid and impadir order ov mynd — if mynd it me bi cold — hwig risivs widst cwestjun, hwot ever me hapen to bi porented to it under de semblans ov rilijun, esepali if it ber de stamp ov antiwiti, or can best a loy are ov illustrius nems. Der has bin far to mug ov dis dependens upon de dictets ov mar human storiti. It is to dis fasil, blynd asent, given to hwotever me, for de tym, and to de influens ov shward and accidental circumstances, wer de garb ov rilijus trost, dat wi must, in a gret digri, tres de instabiliti hwig has so ofen manifested itself in Evidendum. De clas ov doctrius risivd twide, widst personal investigesun, but simpli, it me bi, from de prestij ov a nem, or from uder cores icwali insufient, me, wid icwal rik, bi sepersided tomoro by anuder set ov nolyus icwali besles and fluctuctiy. If wi ar to enjoi eni trost from de Reformesun ov de dicstint sentensi, wurdide laburs ov its myti men, wi must abuv ol dyrs jehusli menten dat spirit ov incivry hwig formd de grand distigguisij fiter, de inspyrij jinius ov dat gret movement and ira ov de human mynd. But let de wurcij and ol uder clases rememben

dat in proporsien te de valre ov dis prinsipel, heven
 rytli emplotid, is de avil hwiq sprinze from its res and
 hervensun. If de most wys and enlytend ov so res har
 strenuusli end te prof der incuysis te de utmost
 posibel ecstent ov hwiq de human mynd is cepabel,
 de har ever bin de ferst te direern de limits hwer de
 sic and impenetrabel darenes forbad der funder
 progres. Der is sumdyng tryli interestig and sublym
 in de cam and filosofic acwises wid hwiq de most
 jygantic intellects - Beaur, Loc, and Nactun - har
 suddenli pord, in de fof carir ov der incuysis, at de
 point hwer de facultis ov de human mynd feld
 eni lozger te ber dem on wid fer and stedi gydand.
 Wa no not hwerder te admynr mor der ardur ov der
 moderesun. Wa bihold at list, as muz ov de try sij
 in de restreints impord upon demselvs hwer virun
 must har given ples te mir conjectur, as in der
 vigorous prosecusun, te de last posibel virult, ov der
 sond and overlastig prinsipels ov tryst, de enforment
 or discoveri ov hwiq har fed a luster, not onli on so
 nesun, but on humaniti itself. Nor wer de les
 atentiv te de practicabel. Wyld and eri specacle-
 sun wor rejected by der wel-disiplind myndr, as
 icwali unconjinnial and risles. In dir respects
 de har left bihynd dem an ecrampel wuordi ov uni-
 versal imitefun - an ecrampel ol de mor pserfol
 bicor ov de hecaliar temtefun te hwiq sug myndr
 ar ecspord: - and it wer wel if de mor inteligent
 porfun ov de operativs ov dis cuntrei, hre ar by no
 mins inceptabel ov eesersyng a sond jyjment upon
 sug maters, displid a mor modest estimet ov der
 on abilitis in dily wid sum ov der difficult cwest

June twig de progres ov nolej har brot widin de cum-
pas ov der inavgris in de present de.

This introduses de considerefun ov anuder im-
portant fitur in de intelectual condifun ov de
wurcig claser ov de present ej- twig me bi briefli
designeted a tendensi to ecstravagant modr ov
bot, risulting, in meni instanser, in ecstravagant
modr ov aqun.

Wat blynd saepstifun twig under de nam
and gys ov veneresun, wuns frage from ol in-
cwysri intw de grondr ov enfent and obryad spin-
junt and arije, is not onli disapirig from de
midst ov us; but in meni cworkers amony or operativ
ar amony uders, it is givig ples to a spirit to derig
and eratic in de caracter and ton ov its speacelifun.
Conservative, in rigard to intellect, wi ar not; for nobig
apirs mor unwurdi ov de haeman mynd, dan, ar
wi hav sed, an unwestjunig resepsun from ej to
ej, ov steristypit spinjunt. But, on de uder hand,
nider ar wi destrucfunists. A sistem ov bot is
nider de betes nor de wurs for its havig bin loy es-
tablif. If enur bi enfent, so also is tract. Wi
rimember de wurd ov secned nit, not inaplicable
to or present subject, "Stand ji in de wer, and sa,
and asc for de old padr, twer is de god we, and
woc derin, and ji fal fynd rest for ur solr. But
de sed, wi wil not woc derin." Dis riluctant to
pursu de old and troden pat, dis overwinig tw or
novelti, is by no minr a mir modern or parfal
development ov haeman netair. De Hiborn, nifun
upwardr ov 25 senturia sint, manifested de sam ten-
densi twig wi bihold in or on land in de present de;

and, at a subsequent period it was the complement of Demosbenir dat de Abinians wer deli incwyryg after novelti, a characteristic twig de simd to har rikend in no ordinari digri; for at de tym ov Polr virit to Gris "ol de Abinians and strengers twig wer der, spent der tym in nudig elr but ider to hel or to hir sum nre dig." ¶

Dis, lyc everi nder mental and moral wil, in de perversen ov dat twig is god - it is de abas ov a nobel and valuelabel instigot ov sr netur. Hwen dis luv ov geny is dus undeli indulgd by an individual or a comuniti, it bicumr a diris ov dys portent, open iking in open and unreflectig hostiliti to ol exsistig institufuns, widot referens to eni mode ov organysen by twig de myt bi riplest. But everi tra filosofor and patriot wil gretli praiser de continuans ov eni sistem, olds cantenig muz dat is objecfunabel, to de havoc rot by dor restless spirits har mad ambifun it is to pol dm and destroi ol establist forms ov bot, and to convert de kaiman mynd into twi libel els dan a dare ceos, or a dovre vacuum.

We hav intimsted dat sig tendensir ly in de depths ov sr netur; but sercumstances arys at intervals in de histori ov kol refuns, twig giv a sudden and stroy impuls to dir natural tendensir. We me, for exampel, tres de peculiar sorser ov meni modern bionis to ivents twig oavrd in de first part ov de 18th senturi. De spirit twig den var in Utrup, subsequentli genig strengt, har, in de different styes ov its progres, displd itself in verius forms. During de last haf senturi, St Symonism, Fourier-

ism, Communism, Socialism, and other systems or
 doct hav sprung up and born der appropriet fruits. At
 present wi rifer to dis simpli as prior, and illustre-
 funn ov intellectual phenomena; but if dis wer de ples
 to enter into der several merits, and to analys der
 constituent elements, de wud serv to demonstret de
 influens ov stward circumstances to cwicen into
 dirard and violent actun de inward prinsipels ov
 de hauman mynd.

Indid, it is imposibel to studi de lsr ov intellect,
 or de records ov histori anyt, widout biig satisfyd dat
 sug movements wer to be expected. De is dat ac-
 fun and riactun ar icwal and contrari, operets
 as furli and constantli in de moral as in de fis-
 ical wuld. We nid not, den, in de list wunder,
 if after so loy and drivin a bondej, in hwig everi
 faculti wor cripeld, and everi intellectual instigct
 curb'd, de mynd fvd, in its nre born libertie, ruf
 into ecseces and ecstravagances, hwig it me di-
 mand de efforts ov de most enlytend and jeditus
 dacti to corect and restrin. We ar not surprysd
 den, hsever grivd, at der strengi nofunn hwig
 hav bin brogt, and to a serten ecstent acted upon
 in modern tymr, bot in yr on and uder lands, &
 ov hwig, unhapili, de wurcig orders hav bin de gif
 victims. In de er ov wylde speculacfun hwig per-
 vedr dem, de ecritib ofen libel els dan de mir
 frenndi ov intellectual fridum. We di in dem de
 ardent impulser ov myndr hwig, havin brocen
 der grnd, ar resolv'd never agen to submit to de
 yoc. In dis transidun stet ov de mader, licensur-
 nes is ofen mistiken for libertie, and a jeneral

restlesnes privels, risaulting from de confusnes ov imman-
dipetked pser; hwyl a notej ov der ryt aplicesun, and
dne limits, har yet tw bi acwyrd.

It must hav bin slaedi sin dat it is no part ov
or deryn tw forbid de fri and fowl ecraminesun ov
hwotever subject affects de destinis ov man; nor hav
wi so litel confidens in de majesti and pser ov tryst,
as tw simpadyr wid dor timorous spirits dat trem-
bel lest its glori fowl bi imperd by de amplest dis-
cufunr tw hwig it ms bi subjected. We cordiali re-
pond tw de tryumphant ton ov dat nobel senti-
ment hwig even trytnes itself cannot digred -
"Gret is de tryst, and it wil privel". Its bludles
victoris, agivd, not by ecsternal coersun or pinal
inflicuns, but by de contests ov de intelectual
arina, by de pser ov arguement, de fow ov perswoyde,
de elocuens ov liv, wil yet riword and gratify ol
its tray and fetfol adherents. Dis ar de manr and
aplyanser by hwig de secned batel is tw bi fot, and
de cor ov tryst, in hwotever departmēt, tw bi ad-
vanst in de wurd. And it is or ferm convicfun
dat hsever numerus de discordant sistems at wure
arond us, der wil at leyt arys st ov dis wyldest
wor ov elements a nre and fexer wurd, adovnd
wid de splendor ov tryst, and berig fryst de
impres ov de wisdum not les dan de pser ov dat
gret Brij, har prerogativ it ever is tw brij lyt
st ov dorenes, and order st ov confuzure.

[1 \ 1 x]

. 2 . 6 . 7 . 4 2 . . 2 7 . 2 0 . 7 . 1 . 4
p . 6 . " . 6 3 , & W. M. Okanlon; 1 5 . 7 2 3 x

133
21

nicegon oferd bai. Fonografi, intertgendj ov opinione
 onj de post bjtewjn persons reraiding in de most
 distant parts ov de cuntry, has bijn frequent. De
 result ov ol dis, so far as our observegon extends,
 is a mor liberal ftyl toards de sentiments ov reders.
 Not jven in politics, or on points ov religjous fet, ar
 der mor diferender ov opinion dan on de fenomena
 ov fonetic saiens, and de best mjns ov rediuding riten
 laygwedj tu a fonetic standard. It is imposibel
 for us tu pablig jven a fort-part ov hwot wij gud
 laic tu select from amey our correspondents. Or dis
 our reders me bj agerd, — der is not an opinion ad-
 vant in de "Fonetic Journal" or "Precursor", dat is not
 suggested bai saem ween, or meni persons, tu de
 editer. Ofenerali de dy not fjl confidens enaif in
 der opinions tu patronais dem in pablic. This
 however is a mater ov litel important. Let de suggest-
 ions bj plect bifer de fonetic pablic, and de best plan
 wil fainali prevel. Wij redjois in de prospect ov de asis-
 tans hwitg wil bj aforded bai de "Fonetic Council
 Gaset", hwitg wil bj pablight diuring de present maent.
 De editer ov de "Precursor" me bj comperd tu a div,
 in tu hwitg opinions and suggestions from ol
 cworkers, ^{ar ofon} bai guelfulr. A gud djl pacer onj,
 and is prerented tu de pablic, best saem is ov tu
 cors a cwoliti, ar at tenst prerented.

134

In de let numbers ov de "Fonetic Journal", de plan
 ov representing de diftoun bai ty letters, has bijn traid,
 and wij regret dat it wor not traid earlier. De sim-
 plificegon ov de Alfabet, bai rediuding de number
 ov letters tu de number ov absolutli simpel sounds,
 in de laygwedj, gud bj de most tgerigt wig ov fonetigons.

Public opinion among spelling reformers, on this subject is, at present, in favor of single letters for all the diphthongs; but there is a gradual tendency to the contrary. It is supposed that before all the double letters have single signs in Fonography, the good by represented by single signs in Phonetic printing. But the requirements of a phonetic printing alphabet, and a Gorthand alphabet, - the wren cast in metal, and its letters receiving a separate "bocs" in the compositors' case; and the other consisting of marks to be formed with the pen, - are totally different. In Gorthand, the monosyllable, bisyllable, trisyllable, and even polysyllable letters, the letter, provided the by brief, and formed on harmonious principles; but in printing, the finest signs will hardly be possible to print, as the most advantaged.

As to the component parts of the diphthongs in my, now, the first me by represented by ei, ai, or ii, and the second by ou, or au. Their different analysis is given by different persons. The most correct representation of the first diphthong sign, to our ears, is by ei, or ii, but the general feeling is for ai. We do not object to it, but if adopted, the brod sound of the same diphthong (aye) must be slower printed with an accent on the first element, thus ai (my), ai (aye). "gi" is a disyllable. If we had only a verb as "strig" the present participle would be "strigij" in two syllables, though "strain" the vulgar pronunciation or "stren" (strain), spacen with the brod (aye), is one syllable. So "noij" (gnawing) contains two syllables, and "nois" (noise) three. The distinction between ai (my) and ai (aye) is, that ai (my) is accented on the second

element, and (ai)ayes on de first. De diffooy in
now is, wj bjljv, mor corectli represented bai
ou dan bai au. De later givz de brood rustic
sound or-de Peter, and hwen wj wig te pent it te
de ai, wj sait naou. Admitlij dat de diffooy
in (my) comenser wid a. or sijn varaieti or-de
vowel, de wren in (now) sentenli comenser wid
a broader ecspanjon or-de mout, or o. Dis is
acnoledjd in romanio spelij.

De nicst and lost point tu by considerd in
conecgon wid de redacgon ov de alfabet tu ar
mene saine ar der ar simpel soundr, ic de
representegon ov de compound consonants in
such, edge. Hjr by, public opinion is in fever
or yrring a siggel leter. Or perhaps it is mor
correct tu, se it is in fever ov emplöing a siggel
leter inigali, and by letters fainali, des fainlr,
fon, Dutg, edg. The efect ov de by, consonants
is felt mor distigctli at de end ov a woord dan
at de bygining.

Wij hooft men uwe verstande al de argumenten
dat hooft uwe aduante for disoluing dje ty compounde.
De constant drooping were awe stone, so our repara-
tions te de yde ov ty dains for de ty contacts in
etch, edge, in printing, (and dens in loyhand raiting,) is at leyf lesend. Wij wil mee a ful confegon on
de point, and se dat it is remoyd. De most ra-
gonal maner, wj dize, in hwits de subdyect can by
vind, is dis: - It dachel letere by ype at al, in
printing, de gud by ype for de most frequent com-
binings ov sounde. Confaiming ourselve, at present
te de consideregon ov de consonant diffoye, wj

found that on this principle, if "tg, dj" be found with
single letters, about 30 other double letters will present
themselves, even when or twity is as much entailed
in a single representative as tg, dj. twail a few
have clemr 7, 6, 5, 4, taimr as stroy. We find other
facts and figures, and give that no arguments,
or myr flizgr or laic or dislaic, or juen densen-
siegour, can, in the end, stand against them. Having
we transcribe into the "Precursors" the conclusion of
the article on the "Intellectual Plot of the Thuring-
Clates of Britain", comest last month, we find it
shows twail the notes the recurrent or double con-
sonants in the first part, and the following is the result.
We have been only for double letters that occur in
the same syllable, having excluded such as accept,
H. It will be noticed that tg and dj occur only twice
itg, and that 27 others occur twice or more fre-
quently, and when or them, tw, 7 taimr. On
phonetic grounds tg, this letter has higher claim to
a single pair than any other tg or dj. We cannot deny
the force of the argument that if tg, dj be
represented by single letters, tw, pr, tr, and about
30 others and by similarly provided for. The follow-
ing is the calculation: -

tw 7, kw 1, pt 2, pl 1, pr 6, tr 5, tg 1, dj 1,
ct 1, cs 3, cl 2, gr 1, fl 1, fr 1, br 1, st 4, ld 4,
lf 1, ls 1, rt 2, rd 2, rc 2, rs 1, rr 1, rl 1, rm 3,
md 1, nd 3, qr 3.

On this subject we refer our readers to an
excellent letter from Mr. S. P. Anderson, in the Phonetic
Journal of this date, in respect of twity has been the
principal means of removing our last lingering

objeccon, tu de disoluccon ov de leters g, j in de
 Fonetic Alphabet ov 1847. Ov cors, sider calculecon, wud go mo
 de concluson araid at.

In de alfabet given abuw, de cruced $\Sigma \Xi$ dy
 not apyr; 3 also disapyr, and \int is rplest bai
 g, — a mor cumli taip. Hwen tg occur in seperet
 silabels, de can bj seperched bai a haifen, as in
 nut-gel (nutshell). Bi is de onli wurd ov dis clas wj
 nou recolect. g, in Italic, is beter dan \int for ty
 rprour; — de cerni ov \int stands in de we ov g, y so
 dat de leters wil not lai cwaetli said bai said in de
 componiters stic, and \int is ty mutg laic f. Wj hav
 olwer had a derair tu rid de alfabet ov de ugli
 $\Sigma \Xi$, but dy not qf it tu bj posibel, ecsept bai ad-
 miting formz gwalid objecconabel, or condensing
 tu rait tg, dj bai ty leters.

It is hardli necesari tu ad — hwot ol our rjderz
 no — dat everi bj dat is oferd hjr on in de "Jurnal"
 is onli suggested for de considerecon ov de Council,
 and wil not bj formali propord for adopsion until
 it har bjn satisfactorili wret 3 munts.

De foloij articel also is rten in acordans
 wid de ppsidij alfabet.

De Intellectual Stet ov de Operativ Clases ov Gwet Briten.

(Continued from p. 64.)

Hwot wj wig den tu insiet rpron, is, not mental in-
 accon, but a djast moderecon and wisdom on de
 part ov de wuercij clases; — dat hwail hapili bersting
 de leters bai hwitg de har bjn loy embold, de gud
 bjwer ov rugij intu dandjous ecstrijns ov opinion.

- dat de gud remember dat de oporit ov eror is not olwer tryt; - dat tryt djenerali lair in de middel part, hithyn oporit ecstojmr, and derfor tu get tu de furdest posibel distans from de negons hwitg der forfaders entertend, is onli tu ecstgendj, in djeneral, wain form ov folshud for anuder; - dat de gud not, in gort, reject de old, bicos it is old, or adopt de nui, bicos it is nui, but dat "provy ol dyg, de gud hold fast dat hwitg is gud."

Amay de forms in hwitg de waircyng clases disple djs tendensiz, is der prounes tu accept de representegons, and tu folo de bec, or selfig, and unprinsipeld adjitclers. Djs men, meicy pretengons, tu greter sagasiti dan der neterr, asium de porigon ov fiders ov der order. Nou it not unfojwante hapens dat de individualz hy mec djs pretengons, and asium dis porigon, hav, in rjalite, nectig tu recomend dem but ejn insolens and odasiti, combind wid a larj ger ov peti ambigon, and volubiliti in de yys ov inflammatori harays. Dus armd and cwolifaid, de ar but litel disford tu lisen tu eni vois sev der on. De sijn tu dyg dat widout dout "de ar de pyfel, and wisdom gal dai wid dem." Kwail de liv, however, it gal bj der cer "in spon", but espejali "out ov spon", tu public der oracels tu de warld. Inspaird wid a lofti aidja ov der migon, and ov der cepabiliti ov faelfiliy it, de ar found at public mthys ov der feto-sitizens, onli dat de me intersupt prosidys wid hwitg de hav no moral, or eni aderrait tu interfjr. Kwotever de topic discuss, de must introyd der political and sojal dogmas,

143
5

as de onli oig at ol wuendi ov atengon in de hol
round ov human incivaini. In gort, hwaile de
denouns intolerans in siders, and dat in no
mejurd stren, de disple de grosest intolerans ov
spitz and accon demselv. And de hav-dis gret ad-
vantedj, dat in controversi de ar seldom trubeld
wid eni heritansi in adoptij hwofever stail ov ateng
or seccriminegon me best levo tu cuver der opo-
nents wid odium, or lift demselv intu vulgar
popiulariti.

144

By it non dat wj ar not opord tu de aidja ov
tjdengeij, jter in referens tu opinio, or tu accon.
Everi clas and order ov de communiti muet hav
its tjders. It is de lo and ordinans ov heven, dat
everi try sisthem ov dat god hav its representa-
tive and aposels, bai hym its clems gal bi cept
bjfor de public ai, and selusli advoceted. It is
bai dis spesific mod ov accon, as de student ov
histori muet hersjo, dat tryd has ever advanc
in de wuold. De lait daer not flag at weins
sepon de maind ov hol mased, bait God woutgsefs
hia revelegour tu de fin for de benefit ov de meni,
- a mod ov proovidiur in hwitz der is displed jwal
wisdom and benevolens. Dion in Patmos - Lyber
at Erfurt - Not and Atcrat in der solitari min-
kijp - discoverers in saiens and in art - in fact,
ol de gret benefactors ov our spjgje, aford
abundant illustrejon ov dis prinsipel. However
der, congiengusli bjfor dat hi is in porejon
ov saem tryd hwiig wil benefit de wuold, har
a rait tu bi herd in de proper ples, and at de
proper taim, and tu propaget hia aidja far &

waid, provided it be not inimical to the p^{er}is and
welfare of society. There is no man to whom we
would deny his right, but understand. We are for
freedom of conscience and of opinion, and for
freedom of speech and action, to the furthest
possible extent consistent with public order, but
it is a universal freedom that we advocate. We
would remain the parties in question, that for
the men have to hold opposite opinions, and, doubtless,
establish an equal right to be heard in the meetings
and of the laicwais. To the meeting of justice,
the claim to mutual forbearance as equally re-
siprocal.

Let it be clearly ascertained that upon
the rights of others depends, to a very considerable
extent, the prosperity of the country. Therefore, as the
beliefs themselves to be, from men's social and
political interests, and struggling, as the art, to advance
the general interests of the order, the art found to
men's considerations to turn the attention to his
matter. If the will plus themselves under the guid-
ance of men they are wont to put out the ignorant
invective upon every thing sacred and divine, they
mistake the evil consequences of the dictators of
sound reason, they fall in insolent and calumnious
instead of calm argument, and they, without, as
so infallible in the our political crisis, that unless
it be adopted entire, without reservation or en-
caine, the will continue to denounce, and refuse
to cooperate - if such be the senseless and unworthy
persons whom the wrong orders lift into the position
of leaders, the need not be surprised to find the sounder

145
4

and wel-disposed members of the community withdrawn from the help. That portion of the middle class has sympathies in the view, and would certainly wish them in the action of the rights, will be compelled to stand aloof. Ministers of religion, by regard for the exercise class is generally deep and strong, and by influence in society must be ever beneficial to whatever cause of the cause, will spring from all contact with such men. Intelligent, candid, and right feeling in every quarter will stand repulsed, and thus, for having permitted such persons to assume the right of opinion, the exercise class must suffer the penalty of being victimized, and on having the progress of the cause, if not for ever hindered, at least indefinitely postponed. For how could the only compensation will be the gratification, if gratification it can be considered, or listening to the vain repetitions of the men against all the understanding, and very much more than the have not the capacity or mind to comprehend.

146

The writer of the remarks has not been wholly inattentive to the movements of the exercise class in recent years; and his solemn conviction is that to the full extent to, the me, to a very considerable extent, attributing the fact that the principles are not more generally received, and more highly estimated in society, and that the cause may suffer from the friends and adherents a far larger number of the by influence would powerfully subserve the interests. It will ever be the effort of such persons to repel men of education and principle, by well convinced that the action of such

wud den result in de unmaschin over character,
and de reduegon over clens and oborti te
der proper dimengons.

Dis topic has bin introduust in de present con-
ecgon, from de convicgon dat de influens ov such
persons araises tegfli out ov dat ffitur in de in-
telectual condigon ov de wuercig clases nou
under consideregon. It is bai sijnig sepon der
inordinet lew ov tegndj and saidig wid dat
strog mental rjaggon twitg god itself in de
kendensi te induly ecstravagant mode ov bot
and fflig, dat djs men contrain te ecersais de
ecstensiv a domeniou over der admairers. De
no hou te pander te de pagons, and de faind
der riword in de aploter ov der deups.

Glooli conected wid de pjsidig ffitur, and contri-
buitig gretli te its ecistens and grob, is de wont
ov burs mental disiplin, and ov sound informe-
gon, sepon de part ov de greter porgon ov de wuercig
orders.

Nor let it bi supord dat dis intinegon is at
ol at verians wid de repnerentegons olredi ad-
vanst, Hwail de intellect ov de gret bodi ov de ffigel
is around, and te dem ecstent enlaitend also, de
ar, in djeneral, unsibel te penetret far tijnf de
suerfes, or te otten aciuret and comprihensiv
viur ov djs - jiven ov der bjs twitg most intimet
afect der on interests, Der jujments ar bait par-
gafi informd, and der vijon is stil veri defectiv.
De "sj men ar bjs wocij". De sceroli no at twot
objects de ffigd em, or bai twot proses djs me
bi seciurd. Ol around sjur te dem gadoi and

149
1

dim; ol widin dem is powerful but ceotic. Hens der cors is ofen determind mor bai impails dan bai sound rison and discriminegon; and de dus bicaum, as wj hav sju, de redi victims ov de lo, de bes, and de desairiny.

150

If de mjns ov dgeneral informegon ar mor ecstended in our taims, and de benefits ov popular notei mor waidli definid, it is serten dat wj stil hav tu complen ov a landj ger also ov de "joels ov popular ignorans". If wj hav not de amount ov stolid apathi hwitz Foster so powerfuli depicts in his admirabel ese on dis subdject, wj hav a sort ov half-noledj, a veg twailait hwitz is nider nait nor de; a crud and undidjested big, hwitz is nider sound informegon nor yet complit ignorans, and de efect ov hwitz is ofen tu inflet de maindr ov its porsers, rader dan tu supplai dem wid adecwet steyt and naivgment. Wj ar veri far from bigginy dat no lerniny at ol is preferabel tu a litel lerniny. Bu, it is serten, dat dis also is atended wid joels, hwitz wj gud sje tu remedi, - not bai plunjiny men bac intu de abis ov darcnes, from hwitz de hav kapiili except, but bai tjtjiny dem tu woe fort intu de broder and fuler sseingain, and tu ecersais der pouers ov reflecgon and djudgment upon a waiden and mor idymind bjaier.

151

It wud serv no valinabel purpos tu include in ecradjected stetment, fider in referens tu de ignorans or vais ov de pygel. Maitj ov dis hav sju put fort in njsent taims; and dat, not from de law ov calumny, but from waidli def

erent motive. Men ov verines sagal and relidjies
 cryds, in de atengon hwitz, de hav given tu de con-
 digon ov de wercing claser, hav viud de subject
 from veri diferent points, and hav bin ofen tempt-
 ed tu perform de part ov advocets ov dem par-
 ticular bjori, soder dan dat ov egn and dis-
 pagonet investigaterr ov de hol tryt. Bies, de
 hav bin redi tu tee advantedj ov de admigons
 ov der oponents, and tu render dem subservient
 tu purpuses for hwitz de wer not deraind. "De
 Romanist," as it has bin obserrd bai a lecturer
 upon dis topic, "has deraind from Protestant wit-
 neses a testimoni unfevorabel tu de influens
 ov de Reformegon, after 3 sentenies ov trial
 on de sygglig pipel. De advocet ov stet sen-
 tralizegon has borod de statments ov de frende
 ov voluntari edincogon, tu pruv Prussia and
 Frans far mor edinceted caentris dan Ingland.
 De Protecgonist has drov most glymi picturs
 ov de Operativs. De Fry-treder, in repraisal,
 has set fort in stil darer culors, de condigon
 ov de Berantri. Tjurstgmen and Disenters,
 after der diferent mods, bjivel de inclidjon
 ov de pipel. Advocets for Sanatori Reform
 prodein de scwolor, indjiseni, and domestic
 degradegon ov gret multitudes; hwail der hy
 toil for de improvment ov our criminal cod
 and prison disciplin, mec everi ryder qeder
 bai der dytelr ov hardend profligasi and dy-
 venil delinquenssi. On ol saids a dirmal
 corus is herd, tjantij wren ~~xxxx~~ unwerid bin
 ov reprog, lamentegon, and teror, on de condigon

51
 ov de pipel - de millions ov Iyggland. It is ol try,
 or not try, as it is a plaid. "Hj mait ad, given in
 referens tu de most enlaikend and liberal reform-
 mers, dat der tendensi, and birnes by, is tu con-
 templet de joil rader dan de gud hwitz ecristis
 in sosaieti, and so tu duvel repon it and bring
 it nyr de public ai, ar dat it gal, for de taim
 byj, fil de hol stfir ov vijon, and produces de
 most vivid and powerful effects. Dis is, in meni
 respects, ar it ot tu by; and considering de inest-
 nes ov human netiur in djeneral, it is de
 onli efectiual mod, perhaps, ov enlisting de
 recwirrit meijur ov simpabi and suport. But
 dis metod has its disadvantagedjes; and hwen-
 wig tu form a djast and impargal estimet
 ov de hol condigon ov a pipel, it is nesessari
 tu frj de maind from de influens ov dis spel,
 however yosful in its ples, bai lucy round
 de subdyect on ol saids, and des embresing
 de gud ar wel ar de joil hwitz ecristis.

52
 Hwen, however, dis is daen, and ol diu abet-
 ment med for de djeneral improvement hwitz
 has tecen ples in rjdest taimr, not "seting down
 ot in malis" in parti rjl, or in emti decla-
 megon - it wil by found dat an imens trac
 ov west and unreclend maind remens,
 fertil onli in poirsones wjdr, and sending forth
 from its putrid swomps a moral miasma,
 hwitz filz de atmosfjr ov sosaieti wid de
 elements ov dirjr and det. It is peculiarli
 lamentabel tu reflect, dat a landj porgon ov
 dis lair byjond de rjtq ov ecristing mjnt and

opportunities of culture. Our present machinery is designed chiefly for the youthful portion of our population - it is prospective rather than retrospective. And, what is still worse, we find there is a considerable portion of the adult mind of our country for which no machinery has been devised, and well woe - at least to be in great extent. It would be difficult indeed to determine at what period of life a pupil in general first becomes susceptible of educational improvement. But that there is a large mass of our population that cannot be easily overtaken in this respect, and that under cultivation, will be readily admitted. This must be the case, arising partly from age, partly from the habits which have been contracted, so unfavorable to mental exertion, if not wholly subversive of it, and partly from the indispensable and constant engagements of life. Different minds will, doubtless, according to the different associations and temperaments, come to somewhat similar conclusions upon this subject. It may serve, however, to end the inquiry, if we insert a Table extracted from "The Institutions of Popular Education" by Dr. Hamilton, giving the relative proportions of our population at different stages of life. Nothing can be further from the truth than to suppose that even the following statements, or statistics, are designed to trace the progress of improvement. We would rather limit the well-directed efforts of philanthropists to better the intellectual con-

ld from or (St) in de raiting letter, and woud ofer in dyctification or de diferens
 tytwyn de Italic C & and de raiting Jf, de consistens or a similar diferens
 in de Roman j q a & T and Italic j q a & T, and in de Italic and raiting form
 i o u d n f h o u i e w t d j c g o i s l m n t
 j o u d n f h o u i e w t d j c g o i s l m n t

152 digon or eni secgon or de wairing claser, nor
 15 contribuit tu de despondensi or eni individuiat
 however unfevorabli situated, as tu de practi-
 cabiliti or improvment. Wunders me by atgevo-
 bai a resolyt wil, and a wairli directed sistens
 But it is wel tu understand de difficultie tu by
 surmounted, and necesari tu economais our
 strenght and resorves, dat wij me not labor in ven
 de djaant joil maest by cumbrated wid cor-
 esponding enerddje and perseverans, and no myn-
 left untraid hwyte can difines de blessing or
 educegon tu de furbest posibel ecstent in soaite

15 Centesimal Propogour ovde Populegon or Inglyland
 and Welr, Scotland, and Airland, severali at de under-
 mencond edjes — acording tu de Penshes ov 1841.

| | England | Welr | Scotland | Airland. |
|----------------|---------|-------|----------|------------------|
| Under 5 yrs - | 13.18 | 13.33 | 13.20 | 1 to 5 yrs 15.25 |
| From 5 tu 10 - | 11.91 | 12.25 | 12 | 6 " 10 - 13.25 |
| " 10 " 15 - | 10.83 | 11.15 | 11.33 | 11 " 15 - 11.95 |
| " 15 " 20 - | 9.93 | 10.08 | 10.33 | 16 " 20 - 11.60 |
| " 20 " 25 - | 9.75 | 9.09 | 9.71 | 21 " 25 - 8.52 |
| " 25 " 30 - | 8.07 | 7.60 | 7.50 | 26 " 30 - 9.08 |
| " 30 " 35 - | 7.34 | 6.80 | 7.27 | 31 " 35 - 5.03 |
| " 35 " 45 - | 11.15 | 10.23 | 11 | 36 " 45 - 10.14 |
| " 45 " 55 - | 8 | 8 | 7.62 | 46 " 55 - 7.20 |
| " 55 " 65 - | 5.19 | 5.86 | 5.33 | 56 " 65 - 4.96 |
| " 65 " 75 - | 3 | 3.46 | 3 | 66 " 75 - 2 |
| " 75 " 85 - | 1.16 | 1.62 | 1.28 | 76 " 85 - .80 |
| " 85 " 95 - | .18 | .32 | .23 | 86 " 96 - .73 |
| " 95 upwards | .01 | .02 | .02 | 97 upwards .02 |
| Unnon | — .30 | .19 | .18 | Unnon — .07 |
| | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Postscript. (Read off for de printing C &.) De raiting letter C & not tyng de compari-
 15 cont tu de hand as off, wj fide paper

P R E C U R S U R .

Conducted by Isaac Pitman, Bqt (Bath).

2, 6, 4, 10, 2, 1, 6, 4, 2,
2, 4, 6, 10, 2, 1, 6, 4, 2.

LONDON: Fred Pitman, Phonetic Depo, 20 Paternoster Ro.
BOSTON, U.S. Otis Clap, 23, School Stret.

JUN, 1852.

De Intellektual Stet ov de Operativ Klases ov Grot Briten. (1852)

Read Vel se, (18) but; ei, time; k, can; f (19) she, and
the other letters as in the last Precursor.

Whatever number ov de working klases me by sachposed
beyond de renj ov improvement, de afpredli ar not suff-
as kan by med te fjel eni interest, however fjibel, in de
sachdjekt ov de poarent &c. And if it by admitted, ar
wij presium it must, dat from sach koses ar dor ol-
redi spesifeid, a porfon mor or les ekstensiv ov de
ekristijj populefjon ov de empeir is in grot mejier bi-
yond de renj ov intellektual kultuur, dis onli forme
an adifonal arguement for de vigorous yes ov ol avd-
abel mjne bei hwiiff de teritoria ov ignorans me by
invaded, and de lardjest posibel number rekined
from sigking intu a similar dyor, and elevated in
de skel ov intellektual leif. De hop ov de wuold is
fjyfli in de improvev kondifjon ov de reirijj djeneresjon,
and ov dat part ov de populefjon hwiiff has not yet

rust far beyond de limits of 1840, and everi dien-
 -in patriot must redjois especially in de groey-
 ation of ol orders of de Stet, and ol denomination
 of Christians, in de edikation of saelf. Dis is a veitel
 interest, for hyrin, in a gret ekstent, leis our nasional
 leif and wyl. So long as de vertue and intellidjens
 of a pypel ar so klastli assajekt, de mision of de
 skulmaster wil bi wien of de most important twiff-
 kan engedj de konsideration of ider de relidjonist, or
 de stedsman.

Wij ar des led in a briif surve of de ekristij edu-
 kationel kondition of de fildsen of de waerking klases,
 widout twiff no sumari of de intelektuel stet
 of de pypel kud bi regarded as aprotij in kompliks.

De amount of nledj and mental disiplin dispted
 bei de aduelt waerking populefon of de land - at list,
 of dat part of it twiff har not past beyond middel
 leif, - me bi regarded, as in gret meijer, de fruit of
 der provijon twiff hav bin med in modern teins
 for de edikation of de reirij djeneraton of dat klas.
 So far as dis is de kas, de prysidij observatons me serv
 in evins de djeneral results of der provijon, if not
 in der praesent, at list in der past karakter and
 ekstent.

Howeil on dis subdykt, wij ffil it wud bi wel, if
 an atemnt wer med in bring befor de meinde of de
 mor intellidjent of de waerking populefon sumtij-
 leik an akkuret statistikal vuu of de ekristij mision
 of instruksion for de yung of der order, and de
 proporsion twiff djs mision ber in de wunts of de
 kumtri. Best it is no fri mater, amidst konten-
 din staments, in arriv at djest and satisfaktori

komplisjone upon dji points. De hol kwestion has bekuem so kompliketed bei djetels, and is so envelopt in de mist ov kontroversi, dat it wud demand disproporjonet teim and spes te enter, at eni leyt, inke its merits, or te ataint eni neis adijdikeson ov de klems ov diferent and sarnhuwt kontradiktori estimets. Inidjd, wij ar ofen mor dareld, dan enleitend, bei de figiurs twiff seival statistisfians hav ared and marsald on dis maett vekst kwestion.

Mr. Bens (Baines), ov Lids, hy has jon indefatigabel industri and nesertf in dis fjeld ov inkuweini, dus spjks in referens te Sabat skuls, in a lekties delivered bei him in Leendon, in Feb. 1848: — "No djen eral enumereson ov Saunde-skul skolars has bin med suis de Parlimentari returns ov 1833, at twiff teim der wer in Engeland and Wets 16, 828 Saunde-skuls, korctenig 1, 548, 890 skolars. De proporsjon ov skolars te de hol populeleson, at dat teim, wor 1 skolar te eweri $9\frac{1}{3}$ inhabitants. Ei bot it a moderet estimet in 1846, dat is 13. yjrs leter, te tek de number ov Saunde-skolars at 2,000,000; twiff wud bi in proporsjon ~~to~~ 1 skolar te eweri $8\frac{1}{2}$ ov de populeleson. Ei am persweded dat dis proporsjon wud nou bi an under estimet. De improvement ov Saunde-skul tiisjon, de pekiuliar rjl manifested ov let yjrs bei de tjffers, de inkrjst atensjon drom te edikeleson, de hapi invenjon ov raged skuls, and de gret inkrj ov publik de-skuls, most ov twiff ar also yjrd ar Saunde-skuls, komein te ajor us dat de proporsjon ov Saunde-skolars te de populeleson maest hav konsiderabli ogmented.

It is also to be born in mind, that the children of the upper and middle classes really attend the Sunday school. On this account we may deduct about waun from the proportion; after which it would appear, that the proportion of Sunday-schoolers to the laboring classes, would be as every scholar to every 62 inhabitants; or, allowing for the increase which seems probable, as 1 scholar to every 60 inhabitants. This represents a very large proportion of the children of the working classes in Sunday-schools.

The same authority, in speaking of Sabbath-school teachers remarks that "we have been no general enumeration of them. But in the returns from the northern manufacturing districts in 1843, the proportion of teachers to scholars was found to be 1 in 63; in the recent Welsh reports of the Commissioners, the number of teachers returned is 1 to 7 to scholars; and in the metropolis the proportion is 1 teacher to 10 scholars. Perhaps we may assume 1 teacher to 8 scholars, as a probable average throughout England and Wales; in which case the number of Sunday-school teachers will be 250,000; a noble band! Be ye ye good of England! Be ye volunteers in our sacred war!

Looking at the statistics, given here, I am much more than abundantly for the most ardent of the spirit, in advocating a mass-Christ principle, and which the sad infidelity of men engaged in the work of Sunday-school teaching is but too glaring, still, on the whole, in comparison of the present wide the past, the list sanguine investigator will find mass spirit for redoubt. A quarter of a million of teachers have themselves had spring in general from the

ragk ov Labat-de skolars, and bijn fiket for der pre-
sent kondisjon bei de konge ov de Lunde-skul, engedj
in de instruksjon. — de gratiuitas instruksjon — ov
2,000,000 Britif ygð, or ov joen bri-forts ov dat
number, is a spektakel hwiff me wel kor de
hart ov de Kristian patriot tu glo wid deleit.
It wor not sunkomon tu feind stetsmen and siden
overluking and joen despeiring dis keind ov edjensi;
burt suiff is de sukses hwiff har atended its oper-
efour, dat ol orderr ov de komiuniti ar nou begining
tu aknoledj de wunders it har atffjod. And stil
grekr sukses awets it huven it bekunnr betes adap-
ted tu de wark, and huven de men obstakel tu
its progres is rennyvd bei de inor djeneral difu-
jon ov de-skul edukasjon. Wid respekt tu de-styl
and de numbers is atenders, Mr. Benz entens
intu a minint and elaborat kalkinleson in
his "Leters tu de Reit On. Lord Jon Russell on Stet
Edukasjon," siten in 1846. Letig out wid de Parli-
mentari returns ov Edukasjon in Injland & Wels,
obtend in 1833, under de disekjon ov de Earl ov Here,
and adoptig de estimet ov Dr. Hook, ar tu de
progres sints med, hj kunnr tu de konklyjon dat
skul akomodasjon etarists for 1,876,947 Hildren.

De skolars in 1833, — nemli skolars

| | | |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|
| in Infant skulr, | 89,005 | } 1,276,947 |
| in Deli skulr, | 1,187,942 | |

Skulr provided sints 1833 for — — — — — 600,000

Total 1,876,947.

Den, asiuming 5 yjrs ar de averedj diurejon
ov de atenders at skul, ov de Hildren ov ol klader
in Injland and Wels, hj kalkinlets dat de total

akomodasjon sekweerd in de land wud by snell as
 te provideer for 1,937,292; givig a defigense, ^{spawwileent} te ont
 a likel mor dan 60,000, te by snelleid - a konkly-
 jon hwiß har servd te starker and amer meni.

Te foloig tabel, givn bei Mr. Bens, wil skribit at wren
 glans his vin ov de number ov de skolars in England
 and Wels, at de several pjriods spesifeid, and de pro-
 porjon hwiß de bor te de populejon at landj. Wud de
 eksepsjon ov de estimet ov de number ov skuls in 1846,
 hwiß is founded sepon de kalkulejon ov Prof. Hopais,
 and in hwiß by difers saemhwot from Mr. Bens, de tabel
 asiems te by best sepon Parliementari returns, obtend
 bei komitis ov de Hous ov Komons, under de direkjon
 ov Lord Brum (Brougham) and Heri.

De-Skuls and Populejon in England & Wels
 in 1803, 1818, 1833, and 1846.

| | De Skolars | Populejon | Proporjon ov
De-Skolars
te Populejon |
|---------|------------|------------|--|
| In 1803 | 524,241 | 9,128,507 | 1 te 17½ |
| " 1818 | 674,883 | 11,398,167 | 1 te 17 |
| " 1833 | 1,276,947 | 14,417,110 | 1 te 11½ |
| " 1846 | 2,000,000 | 17,026,024 | 1 te 8½ |

Inkris ov Populejon from 1803 to 1846, 86 per sent.

Inkris ov Skolars " " " 281 " "

It must by born in meind dat de pjsidij estinets in-
 klyd de skildren ov de seker and midel, as wel as ov de
 toer or werking orders. And hys an important fighur ov
 de kol krs, and wren standig mor injdiethi asosieted
 wud de objekt ov de present Ee, remens te by diskord
 Akordig te de foig ov Mr. Bens, de gret inkris ov edu-

kefon hwiff har teken ples sins 1803, maest-hav-tyr hffli
amsey de last ov djs klaser. Rekoning akording tu de
zyjyal proporfon, de hol number ov hildsen betwijn
5 and 15 (de skul edj) in Sygland and Wels, tu hav
tyr 2, 190, 841, in de yjr 1803, and asierning dat $\frac{1}{4}$ ov
djs beloy tu de seper and midel klaser, de ressalt wud
by dat 547, 710 hildsen ov djs klaser ekristed at dat pjiad.
And ar djs in djeneral wud resjo mor or les edukefon,
and ar de hol number ov hildsen ov ol klaser den en-
djoing de advantedjes ov de de-skul instruksjon, wor
onli 524, 241, wj ar kompeld tu limit de averedj ekstent
ov teim diurng hwiff de hildsen ov de mor ferwod klaser
resjo de benefits ov sutf-instrukjon. De kud not hav
kontinuud sinder tiufon de hol pjiad ov ten yjrs. Mr.
Bens sapores 8 yjrs is de ekstent; in hwiff kes, 438, 168
hildsen ov djs orders wud by found in skul at wen teim,
gwiw onli 86, 073 skolars nemening ar pertening tu de
wurking klaser. Dis komperd wid de enteir number ov
hildsen, ^{ov djs klaser} den ekristig, namli, 1, 643, 130, givz us de pro-
porfon ov 1 in 19 ov de hildsen ov de skul edj, beloning
tu de wurking komuniti, den endjoing de priviledj ov
instruksjon in de-skul.

De furder development ov dis kes, wj giv in de wuord
ov Mr. Bens himself, ar de most eksplisit hwiff kud
by selekted:—"How diferent is de kes now! De hol
number ov hildsen from 5 tu 15 yjrs ov edj, in 1846, wor
3, 891, 127; wen-forb ov djs me beloy tu de seper and
midel klaser, and de iber brj-forbs tu de wurking klaser.
Sapod dat de 972, 782 hildsen ov de seper and midel
klaser akend skul, on de averedj, 8 yjrs jff, wj ot tu
feind 778, 226 ov dem der at wen teim, but de total
number ov de-skolars in 1846, wor 2, 000, 000, gwiw,

derfor, 1,221,774 skolars beløpig tu de wuerkij klases. Nou de total number ov tildraen ov de wuerkij klases wor in 1846, 2,918,345. De result is dat 1 in $2\frac{2}{3}$ ov de tildraen ov de wuerkij klases ov de skul edj, wor at skul at de sem teim in 1846. In 1803, onli 1 in 19 ov de byer tildraen wor found in skul at wren teim; in 1846, 1 in $2\frac{2}{3}$ is found in skul." It maist not bi overlukt, however, dat de soundnes ov dis hol estimate, and de konklyjone founded sepon it, hav bin hold in kwestion bei men ov patriotism and integrity and widout enterij inke tjdies djetels, it me bi wel dat de wuerkij klases fud bi put in porejon ov at list de djenoral substans ov de oporit viirs, dis asistij dem in dem meijun tu form der on independent djudgment sepon dis interestij and important kwestion.

Dr. Von (Vaughan), referij tu de returns obtend bei de meijuns ov Lord Heri in 1833, and setij asid de tildraen ov Infant skuls, in hwitf bi konsiders veri litel wuodi de nem ov edukasjon kud hav bin "mor dan veri verli sekjurd", kontinjuer dis in de 8th number ov de "Britif Cwooterli Review":—"In dis proper de-skul, den, it apjrs de skolars in 1833 wer in round numbers 1,200,000. Nou de populejon ov Ingland and Wels, at dat teim, is given as 14,400,000. De proporjon ov dat populejon, ov de edjes betwijn 4 and 14 yjrs, wud bi $\frac{1}{4}$ ov de hol,—dat is, wud bi 3,600,000. Ov dis number 1,200,000 is wren berd. De result is, dat ov de tildraen in Ingland and Wels, in 1833 betwijn de edjes ov 4 and 14, onli 1 in 3 wor found atending a de-skul. Statists ar agrod in regardij de persons betwijn de edjes ov 4 and 14, or betwijn 5 & 15, ar bjiy njoli $\frac{1}{4}$ ov de hol populejon; and de ar njoli as

wel agyd in segardig dat interval ar de spes hwiſſe,
in a helſi kondiſion ov ſoſeieti, ſud by given te ed-
iukelſon. * * * * Tekiy dis report ov Lord Kerri ar our
geid, wj ſj our konklyſion: — de propoſion ov de-ſkolan,
betwijn byr edjer is ar unen-derd, and de propoſion not
reſjivig eni deli inſtrukſion is ar $\frac{2}{3}$.

In a ſubſekwent part ov de ſem artikel, D^r Von
meks de foloig admiſion, hwiſſe me by ſegarded ar
modifeiig de prijsdig eſtimet: — "It me by deklend
te by moſt reſpjonabel te ekspekt dat de edukelſon
ov de bypel ov dis kuentri ſud ekſtend over an av-
eraj ov ten yjrs. By it ſo. Let de spes by ſediust
wun-keſ. Dis wud giv tweis 1,200,000, inſted ov
wuns dat namber; but juen dis wud giv yjz njieli
a milion and a kwarter ov ſolr te by Bron ſepou ſo-
ſeieti eweri ten yjrs, hy har never had a ples in
eni de-ſkul, de gret mas ov hym, wj me by ſer,
kanot juen yd der mader tey, and hy wil tek
aloz wid dem ol dat unfiſnes for a ſeit diſtfordj
ov der ſofal and ſelidjers diutis, hwiſſe is ever im-
pleid in ſaſſe abſens ov inſtrukſion." It maest by
remarkt, dat dis ſeiter-proſjds byout, on de ſuppoſi-
ſion dat, konſiderig de ret at hwiſſe popiuleſon har
advanſt, litel if enibig mor har byn daen on bihof
ov edukelſon ſins de publikelſon ov Lord Kerri's report,
dan kyp ſes wid dis prognos. And de djeneral konkly-
ſion at hwiſſe, from a varieteti ov kalkuleſons by har
areivd, me by ſaund ſep in de wuds in hwiſſe by ſe-
pleis te de ſtriktuers ov M^r Benn: — "Wun-derd ov
de popiuleſon ov Engeland and Wels ſud by dijdjd
ar unebel te yd, and a gud we ſoard haf dat
namber ar unebel te ſeit."

Dis, it must be konfessed, is a piktuer saffidentli dark
 it has bin djind heili ovestfardjd bei his antagonist in
 dis kontroversi. On dis, as on most subdjekts twiff
 ber sepon de sehelment ov favorit Bjoris, sniff arbor
 twiff hav given animasjon o'let tu de dispiutants in
 dis arjina, de probabiliti is, dat saem deduktjons
 must be med from bot seids. "Yu wil go sefest in
 de midel," is a maksim not kolli inaplikabel jwen
 de figiurs ov aridmetik me apjn tu invest de sub-
 djekts wid an er ov matematikal eksakthiud.
 Wj hav ofen tu deplor de absens ov twot Beton stiel
 "de drei leit," jwen in de ekraminasion ov kwestions
 twiff sijn from der veri netur remurd tu de faer-
 dest posibel distans from de kaulonij mjidium ov
 pasjon and parti. And, after al, wj kan onli
 aproksimet hardr an eksakt eskimet ov de amount
 ov noledj or ignorans in a komuniti, bei eni kal-
 kulejon wj me mek ov de amount ov its skyl pro-
 vijon, or jwen its skyl atendans. Ber is de edui-
 kasion ov outward serkumstanses, or asosiasjon, ov
 emploiments, twiff no statistiks kan embres: —
 and in elements ov dis keind, on de kol favorabel tu
 a vigorous development ov de mental fakultis,
 our kuentri abounds. It is, perhaps, in part, oiz
 tu dis, dat veri meni akwented wid de djeneral
 kondisjon ov de werking orders, bei personal observe-
 jon, esepali in our manufakturij distrikt, fjel
 as do de sttements and konklyjons ov Dr. Von a-
 mounted tu sumbig leit a leibel; hweil, perffans,
 de ar kumbel tu defekt in dem eni gret lodjikal
 faladi.

Ber wil be okjon hwen wj kuen tu konsider

de remedie ov ekristij jvile te point^{out} mor at leyf
de nesessiti ov advancement in dis department. Myn
hweil, it me safsair te remark dat de pjsiod during
hwiß de fildren ov de wroking klaser semen under
skul tiinjon is te briff, esepali hwen not folod
bei self-kultuur, te sekien de benefits ov a sound
and satisfaktori edinkesjon. Moreover, de inefijens
ov de instruksjon imparked in meni ov de skul stoted
te dem, and de fittul and iregular karakter ov der
atendens der, tegeder wid de ungtwal distribusjon
ov skul akomodesjon^{ov de lands}, - ol kombine te sander de re-
sult jven tes satisfaktori dan meit hav bin anti-
sipated from de everedj pjsiod.

On de hol, hweil wj hav mæß ground for grati-
leson, bekor ov de mejior ov benefit dereivd bei de
neson, tot from Sabbat and wjk-de skulr, it mæß
te konfest bei ol te, tek an imparfal vie ov de
kondisjon ov de wroking orderr, dat veri mæß se-
mens te te akomplift in dis, ar in sader parti-
kularr, befor wj kan luk sepon de maser ov our
kæntnis popiulesjon wid enibig aprotfij te komple-
sensi. It is te, ar har bin notist bei seiter on
dis subdjekt, de mor red and odies fifters lei
patent te ol, sepon de seorfes ov saseieti; hweil
inkludjens and vertie mæß te tot for in de tes
obtrusiv spjir, de mor kweiet rooks ov domestik leif
Dat de freß ov edinkesjon me te found in meni
a household ov de land, far sekeird from de pæblik
ger, and eskepiz de glans ov de mjr sinperfjal
observer, is a fakt in hwiß wj hartili redjois.
Yet, dat de madjoriti ov de homr ov de wroking
klaser ekribit dis pljziz spektakel, te eni ekstent,

is an aserfon wj der not harard. Der is no subdjekt
 on twiff seikere ov a serten klas and tendensi an
 mor jonon te indeldj der imadginerfon dan dis.
 Der sjmr a sort ov benevolens in de atemnt te
 portre in de breitest posibel kailore, de kwoliter
 displid in haumbel leif. It apjor te bj a seitieng
 protest agenst de lofti and eksklusiv pretenfons
 ov dor te okimpei de mor elevated pleier ov
 saseiati. Baet it wurd bj wel te remembris dat
 nabij kan, in de end, bj mor predjudifal te de
 rjal interests ov de partier konsernd, dan saetf
 ekstradjereted representerfon; serving, ar de dy, te
 paraleir de eforts ov dor te, wid klijen baet tes po
 etikal viur, wid sounder baet tes saygwin tem
 peraments, an sijkiu te anovis pablik aterfon
 te de diuti ov betenig der kondifon, and elevetig
 dem in de intelektuel and sofal skel. Howot wj
 roont, and must hav, on dis and kindred kwestions
 ov saetf veital important te our nasonal wel-bijig,
 is, "de tryt, de hol tryt, and nabij baet de tryt."

Fonetik Loophand Reiting.

1. 0 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009 1010 1011 1012 1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036 1037 1038 1039 1040 1041 1042 1043 1044 1045 1046 1047 1048 1049 1050 1051 1052 1053 1054 1055 1056 1057 1058 1059 1060 1061 1062 1063 1064 1065 1066 1067 1068 1069 1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084 1085 1086 1087 1088 1089 1090 1091 1092 1093 1094 1095 1096 1097 1098 1099 1100 1101 1102 1103 1104 1105 1106 1107 1108 1109 1110 1111 1112 1113 1114 1115 1116 1117 1118 1119 1120 1121 1122 1123 1124 1125 1126 1127 1128 1129 1130 1131 1132 1133 1134 1135 1136 1137 1138 1139 1140 1141 1142 1143 1144 1145 1146 1147 1148 1149 1150 1151 1152 1153 1154 1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188 1189 1190 1191 1192 1193 1194 1195 1196 1197 1198 1199 1200 1201 1202 1203 1204 1205 1206 1207 1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225 1226 1227 1228 1229 1230 1231 1232 1233 1234 1235 1236 1237 1238 1239 1240 1241 1242 1243 1244 1245 1246 1247 1248 1249 1250 1251 1252 1253 1254 1255 1256 1257 1258 1259 1260 1261 1262 1263 1264 1265 1266 1267 1268 1269 1270 1271 1272 1273 1274 1275 1276 1277 1278 1279 1280 1281 1282 1283 1284 1285 1286 1287 1288 1289 1290 1291 1292 1293 1294 1295 1296 1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306 1307 1308 1309 1310 1311 1312 1313 1314 1315 1316 1317 1318 1319 1320 1321 1322 1323 1324 1325 1326 1327 1328 1329 1330 1331 1332 1333 1334 1335 1336 1337 1338 1339 1340 1341 1342 1343 1344 1345 1346 1347 1348 1349 1350 1351 1352 1353 1354 1355 1356 1357 1358 1359 1360 1361 1362 1363 1364 1365 1366 1367 1368 1369 1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381 1382 1383 1384 1385 1386 1387 1388 1389 1390 1391 1392 1393 1394 1395 1396 1397 1398 1399 1400 1401 1402 1403 1404 1405 1406 1407 1408 1409 1410 1411 1412 1413 1414 1415 1416 1417 1418 1419 1420 1421 1422 1423 1424 1425 1426 1427 1428 1429 1430 1431 1432 1433 1434 1435 1436 1437 1438 1439 1440 1441 1442 1443 1444 1445 1446 1447 1448 1449 1450 1451 1452 1453 1454 1455 1456 1457 1458 1459 1460 1461 1462 1463 1464 1465 1466 1467 1468 1469 1470 1471 1472 1473 1474 1475 1476 1477 1478 1479 1480 1481 1482 1483 1484 1485 1486 1487 1488 1489 1490 1491 1492 1493 1494 1495 1496 1497 1498 1499 1500 1501 1502 1503 1504 1505 1506 1507 1508 1509 1510 1511 1512 1513 1514 1515 1516 1517 1518 1519 1520 1521 1522 1523 1524 1525 1526 1527 1528 1529 1530 1531 1532 1533 1534 1535 1536 1537 1538 1539 1540 1541 1542 1543 1544 1545 1546 1547 1548 1549 1550 1551 1552 1553 1554 1555 1556 1557 1558 1559 1560 1561 1562 1563 1564 1565 1566 1567 1568 1569 1570 1571 1572 1573 1574 1575 1576 1577 1578 1579 1580 1581 1582 1583 1584 1585 1586 1587 1588 1589 1590 1591 1592 1593 1594 1595 1596 1597 1598 1599 1600 1601 1602 1603 1604 1605 1606 1607 1608 1609 1610 1611 1612 1613 1614 1615 1616 1617 1618 1619 1620 1621 1622 1623 1624 1625 1626 1627 1628 1629 1630 1631 1632 1633 1634 1635 1636 1637 1638 1639 1640 1641 1642 1643 1644 1645 1646 1647 1648 1649 1650 1651 1652 1653 1654 1655 1656 1657 1658 1659 1660 1661 1662 1663 1664 1665 1666 1667 1668 1669 1670 1671 1672 1673 1674 1675 1676 1677 1678 1679 1680 1681 1682 1683 1684 1685 1686 1687 1688 1689 1690 1691 1692 1693 1694 1695 1696 1697 1698 1699 1700 1701 1702 1703 1704 1705 1706 1707 1708 1709 1710 1711 1712 1713 1714 1715 1716 1717 1718 1719 1720 1721 1722 1723 1724 1725 1726 1727 1728 1729 1730 1731 1732 1733 1734 1735 1736 1737 1738 1739 1740 1741 1742 1743 1744 1745 1746 1747 1748 1749 1750 1751 1752 1753 1754 1755 1756 1757 1758 1759 1760 1761 1762 1763 1764 1765 1766 1767 1768 1769 1770 1771 1772 1773 1774 1775 1776 1777 1778 1779 1780 1781 1782 1783 1784 1785 1786 1787 1788 1789 1790 1791 1792 1793 1794 1795 1796 1797 1798 1799 1800 1801 1802 1803 1804 1805 1806 1807 1808 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 1827 1828 1829 1830 1831 1832 1833 1834 1835 1836 1837 1838 1839 1840 1841 1842 1843 1844 1845 1846 1847 1848 1849 1850 1851 1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862 1863 1864 1865 1866 1867 1868 1869 1870 1871 1872 1873 1874 1875 1876 1877 1878 1879 1880 1881 1882 1883 1884 1885 1886 1887 1888 1889 1890 1891 1892 1893 1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1899 1900 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911 1912 1913 1914 1915 1916 1917 1918 1919 1920 1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939 1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2050 2051 2052 2053 2054 2055 2056 2057 2058 2059 2060 2061 2062 2063 2064 2065 2066 2067 2068 2069 2070 2071 2072 2073 2074 2075 2076 2077 2078 2079 2080 2081 2082 2083 2084 2085 2086 2087 2088 2089 2090 2091 2092 2093 2094 2095 2096 2097 2098 2099 2100 2101 2102 2103 2104 2105 2106 2107 2108 2109 2110 2111 2112 2113 2114 2115 2116 2117 2118 2119 2120 2121 2122 2123 2124 2125 2126 2127 2128 2129 2130 2131 2132 2133 2134 2135 2136 2137 2138 2139 2140 2141 2142 2143 2144 2145 2146 2147 2148 2149 2150 2151 2152 2153 2154 2155 2156 2157 2158 2159 2160 2161 2162 2163 2164 2165 2166 2167 2168 2169 2170 2171 2172 2173 2174 2175 2176 2177 2178 2179 2180 2181 2182 2183 2184 2185 2186 2187 2188 2189 2190 2191 2192 2193 2194 2195 2196 2197 2198 2199 2200 2201 2202 2203 2204 2205 2206 2207 2208 2209 2210 2211 2212 2213 2214 2215 2216 2217 2218 2219 2220 2221 2222 2223 2224 2225 2226 2227 2228 2229 2230 2231 2232 2233 2234 2235 2236 2237 2238 2239 2240 2241 2242 2243 2244 2245 2246 2247 2248 2249 2250 2251 2252 2253 2254 2255 2256 2257 2258 2259 2260 2261 2262 2263 2264 2265 2266 2267 2268 2269 2270 2271 2272 2273 2274 2275 2276 2277 2278 2279 2280 2281 2282 2283 2284 2285 2286 2287 2288 2289 2290 2291 2292 2293 2294 2295 2296 2297 2298 2299 2300 2301 2302 2303 2304 2305 2306 2307 2308 2309 2310 2311 2312 2313 2314 2315 2316 2317 2318 2319 2320 2321 2322 2323 2324 2325 2326 2327 2328 2329 2330 2331 2332 2333 2334 2335 2336 2337 2338 2339 2340 2341 2342 2343 2344 2345 2346 2347 2348 2349 2350 2351 2352 2353 2354 2355 2356 2357 2358 2359 2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365 2366 2367 2368 2369 2370 2371 2372 2373 2374 2375 2376 2377 2378 2379 2380 2381 2382 2383 2384 2385 2386 2387 2388 2389 2390 2391 2392 2393 2394 2395 2396 2397 2398 2399 2400 2401 2402 2403 2404 2405 2406 2407 2408 2409 2410 2411 2412 2413 2414 2415 2416 2417 2418 2419 2420 2421 2422 2423 2424 2425 2426 2427 2428 2429 2430 2431 2432 2433 2434 2435 2436 2437 2438 2439 2440 2441 2442 2443 2444 2445 2446 2447 2448 2449 2450 2451 2452 2453 2454 2455 2456 2457 2458 2459 2460 2461 2462 2463 2464 2465 2466 2467 2468 2469 2470 2471 2472 2473 2474 2475 2476 2477 2478 2479 2480 2481 2482 2483 2484 2485 2486 2487 2488 2489 2490 2491 2492 2493 2494 2495 2496 2497 2498 2499 2500 2501 2502 2503 2504 2505 2506 2507 2508 2509 2510 2511 2512 2513 2514 2515 2516 2517 2518 2519 2520 2521 2522 2523 2524 2525 2526 2527 2528 2529 2530 2531 2532 2533 2534 2535 2536 2537 2538 2539 2540 2541 2542 2543 2544 2545 2546 2547 2548 2549 2550 2551 2552 2553 2554 2555 2556 2557 2558 2559 2560 2561 2562 2563 2564 2565 2566 2567 2568 2569 2570 2571 2572 2573 2574 2575 2576 2577 2578 2579 2580 2581 2582 2583

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100.

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100.

o. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100.

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|--|
| Long Vowels | ī | ā | ē | ō | ū | |
| | ēē | āā | ēē | ōō | ūū | |
| Short Vowels | ī | ē | ā | ō | ū | |
| | īī | ēē | āā | ōō | ūū | |
| Diphthongs
& Aspirate | ī | ē | ā | ō | ū | |
| | īī | ēē | āā | ōō | ūū | |
| Exophlads | ī | ē | ā | ō | ū | |
| | īī | ēē | āā | ōō | ūū | |
| Continuants | ī | ē | ā | ō | ū | |
| | īī | ēē | āā | ōō | ūū | |
| Liquids
& Nasals | ī | ē | ā | ō | ū | |
| | īī | ēē | āā | ōō | ūū | |
| Gutural
& Aspirate | ī | ē | ā | ō | ū | |
| | īī | ēē | āā | ōō | ūū | |

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100.

Conducted by Isaac Pitman, Bqt (Bath)

[illegible]

LONDON: Fred Pitman, *Phonetic Depo.* 20 Paternoster Row.
BOSTON, U.S. Otis Clap, 23, School Street.

JULY, 1852.

The Phonetic Spelling of (Nature), &c.

(Read it but, we do, it foot, if my, is now, is new, is such, is jet, is she, is vision.)

The next will play at Mr. Lott, in de Findin-
ate "Fonwick Atlooket" war adopted de shering "qui"
or de commendment or ward, insted or yether. He
leher is or de 1847 alphabet in de ten sound or a
diction in (tube), and a consonant and vowel in
(unity). He steks out he wil adopt noer genjer as
soon as he is proved he is advantageous, but wil
try nuting ny himself. He observes in relation to
shelings, "another shering but wil be genjer [i.e. de
Atlooket] is out or de (name, feature, posture)
and or wards. Instead or "netur, figur, postur",
we wil folo "natures (Worcester), pronunciation
or "necur, figur, postur", twic wil be niver
de ten frequent, but Berles and others pronounce
on or "necur, figur, postur", twic; mani things
he is ambloid."

This is a great oversight. Remarkable thing, it is discriminative as is h in here and there, in many contexts, it falls the point of view (2) in unaccented situation is the h pronounced (in just and have & in here). Another kind of error is

P, S, V, W, X, Z, and K, I, J, U, Y, as de sem, or nasli
de sem in de uper and loer-kes figure, dus enebly
de puchel tu akwyr die letters wis a lital mor dan
huf de lebur necesari for masterig de uders hwig
hav tu forme.

No i beg tu proposer dat de fortkuning fonetick
alphabet sal konten onli wun siver or letters;
dor ov de uper-kes biig distingguist from dor ov
de loer-kes misli by der greker sgr. Persons hu
ar eksperienst as tigers, printers, and leter-funder,
mit bi konsulted wis gret advantie as tu de se-
leksion ov letters from de uper and loer keser, bot
biig ikwale avclabel. Komparativli ignorant as
i am in sug matere, i ventur tu suggest dat de
uper-kes letters ov B, D, G, H, K deserv preferens,
hwyl i lud dijk I, M, N, R, T and Y ov de loer-kes
ot tu bi selekted.

Let mi no advert tu de leter K, hwyl i hop wil
find feour wis de Knsil. It is truli a kormopolika
leter, nou in ol kuntries ider ar a nasional or
foken leter, and it has never had but wun sord
given tu it. De others ov ol pronunsing ditionari
atest its gret valy by emploring it in der ordreepi.
De adopsion ov dis leter wud reliv meni ov de kon-
tinentel nelous from de kumbrous metode no
adopted tu ekspres de sord ov K. De Spanyards
and Portugis wud get rid ov der {qu}, de Italyans
ov der {ch}, and de Fraens ov der {cqu}, {quimera,
quinta, chiesa, acquerir}.

Anoder leter hwyl deservs tu bi hold kormopolika
is de griek Beta (Θ), and lyk K it has never had but
wun sord, dat hwyl is eksprest in Inglijf by {th}

159

in thing. Dis leter is koival wid de orijin ov de
 Grik alfabet, and har bin non ever sinte tu ol
 siviljrd nerson, derfor its adopsion by de Fonetisk
 Koncil is eksidigly desirabel. (Th) hsever, har
 tu modifikefoun ov sond, as in (thin, then);
 de tuz. biig roder mor advanst in prononsing
 de wun dan de ader. No in ples ov representig
 de tu sonds ov (th) by tu seperet letters, as ek-
 ribited in de "Fonetisk Jurnal", i beg tu propos
 oat de uper-kes Grik beta fud bi imploid as de
 simbol ov (th) in (thin), and dat de sem leter wid
 a lort perkendikyfar ljin bysektig de bar, fud bi de
 simbol ov (th) in (then); dus de lerner wud hav
 tu skwjr onli wun leter, and de sem slytli but
 distinktkli modifjd. It sime kwjt unnecesari
 tu inkur de preliminari ekspens ov a pumf
 for de modifjd beta, as eweri wun tu teks de
 "Jurnal" har it in his pser tu ekribit de modifjd
 beta by a singel strok ov his pen.

De wofoun by de Editer. De letters rekomended by
 Dr. Kurnin ar $\Theta\Theta$ thin, $\Theta\Theta$ then. Wi den not konsider
 dis letters so gaid as $\text{H}\Theta$, $\text{A}\Theta$. De frends ov fonetisk
 printig tu wif ol de kapital and smol letters
 tu bi ov de sem fep. tek de sem orsd on dis
 subjeet as did de man tu sed i; hi had had de
 meking ov de world, hi wud hav dan it murg, ieler.
 De Meker ov de yunivers ny ol tijd, and med
 eweri tij in a best maner. Printers no de
 rekwijsments ov kapital and loer kes letters, and
 fynd it necesari in sum instanser tu veni de
 tu korespondig letters tu set sug rekwijsments.
 Alder persons tu never had a korespondig stik

in der hand. bijk de no mer on dis subjeikt dan printere. We must riati bi alsoe te yure A & a, I & I, &, notwidistendi, der diferens ov form, until sam wan har aktyali printed a peij wid kapital and loerkes leters ov de sem lepe.

4. Ny Avenjment ov de Alfabet.

W. J. Madur

Permit mi te kol yur atensjon te de foloiz observesjon in referens te de klasifikesjon ov de konsonants and vsel. In de aktyasi ov de tabylar klasifikesjon hwiq i subjoin, i hav miself form konfiderens, and, if i am not in erur, it nesesarili givr rja te sum important konsiderelesjon in konektesjon wid alfabetik reform.

I mek de foloiz preliminari aserlesjon: -

1. Dat de konsonants ar separabel in te ori gret groups, ov hwiq m, n, and y ar respektivli, and in a positio sans, de inifal and ruit leters, and dat in struktyr ig group is eksaktli a kounterpart and fak-simili ov de uderr; de artikylelesjon diverjiy from de ruit leters lyk tranfer from de parent stem, and de goede ov afiliesjon widin ig group ar in everi respekt identikal.

2. Dat de vsel fol under a similar naturel klasifikesjon; der biij dus ori distinktet sets, respektivli de kounterparts ov de konsonantal groups, and ig vsel respektivli haviy a mer direkt afiliesjon wid de korespondentli posifond konsonant van wid eni uder.

Permit mi te ekspres dis fakts a litel mer

165 in ditel, even so sum ov de points you me
dim tryt and familiar, and sum you me
dim open toe disput.

166 De first konsonant in de human alfabet
is m, formd by kloring de lips. M pader intue
p by riopening de lips, dat is by ekraktkli reversey
de akfon. P wolozd by de semi-vokalgang akht
ov emitting de brett, bekums de german pf, as
in pfalgraben. P senderd sibilant by de tid, be-
kums de nekt nixest posibel artikulefon -- f.
P and f uterd konjointli giv an adifonal artikule-
fon, represented by ph. Ad de bekend sonda
and wun ov de Ori sets is komplited; -- afelcting
nijn letera.

167 De letera ov de uder sets ar evolvd by a simila
proses. Dus, de sekond nut leter is n, formd by
pleiding de tip ov de tuz toe de palet, nix de uper
tid. Revers de akfon and you hav t. Proloy t
as deskribd in de les ov p, and you hav th, but,
let t bi senderd sibilant, and dus slid by de
nixest posibel egrefon intue a ny artikulefon,
and you hav (sh); de tu (t, sh) artikuleted kon-
jointli giv (tch) or (ch). From de superior mobi-
liti ov de tip ov de tuz over de uder spiz-formig
organs, de kompond (ch) apint toe bi mor per-
fektli kompakted dan de konterpart kompond
ph. Toe de sem kor me also bi referd de presens
ov de tu adifonal artikulefons ov dat set, vol

De terd nut leter is y, formd by kontakt
ov de iner end ov de tuz wid de palet. Revers
de akfon, and y openr intue its paerensfal
konterpart k. Proloy k presjoli ar deskribd

in de twa former keder, and you hav kh (Welfbly-
dach). Let R egrés intae de narest posibel arti-
kulefon, and you hav de sibilant S. Artikylet
de twa konjointli, and you hav (x). In de kes ov
(x) wi hav a singel tip for de konjoint artikulefon,
but in its kounterpart komponds pck and pkh wi
hav dygraft in heterotipi. Ad de bekend sonde
and you komplit de berd set.

Wi hav dus a sirie o 27 konsonants konsistig ov
tri grups; iz grupp konsistig ov nijn artikulefon,
evolvd in presisli identikal order in iz grupp.

Der nemmen but r and l. Bar biig leters form
iz de tip ov de twa, naturali fol intae de sam-
lyn wid de n grupp, but der not uderwijz aflikt
in struktuur. R prolozd bekums rh Welf. Its
narest point ov egrafon iz l. L prolozd bekums
 ll Welf. Wi dus klasifi 31 konsonantal artikulefon.

De foloig tekei represents tu de f hwot f hav
ekshrest in de fongoung obsewefon.

Lip Artikulefon.

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|-------------------|-----|
| M | prerenal kounterpart | P | } | bridd prolozgefou | Pf* |
| | dentalizd kounterpart | F | | konjoint uterans | Ph* |

Tip ov de Twa Artikulefon.

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|-------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|-----|
| N | prerenal kounterpart | T | } | bridd prolozgefou | B | } | R | bridd prolozgefou | Rk* |
| | dentalizd kounterpart | $\text{\textcircled{Z}}$
($\text{\textcircled{Z}}$) | | konjoint uterans | $\text{\textcircled{C}}$
($\text{\textcircled{C}}$) | | L | bridd prolozgefou | Ll* |

Sierfes ov de Twa Artikulefon.

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|-------------------|-----|
| W | prerenal kounterpart | K | } | bridd prolozgefou | Kh* |
| | dentalizd kounterpart | S | | konjoint uterans | X |

Proprieti Asertend in her Piktur.

(Continued from p. 44. The first paragraph is given in the original orthography.)

If writing then must represent utterance, present

73 it to natives, and convey it to strangers, if prose must be pure and melodious as verse; tho it cannot alike ascertain either the quality or the quantity or sound; purity of speech will dictate purity of picture, and the genuine picture will reflect the genuine original. Thus the unviscated ear furnishes rascional entertainment to the eye, and the unviscated eye returns the melody to the ear. On their mutual dependance, depends human language; and on their adjustment, the language of harmony. Either erring, must injure the other; but the error of the ear tho striking for the moment, is less consequencialy and less extendedly fatal.

"Vox emissa perit littera scripta manet"

Emitted voice is swolod soon in air;

The risen word alon de test kan ber.

A pentameter bilt (by humssoever) on de elegant hemistich of Horos -

"nescit vox missa reverti",

or komplaity an elejjak wid his heksoameter:

Et semel emissum volat irrevocabile verbum

The livin word, let loos, returne no mor;

The kunig transkript garde de golden stor.

74 Laywerj unpented, must konting unnon. When
 instord riter did but koinjd wid anuder kuu
 ded - "If de trumpet giv an unsertin ssond, I hum
 ful preper himself for de babel." Spig dertor find
 fest asertiment, and den stabilite, onli in its
 piktyr; for der alon kud it bi deposite and ek
 ramind. Widst orboepi, (or presjs original) kan bi
 no orbografi, or presjs piktyr; but widst de later

4
1
wer de former lost. Ordepi ripend by de stil pser
ov analoji; hui operets in yued, kold blind by blind
obseverer, do astles

Quem penes arbitrium est, et ius et norma loquendi.
Dat lord ov laggewej hui komanda de skulr,
Dat heven-born despot, hui by raron ruulr!

Tu tye purpos de Ingglij Hoers: -

Ol neker is but art, unnon te di;
Ol gans, direkfon hwig do kanst not si.

Ortografi kunnr, at last, te embodi ordepi; and
dispelr everi kloud dat darkend her asertener.
Pop mit naturali se

5
In sunr der faders felij laggewej si;
but never hararded a les jundijes profesi dan dat
hi subjoins: -

And dat hwig Coser is, ful Drjden bi.

6
Beter dan anuder ny hi hui sed so (yuz as hi
wor,) dat in Coser tjin Ingglij wor sug a jargon
as no jinius kaid bring widin sft ov analoji; dat,
on de kontrari, de profesjer, dus hoplesli, ov Drjden
wor sledi kontendij wid his master alft, and
his leter kontemporariz, hui fed bring de far-advant
laggewej up te dat standard hwig hi and de
rejoist te bikold at hand. Under Jekspir and
Ben Jonson, hui bot brot it forward, stil wor it
far awe. Ol de bri gret poets wed der wuuda te
wel te liv de pikter at random, and wer perhaps
de ferst ov Ingglij jiniuser hui persivd de impor-
tans ov ortografi. De kaid nider ber te hir nor te
si "Jekspir" or "Jonson", "sutel", or "sot", spelt (Shake-
speare, Johnson, subtle, sought), as wi no from
de edifonr de respektivli superintended.

Of Robert Langham.

177

Now wen der de ferst Inglyf ryters hie so de kon-
 sekvens ov rytyng properli. Tins de komplaison ov dis
 wurk [*Propositi Aserend*] has de oour bin ri-
 animated by fynding himself not de ferst Briton
 hie dird tae pent Britisf Laygwey from de liff;
 so hi alon, loy ago, redy et her principels tae a
 spens, and has alon hir prerusnd tae sistematiz
 her piktyr. De literet ar injinies Robert Layham
 promoted by de loll ov {Leicester}, hie hi selebrets
 under de natural nem ov {Leyceter} at de kort ov
 Kwin Elirabet give a spirited akont ov Part ov
 de Entertennment "presented unto" her Majesti at
 "Kilignurst (or "Kannelworth")" castl in Warwick-shier,
 in a letter "unto" Master "humprey" Martin, mergant
 ov London; printed in 1575, de yir ov de Roial Pro-
 gres dat okesond it. On dis kyryns, and at leyf
 selebreted pamphlet, upirir perhaps de ferst, and
 kwertyoules or boldest Britisf efort, prising de
 present, he present Inglyf words (by Inglyf letters)
 in rytyng. For der obviusli de sem plan ov yuriny
 ar fy ar posibel simbols for wun sond, konse-
 kwentli sonda for wun simbol; or rethensly sy-
 superfluitie, sublytyng deletet, and rytyng nay (widst
 nyterantre), or telyng trust and duyng justis,
 exhibitis "too (wid "un too" and "into") doo, moov, proov,
 yoo, yooth, uncooth, thorough, blud, pacient, po-
 tencial, mencion, ar, war, ix, hix, wix, use,
 abure, excure, dispose, nore, noir, caur, prais,
 present, derent, Cerar, damnel, tel, of, od, goddes,
 highnes, fautes, iland, dout, scool, red, hed, hart,
 aw, ar, wer, fire (or fiery), gard, beld, banket, hole,

mannage, hummy, taken, pollecy, naddy, chappel,
riddel, spindel, candel, atter, and de lyk.

Afred ov misreprentefon, dens firdes ov koinsiden-
dens, hi admitted but litel substitufon. So hi
did ryt "fyrst" and "Tyr", hi rot "stur" (de present ov
de old "stour" dust sterd) "burd, sun, surr", and "cum",
huwig hav kum in osentli, huwer not yunsfaeli, inke
"first" and "Lir", widd "stir, bird, com, som", and "son",
as wel as "don". Der felo-distigktiv. "Too" hi boldde
yurd in ol de bria sander, his distiggiwift bi "to",
"two" and "too". His prinsipel wor he emploi de
direkt figer for de direkt sibilifon, de depressiv
for de depressiv. Heris rot hi "medsin, paciens,
pallais, mallis, vois", (so hi wel nu bot de Fraens
and Latin nouns) for "medicine, patience, pallace,
mallice, voice", so "saye, starr, beame, queene,
corns", as mor praesid. dan "says, starrs, beame, queene,
corns", and similar. He avoided even de aspirant
ov a diffoy in sug as "kno, besto, follo, soll, hider",
he eldower printed "know, bestow, follow, soul", He
kud not ber de sif ov tue konsonants for wun, derfor
gor hi (huwig he ffinal) "bak, nek, stik, loka, luk",
for "bac, nec, stic, loc, luc; so "publik, "Warwick"
(or Warwoyk) for "public" and "Warwic", insertig he-
ever de c, als wi subjoin de k, in "backing, sticking,
lucky", or de lyk. He did not indid olwes pers-
siv, mor dan his suksesiv debesers, de mid ov
foig a futer [ov-de vsel]: stil hoever did hi far-
eksid dem in penig "facion, disporicion, provision,
heruse, birrines", for "fascion, (or fashion) disposission,
provirrion, trearuse, birrines or birnes". Inkepabel
ov foig "woman" and "yeomen", and "people", hi

178
C⁷

did fo "wooman" and "weemen", or "wemen", woutig
enli de fustig duplikefon ov "woomman" and
"wimmen"; he fōd "yeeman", or "yemen" as de wer
and ar; and "peepel" or "pepl" but wun step from
de properjeti ov "pepel". x x x x x x x

179

In Irlips edijon ov Goser, 1602, and dens mor
or les tru de 17th senturi, semend unkorrupted
"labor, comming, sometimes, default, skil, onely,
diverse", as wid smol reprimensibiliti "moove,
proove, forraigne, feine, unfained, hartie, cur-
tisie, florish, character, tong, Petrarche", and der
numberles feloz. But no dat so laggweij has
abend de esens ov perfektion, her pikker and Ji,
dat is spig and rijtig konting at konstant verian,
Iyglif (for wout ov a test) nesesarili korupting
in de veri kapital, kanot disend in pyriti ak-
sesibel tu de strenjer. Nudig derfor kan prevent
so dikfon from relapsig into barbarism, far-
les open her sluises ov fertiliti and fregrans, but
de sistematik asertennment hwiig has bin his
ekribited, eri ar important, and, ar important
indispensabel.

180

Or Benjamin Franklin ESQ.

This indispensabiliti kaid not eskep de filosofik
Franklin, heu har loy lukt Netur elektrikali tru.
Not hsever til de komplisjon ov de present sistem,
did its konstrukter hin ov de Dokters injinies
plan for a "Reformed Mod ov Speling", wid de kom-
unikesjon ov hwiig de former has just bin onurd.
Nider kan no bi sori tu persiv so klos a koin-
sident in de gret prinsipels huens must sprij
de Reformesjon, hwiig bot so so mug wanted.

Attenfon must indid inadictli satisfi inkuzri,
 dat for dis glorius efekt nubiy wor aktiwirrit
 but tae lop redundant, dupli defifens, and
 ajust simbol. Tæ ad dat simbol kan bi ajustet
 onli in its ples, and sond represented hwer alon
 it is hend, wex tæ aren komon sens, did it not
 aren komon praktis. Tæ suc ajustment wor no
 les obviuoli necesari cveri praktikabel aproq
 tæ nctur on yus ov de frest posibel simbol
 for wun sond, and de frest posibel sond for
 wun simbol. Ne, bot analogists had sertenli
 wun jdia, ov fasilitetiy ar wel ar fiksiy lyg
spig, and konskwentli, ov proporsiy ar litel geni
ar posibel in de piktur. In de prosekufon ov dis
 jdia de dæ begin tæ dife. De dohtur bot sik
 ny karakterz wud improv de alfabet; but kon
 trjod dem stel in konsert wid analogi. Perswiny
 (1) lut tæ bi de substityt ov (2) brod, lut or foth,
 hi brodend (2) tæ komprihend bot. Tæ de holo,
 inseperabel (u) lut, pekyliar perhæps tæ or tæy,
 bi giv a dækeny ov (u) open. Dat no simpel
 konsonants kæn bi wouty, hi ny. De tæ kom
 ponents ov de neral sond hi sifferd, verolviy
 dat wun fud never suffi, if it kæn not olwer.
 Nri aspirers, lyk de Griks, hi nektyd, de sibi
 tetiy bi kombind "si," hwiç dæ (in "Asia," and did
 in "occasion" for "occursion") kompoz it. De dental
 aspirer, hwiç de Latins lef open in de sol
 smifon de gev it, de Doktur wor tæ sagelus,
 and tæ spirised not tæ pent in bot pærs; by
 rifali veniy de kognet figurs, at wuns from ig
 uder, and from der Lakson ansestur. But,

180 sine ph and bh had already got simple substitutes in f
and v, if the direct and deprediv, nift bi viderd in
wun form, th and dh. must klem seperet, do kognet
simbols: els had de bot sind resivers if not kon
dukters ov dat fok, or dat flaf hwig filosofi alon
kan serinli ekspekt from de jar ov elements.

181 Not tae enter on de Doktors vokal substitusjon,
kombinesjon, or nder verisjon, wid hwotever in-
jengiti adapted tae de grand. prinsipel ov apro-
prietij wun simbol tae wun soun, de present
systematist kan nader deny his rigt ov so mug-
koincidens wid so aket an observer, nor pretend
humiliefon dat de system har konstruktet ad-
mits nader eni ny karakters, nor eveni sug yus
ov de old. Fond ov de kntenans and koroborefon
hwig a Layham, a Franklin, and ol de votaris
ov truit must reflekt on ig nder, no les dan fjod
wid de onur, de inseparabli do respektivli par-
tek in her servis; hi har found himself enebeld
bj her fyt tae fo de Inggliet sistem ov ortografik sim-
bols kompliet. Sug inidid has bin de olmost sem-
nes ov de human alfabet, lyk dat ov de soun it
wor tae konve, ar dis ov de organs dat wer tae
emit dem, dat in number it kued not mug veri,
from de ferst tae de letest laygwey. Nru ol ejes
and dialekts must de veri nems ov de leters har
prerewd sum suksesiv analoji, and even der res-
pektiv figyr bin mor or les konektedli tresabel;
do dis alon, wid its employment, wor ever in
human psen. So sufisent derfor har bin de sim-
bolik sistem, dat from griek tae Latin, wid litel,
and lens wid skers eni verisfon, har it fulli re-

presented even dissonant spiq. To sublim, it must
 be end, wor de virebel struk ter or primitiv laygwij
 dat tel de gradual inwenfon or de Griek alfabet, a
 vrel wor no mor sin in de artikuletiq sistem, dan
 a sol in de bodi. De inviribel, intelektual, imma-
 terial, immortal spirit, animctiq, muvinq, and
 ggdinq its organirefon, ny wel hs te elidit from de
 organik sistem (or symbolr) de intended and in-
 dispensabel, de rasional and harmonius ssondr
 dat verid de vois or trust. Nor, tel multipliq dij-
 aletts, or dijenerctiq man, renderd okular edr
 sekvirrit, wer vokal deliniefonr invented; vois
 biq indid no mor dan spirit, an objekt or de
 boadit g. Sum fent idia has bin given or Dr.
 Franklin's plan: an eksemplifikafon or its pik-
 turej wud but terif de vider (perhabs otdedi suf-
 fientli teriffid) wud de aspirans or a stel mor fon-
 laygwij. Yet de tuc leters hwiq den originali
 krenplif de kurius sistem, wil (nor wil de
 riterz negret it) den ijk standiq onur tuc dis.
 (Zi bi kontinued.)

From J. G. Dyak, Maastrick, by Old Ren, Aberdeen.
 I ijk muc a eksperiments goiq on wic de printiq
 alfabet, and hijk dat de wil result in a konsiderabel
 improvment in de 1847 alfabet. I dont understand
 hwiq you print ei for I. I hav olwer konsiderd
 dat diffoz tuc bi komford or de fort vrel n^o 341,
 and konsekwentli g wud hav ai. If in dis diffoz
 you yue de leters ei, as in met & mit, as you sertenf
 ou, you den print for de byglif I hwiq is ekpraktli
 de Ikoq diffoz, hwiq pured wellis so muc tuc un-

PRECURSUR.

Conducted by Isaac Pitman, Bqt (Bath).

2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100.

LONDON: Fred Pitman, Phonetic Depo, 20 Paternoster Ro.

BOSTON, U.S. Otis Clap, 23, School Street.

AUGUST, 1852.

The Phonetic Council.

The publication, during the past month, of the *Fonetik Kwarterli*, *Tokij Paper* of the British Fonetik Council, containing 28 designs agreed at by the American Council, and 73 motions by members of the British Council, namely 2 by Mr Dornbal, and 71 by the President (the last of which are to be voted on by the British Council,) since the last for a few observations on the principal topics introduced into the designs and motions.

Dr. How, the President of the American Fonetik Council has kindly forwarded the 19 members of the British Council a lithograph serkylar containing 10 fonetik alphabets, proposed by various members of the American Council. His recommendation is 8 vsl set, and the Am. Council have voted in favor of it. It is well known, that a single book in it, will be the best as well as the public, will prefer a little inaccuracy of fonetik representation (no more than printing the press or words het, per, pat, par, cot, cor, cut, cur, and the same vsl) to the presence of ugly or indistinct types. We hold the opinion that it is better the print work is proposed, if the first be only a probability of it.

biig apruud ov, kip hvot is gud and oer de bad
xwe, dan tae spend tjin in misli tokiig abst fo-
netik alfabet.

De President ov de Britif Knsil asks its mem-
bers not tae saytson eni atemnt tae improve de 1847
alfabet, eksept in de "Fonetik Knsil haet" - a
4-pejd munbli libograft sarkular, de ferst num-
ber ov hwig apird in Me, - 7 munbts after de
formefon ov de Knsil, and de elekfon ov its ofis-
ers, hwil de nekst munt wor suferd tae pas bj,
widst de ift ov a number, and de sekond num-
ber insted ov asisting in ekstendin de fonetik prin-
sipel ov speliig bj de employment ov de old fonetik
alfabet, or sugestin sumtign beter, and foig h's it
wud work, aktuali okupje 2 pejer (or haf ov de
publikefon) in romanik speliig, wid remarks ov
a general kjnd dat den not kum under de hed
"nesesari birnes ov de Knsil", and hwig mift den
for hav bin given in fonetik speliig. In replj
tae a former komplent wi mied on dis subjeikt,
Mr Ellis obsevs "It is kwit posibel tae emploi de wel-
non romanik alfabet for de purpos ov arguments
even upon Fonetik subjeikt". Undstedli, but no
progres wil derbj bi mied in praktikal fonetik
rftig and printig. Wun mift ar wel praktis swim-
in on de flor insted ov in de woter.

De interests ov de printig department ov de
Reform ar in iminent denjer. Not dat wi hav
eni firs dat fonotipi wil dj, but if de Knsil den
not tek prompt mezsurs, it wil laigwif in sik-
nes til "1 July, 1854". It wil den tek at list 2
yirs tae sekuer its strengt; and den at de end ov

for years, wi fal bi just hwer wi me bi 6 months
hens, if de Knsil wil akt wid promptitude.

A desizion on sum ov de maters brot befor de
Knsil wil bi oo valy; sum kanot bi desided apart
from a trijal ov hwot is propord; and sum nder,
ar presented in sug a maner dat no direk
anser kan bi given, and konsekwentli de return
in sug keses kanot bi depended on. As an in-
stans ov de last klas ov keses, wi refer te No. 24
in de desizions ov de Amerikan Knsil. — "Be vsel
in nor, authentic is aw." No it is wel non dat de
ferst kes is a disputed wun, and de sekond is
undisputed. § Ov hwot yus is it te ples dis te
wurde tegeder ar belong te wun klas, kontening
ider aw or o. Nobodi ever dat dat de ferst sit-
abel ov authentic kontent eni nder vsel dan de
loz aw ov law, ought. But de vsel in nor is held
by sum te bi aw, and by nder o. Dis is not de
ples te present de sizons for konsidering dat
Kerr, Carr, cor (nor), cur konten fort vsels, folod
by de gubernal I, and dat Kerry, carry, corridor,
(Norris) curry konten fort vsels folod by a trid I.
Wi misli point 3: de inutility ov pleding befor
de Britif Knsil de desizion ov de Amerikan Knsil
in dis form, bekoz hwever der not aferm dat nor
kontent de sem vsel ar gnaw, tasitli denjs dat
de ferst vsel in authentic is aw, ov twig everi
member ov de Knsil is konvinst. De kwestyon
wor part te de Am. No. dus: — "Nor, authentic; § Is
it aw or o; and de anser wor, "36 aw, 3 o, 1 veris".
De anser ov de 36 members is konsistent wid de
Amerikan kustom ov droling st de vsels. De even

is aw in long, strong, Long, Boston, &c! (It is strong
 dat dis long pronunciation ov fort vöels jaid bi
 sam afond bi sum ov sr fonetik frendr in de
 Yungsted Stets, hwen der is no kontenans given
 the it in der standard dikfönariz, — dox ov Web-
 ster and Wüster. Mr. Longli avoids it in de "Fo-
 netik Advokket," but Mr. Andrus konstantli yu-
 rer it in de "Propagandist.") De anser ov de 3
 members for dat de did not understand de kwæ-
 tyon, for fuerli de den not pronouns authentic
 wis de vöel ov not. De anser ov de siggel vot,
 dat de twu wüdr "verö," is, in sr opinyon, rjt;
 and de Præsident ov de Britif Kunsil noij dat dis
 is a disputed kes, st he hav dividid de Amerikan
 desizon in twu. Hi wil perhæps se hi had
 no rjt he olter an ofisal dokymēt, but it wæs
 onli hav bin askij for de informeson twig Dr. Ston
 wist he obten, if de mater had bin plest befor
 de Britif Kunsil bes:—"Amerikan Desizon, Nr 24^a,
 de vöel in now is aw; 24^b, de vöel in authentic is
 aw. Dot on is seperetli." Wi hav siggeld st dis
 kes bekör wi hav had pruf dat it wil bi a sorö
 ov inakypet returns. A member informs us
 dat hi markt a teros (signiffij yes) he dis kwæ-
 tyon in his votin peper, but sed dat hi had his
 dots abot now. Hi had not se so on de votin
 peper, bekör dis wüdr hav nulifid his vot.

In sr opinyon Dr. Ston wüdr hav-dun de kør-
 terer servis if, insted ov so mug korespondens
 wid de members, and so mug diskusjon in de
 "Advoket," wüdr a trjal ov eni ov de plans rekön-
 ended (eksept a spelij eksperiment ov Profeser

Haldemane) hi had, a year ago, komenst printing a periodikal ov at list haf a fit, and not les frikwentli dan woud a fortnyt, for de purpos ov puttyng tse de best, de proposishons med bi members ov de Am. Ks. A siggel byal woud hav suffed for most ov de ny leterz in his serkyiar.

It is not nesessari dat wi shud go thru de 101 motions and afermations. De August "Gazet," tse bi publikt erli in de month, (de vots biig hold for 31 July) wil giv de desizons ov de Britis Ks. on dem. Ov de valy dat wil atag tse dis desizons, wi hav meni dots, bekor sum ov de subjekts ar not presented klerli befor de rider. "I began my votyng peper iast nyt" ses a member tse us, dis morning (20 July), "and hav put in 20 kroses blodi, but der is a gud dah ov it dat is real difikult tse understand & so meni motions, kontermotions, amendments, &c, dat it kwiit boders wen. To sever, i wil duu my best wid it." Dis, wi tink, wil bi de filyng ov every member dat returnz de Gwonderli Votyng Peper. Sum wil giv it up in desper, or mark, in sum keser, at random. Befor dis dokument apird, anuder member obserrd, on ridyng de Me and July numbers ov de "Gazet": "Der is an intrikedi in de wurdyn ov de "Gazet" hwiig meks mi rid it as if i wer fudeld. I kanot komprichend it. And yet i kan komprichend de difest metafisiks wid in and plezur. Nor kan i tel hvot it is dat boders mi. It is bysifueli litograft. Perhaps de konfyzon i fil it gaffli in legisleson. If i dard tse se so, i shud se der is a menia for legisleson sumhuver, - dat it is a

191
192
193
trifled overdone." Wi sal bi veri sori indid if de
Reform shud bi held in cek by de Kmsil for 2 yirs
trou de toking ov de members abot hwot shud bi,
and st tu bi, insted ov foing hwot Kan bi.

The Am. Ws. havin decided upon an 8-vsel skel,
and rekomended an a-form for de sekond loy
vsel, so dat pat rids pate insted ov pat, de hav
tu dros upon de forms A a for fiv varjetis ov
tjip! ar in de foloing alfabet from D'Sons sarkular:

"N^o 9. By Jenn^r W. Lion, Boston.

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|-----|-------|------|-------|---------------|--------|-----|------|
| Loy
Vselr | { | Ee | Aa | Aq | Aq | Ee | Θe | Ωa | Ωa |
| | { | Ee | Aa | Aq | Aq | Ee | Θe | Ωa | Ωa |
| | | eat | age | hair | palin | earnest | nought | own | fool |
| | | oar | Mayor | mare | farm | her worth | corn | oar | poor |
| | | | Mary | | | cur | owing | | |
| | | | | | | be her | | | |
| | | | | | | de la Fayette | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------|
| Loy
Vselr | { | Ii | Ee | Aa | Aa | Uu | Oo | Oo | Ww |
| | { | Ii | Ee | Aa | Aa | Uu | Oo | Oo | Ww |
| | | it | edge | at | last among era | hut | not | Phonogra-
hic | full |
| | | impos-
sible | erring
enough | Harry | partake
dollar
probable | curry
Roxbury
mention | neighbor
opinion | prologue
Ohio
following | pull |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|----|-------|-----|-----|------|------------|---|-----|-------|
| Dif-
fogr | { | Th | Θ | Ω | W | U | Konsonants | { | Th | Θ |
| | { | Th | Θ | Ω | W | U | | { | Th | Θ |
| | | my | noise | now | use | time | | | thy | thigh |

194
195
Dis alfabet reside hjer apruval dan eni nder ov
de ien presented, for "I members ov de [American]
Kmsil agri substanti in it." And de wil perhaps
never disagree wid it so loy ar it eksists onli in de
form ov an alfabet, but let a buk bi printed in it,
and de wil find dat de j has its rits, as wel as
de in.

De kons wi rekomend tu de Igglⁱ Kmsil is, tu
fiks upon tjs for de siks standard loy and fort vsels,

de tri diffoze i, ow, ew (hwiq wi bijk kanot bi beter akomodated dan bi $\text{H}\ddot{\text{z}}, \text{O}\ddot{\text{o}}, \text{U}\ddot{\text{u}}$) de siks necesari ne konsonants ch, th, th, sh, zh, ng, for hwiq $\text{Cg}, \text{H}\theta, \text{A}\text{t}, \text{Sf}, \text{Zz}, \text{V}\eta$, work beter dan eni iders yet (propord,) and let de yuud ov adifonal leters for pyr vsels hwiq betwin de siks standards bi ofsonal wid printers. It wud liv open de kwestyon ov tps for de open diffoze ai (eye) oi (voice).? Hwot harm kued ajr tu de Reform if wun printer gor tu hav siigel tps for ai, oi, anuder represent dem bi de leters ai, oi. (ai is roy bekor it meks a disilabel), and a kerd hav a siigel leter for oi and tuu for ai. Dis kued not afekt de Reform injuriusli, for ~~the~~ dis diffoze dui not okur abuw wuns in a pej, and ol de ider leters ov de alfabet wud bi yuud in de sem sens bi ol; hweras debetij abot dis maters tips de Reform in suspens.

Heaving repated sum ov de plesantais spoken in an under ton respekting de "Garet", it is onli fer dat wi menfon sum ov de hard bips dat hav bin sed ov orselvs and de Jurnal. On dis subjekt, hsever, wi hav ov kers, kerd muq les dan ov iders. Wun member ov de Konsil obsever "I hav sist tu regard de Jurnal as de organ ov de Fonetik Reform movement, a movement it har so efektyali gekt ar tu sender and organ unnecesari! [!!] The Jurnal is, wid de eksepsjon ov de ekselent liding artikels, simpli a midium for eksperiments wid Fonetik tps. Kwen it is remembered dat for ol praktikal edukefonai purposes wi had a sufisientli komplait alfabet, and numerus and ekspensiv buks printed in akordans derwid, most ov de eksperiments ov 1852 apur most injudisus. I kud hav felt no unizines at eni eksperiments resulking

from the Loketiv ekspirients ov de Konsil, had de bin konfina eksklusivli toe de Konsil, ar de unkwesky on abli fied hav bin! But i hav bin grivd toe si de meni purposles ekspiriments, de denig and de undenig, and mortified toe sijk dat dis kros has bin wikli presented toe de wuold ar de fonotipi ov 1852. I sijk no wun in de wuold wud hav fon de sem insent ov devosjon in atending toe perfekt a fonetiek representelon ov de langweij ar yur human; but yur ekspiriments apir toe mi toe assembl nutig so mug ar direkty wuns on living gild "to advans de interests ov sjens". Yur hav gopt and sod in eveni direktyon, but no dat yur ar justig de parts tageder agen, yur find dat de lif has departed. Yur motivs and yur insesant leburs wil komand eveni wuns pros, but yur judgment wil, i am fur, bi apnuvd by fu. De gif advantej hwiq i konsio wil result from meni ov de ekspiriments wil bi, dat in fetyr hwen ani absurditi is propord, wi sal, most lykli, bi ebel toe de "It wout die, it has bin slaedi tyd."

Dis deklaretyon ov de ryter on opinyon ov de stet ov de Reform, hwiq wi no rom or konektyon wid it, is not true, wi wer sekwestred toe insert in de Journal, and if wi did not den so, wi wer told dat withholding it wud bi konsiderd an aknoledgment on or part dat de kros wi had persued wor unjustiffabel. No verid ar menr jdiar ov ryt and roy! - ar verid ar or der afektjone! We beliv wi hav persued de best kros for de advansment and perpetuati ov de Reform; anuder person sijks disorentli and deklere if wi den not publif his opinyon, hi

77 Ial konsiderit ikwivalent te an aknowledgment dat wi
 hav den roq. We let us put a parallel kes. "You, Tom
 Pona, ar held gilti ov roberi. We hav serten spinning
 sentiments, and surmijer upon de mater; and sum-
 ting dat almost amounts te sertoekomstafal evidens
 out sug. is de kes. If you den not mek non ar wijdt
 ar you kan, dis sentiments ov roe, you wil dertij
 konfes yuunself gilti."

18 De eksperiments jon in de Jurnal did result
 from de 'kollektiv wisdom ov de Kousil', so far ar
 de members wer willing and ebel te ofer sugestjons.
 Wi kept bak nufing dat wi wer ebel te present. Then
 a member sent a fonetik skem dat wurd surpass de
 Amerikan & veel skel. for her-splitting distinkfons,
 tegeder wid several pejer ov fonetik observefons.
 Dis wi requested him te rjt it in his on alfabet,
 and wi wurd present de alfabet and remarks te de
 Kousil in de "Pactursor". His replj wor, "te transkrip-
 den [from romanik speling] in eni uder alfabet,
 wurd bi a west ov tijn, ar no goed purpos kued
 bi gend by it". Yet dis veri fonetik alfabet wor
 advanst. and rekomended for yuuniversal adopsion.
 Te hav presented it in de Jurnal wurd hav sekund
 & my punfs, - an ekspens hwiq hi wift te avoid.
 So de kommittefons ov Josef Edmundson in de
 Jurnal, siken befor hi wor a member ov de Kousil,
 ov les valy dan de wurd bi if siken no dat hi has
 bin elektet by de Komiti te fil a vekansi.

9 De ridij ov de abur leter forsibli semjnded us
 ov Kolrijer anekdot ov de "glent wijr man". Kol-
 rij wor wuns at a diner parti, and amuz de gests
 wor a man wid a hif and ekopansiv forked, and

199 a serin ekspoeson ov kontenans, but hie never opened
his lips. De kompani gev him kredit for de poreson
ov a veri intelijent mynd, and gret modesti, until
hi diskeid der iluzjon bi ekskleminig wid gla, on
sum bekt apel dumplings biig brot toe de tabel —
"Denia de jokis for j." If der members ov de Knsail
hien wer invited toe konmitet toe de Jernal ader
sujestjone for de improvement ov de alfabet, ov
virona for not improving it, or for deleij de wurk
2 yirs, or ar Welles wijes for a hol "jenereson", gor
toe hold der pis, wi kanot se de had natiig toe ofer,
ol wi no is dat de did not rjt.

200 Wi kneid yir meni bytifuul and enkurejig eks-
trakts from leters apruiving ov de nu alfabet, and de mod
bi hwiig it har bin atend, but it is unmetesari. Dis
yir wi hav toe lisen toe de komplents ov de fonotipik
konservativs, and last yir wi had toe lisen toe de
komplents ov de fonografik konservativs. Bi stedili
prosekutijg de fonografik eksperimente in de "Fonogra-
fer", wi hav no, ar fon in de nu, diris ov dis wurk,
publist in Jun & Julij dis yir, a mor bytifuul, brif,
kompliat, and harmonius sistem; and it is aknoledjt
toe bi so bi niali ol. Er stet, kseuer, is far mor tol-
erabel no dan it wor last yir, for hwil wi an onli
akurd ov duwig dat hwiig is ikwivalent toe de murder
ov an inosent gjld, and dat gjld ge on, at hwiig
wi kan swijl in piti for de wout ov similitud in de
simili — for wi hav niali onli remuurd a tymor
from a luvli fes — wi had last yir toe lisen toe
de eksjted filijis ov durn ov de frends ov de fonetik
kor, hie used brek frendship wid us, &c. &c. if wi dird
toe teg de sekred fonografik edifis; and wun went

so far as the wife that he had a string over the "Fonografen"
round or neck. No ol dis is wurs dan feelif. It is wick-
ed. Even if it wer true dat de editer ov de "Fonetic
Journal" had bekum a monomaniak, ar anuder
garibabli suporer, ar de onli we ov akontig for or
akontis the improv-fonografi and fonotipi, befor
dis arts wud bekum so ekstended ar the bi past de
pser ov otkrefon, eksept by sug. an efort ar shelig
reformers ar no meting for de introdukshon ov fonetike
shelig - stil it wud bi univgr the your vjotent lagging
the us. A maniak kan bi restrend by kind, beter dan
by hanf, yureij. Supor wi ar as stubborn ar a pig,
de onli efekt ov perlij us by de tel, the kip us bak,
wil bi the ung us on. We ar wiling dat pipel fied se
enibig hwotever ov us, if de wil onli rjt it fonetikali.
We liv de fonetik kor ar kartili ar eni wun, and ar
wiling dat ol fied bi at perfekt liberti the advans it
in der on we. As Mr. Mak Mullen sed last yir, abst
de hubub werd respektig de fonografik improv-
ments, de disconfients mek de hubub, and den kom-
plen abst it. If eni wun has sist the lig fonotipi
by de old alfabet, hwyl de ny wun is in progres,
hi alon is the bi blind. De Fonetik Journal wor
open for 6 munts the eni proposals from members
ov de Konsil, and uders, or the diskus de kwestyon
hweder de defekts ov de ^{alfabet} Bly₁ wud bi beter remuud
in 1852, or at a later period.

It fy mor obseveson on de abuv leter ov a mem-
ber ov de Konsil ar nesetari. So far as wi no, hi had
kommuniketed no sijestyon himself for de improv-
ment ov de alfabet, nor pronoust an opinyon on
enibig dat has bin propord, eksept dat I saw, & so,

is beter dan o saw, o so. In de grand argument ad-
 vanst by de fonetika konservativs — "for ol edukesjonal
 purposes wi had a sufijentli komplet alfabet, and
 numerus and ekspensiv books printed derwid", and
 derfor no geyj fied hav bin attempted, — wi hav veri
 meug tae se, but spes is getij skers in dis nummer ov
 de Fakturor. In de fy wuord wi ofer on de subjekt, wi
 must krev de riders induljens if wi trit it as sum-
 twot ov a personal or rader a komersjal kwestyon betwix
 vrselvs and Mr. Ellis. Mr. Ellis has given up printing, and
 his wifer us tae konting de yus ov an alfabet ov hwiig,
 wi disapruv, — "hwiig kanot bekum jeneral; and st not,
 if it kaid." De kornij folt ov de 1844 alfabet is dat it dur
 not emploi de tipp a as it is emploi'd romanikali; but se-
 hwijs us tae rid at, pat, mad, lam, b, as eight, pate, made, lame,
 &c. It is imposibel derfor for fonetik and romanik speliig tae
 koekrist, widst konting al misteks biig med wid respekt
 tae wuord in hwiig de tipp a skurd in de tae sistems. Wi find
 vrselvs konstantli liabel tae giv de ! sond tae de tipp a in
 wuord printed in de 1847 alfabet, bekar dis is its jeneral
 romanik sond. In abst gweurd st ov ten it is so red, and
 in de tent instans it sonde .!, .!, -!, or sum uder vsel. Bot
 a a and A A hav indelible impresset upon dem de ! sond,
 bot in Sjglif and de uder Yuroopian lazzgwijs. Tse pruv
 dis wi wil hir kopi from de last kolom ov de "Fonetik Nyr"
 ol de wuord in hwiig dis tipp skurd; and wi menten dat sug-
 wuord kan onli mistlid de romanik rider, tse wil pro-
 nous dem wid ! insted ov .!; hwiig de fonetik rider tse
 has lern't a as de representativ ov .! wil pronouns de
 romanik wuord lam^p, rat, mad, &c, lame, rate, made, &c.
 De wuord in hwiig a skurd in de last kolom ov de
 "Fonetik Nyr", ar tac, ma, ecspland, gand, stranz, plad, becam,

grat, mad, sam, elwaz, comunplas, wa, raz, nasun, violat,
pant, ~~tan~~, sants, da, rejenerafun, sa, pla, sacspet, Talur, sacerz,
stat, creáts, far, ~~tan~~for, tapwork, pla, alád, awár, casez, pasent,
ab'l, stranjest, ranbo, bravest, tuda, fatalizm, Plato, and de ar-
tikel 2. No wun kúed rid dis wúdr arjt at sýt, eksept
nasun^{rejenerafun, pasent,}, bravest, Talur, sacerz^{fatalizm}, Plato, in hwig 2 is yúed for de
sem sünd in de tuc sistems. De wúdr bi pronsent L, r, s,
w, j, y, w, j, r, o, v, u, i, e, o, p, b, c, y,
v, q, l, b, d, s, l, v, k, d, f, b, v, w, l, y, s,
j, r, o, v, y, s, w, b, l, y, o, s; and de
romanik rider, after sum akwentans wúdr de fonetik al-
fabet, wúdr rid fatalizm, Plato as yon, s^x

If Mr. Ellis wer no printig fongtipe, and wi had given it
up, and hi wúft tuc reten de 1847 alfabet, wi fúed hav no
rjt tuc ask him tuc genj. Eni nder person, hsewer, wúdr
hav a rjt tuc print diferentli himself, — so de sepa-
riti ov his plan, and liv it tuc de publik tuc desjd hwig
de wúdr hav. If den no wun kúed ask Mr. Ellis tuc genj de
1847 alfabet, if hi himself sprouod ov it, and had muni
invested in tips and brics hwig wúdr bi lesend in valy
by a genj, so rider kan hi rjtli ask us tuc konting, tuc
print in an alfabet dat is not intended tuc last.

Wi dúe not antisipet dat Mr. Ellis' mofon for de per-
manens ov de 1847 alfabet for 2 yírs, wil bi voted by
de Kómsil. De efekt ov sug a vot wúdr bi tuc stop fonetik
printig eksept in de Jurnál, — for it wúdr not bi wúdr
hwig tuc part tuc pres eni buk in ider ov de fonetik kata-
logr, for de sel ov de tuc straglig kopie dat wúdr bi sold in
dis tuc yírs. It wúdr also paralyz de enerjia ov dor spelig
reformers; hún wil tuc bi de alfabet improuv, and ar-
turning wúdr a derjir tuc chstend it. We kanot under-
stand hús a person kan sinisli part of for several yírs.

204
 1 a big thing he intend to accomplish, even if he does pass
 meek its accomplishment more difficult. We must give our
 him the "let matters alone for ten or fifteen years", credit for
 so much common sense as not to intend to alter the alpha-
 bet at all. We no more well that it is impossible for the prin-
 ting letters $\Theta \omega \tau \Gamma$, and α for λ instead of λ , to turn into
 general yuse. The conservation of a bad alphabet would
 spoil the best part of the reform. Our friends on the other
 side of the House would let the imperfect phonetic alphabet
 remain as it is, as a step in the romanizing policy,
 and give up the introduction of phonetic spelling for gen-
 eral yuse. No true spelling reformer will consent to this.
 Upon no other principle than the abandonment of a mere
 reform of our orthography, can we recommend the proscriptions
 of our old alphabet, with the ordinary motives
 of human conduct.

205
 The course we propose to the Council is this, — Let the new
 alphabet be brought forward in the October quarterly voting
 paper, and if we get a reasonable majority of votes (see
 30) for it, the Reform will move on with vigor. Our Amer-
 ican friends will take it directly, as far as it goes,
 and if the ^{American} public accepts it, add an additional ϵ for
 the vowel in mare. We will find that we may trouble our
 profit. The type τ we would modify to τ so that it may not
 be a reversed Γ , and $\bar{i}, \bar{i}, \bar{i}$ for \bar{i} must be held as an
 ising improvement from printers and type foundries.
 The Council need not occupy its time with fixing the serif or
 a letter. It is enough if it be determined that some revision
 of the font vowel \bar{i} in \bar{i} fit, be made for the more related
 by vowel in feet.

206
 It is necessary that we find meek a few remarks
 on the observations in the "Gazette" for July. We were some

proposifons dat wil bi find in Mr. Ellis' mofoons Nov 3rd 1867, — dat no uder alfabet dan dat ov 1867 fud bi yuurd, eksept in de ofisial organ ov de Knsil, — wer privinsli propord in de Komiti ov de Knsil, and after meking dri ronds obtend but 2 vots st ov 7. The President and Mr. Nikolai voted for dem, and de uder 5 members — mes. Keli, Kolburn, Gahagan, Pitman & Rid — agens dem. It was on dis grond dat wi detekind te insert dem in de Jurnal, eksept de fud bi afermd by a majoriti ov de Knsil, bekor de outli efekt ov sug inserfion must hav bin te damaj de resepfion ov de ny alfabet. The wer propord in de Komiti as "Bj-lor", and biis rejekted der, wer afterwards brot befor de Knsil as "Mofons". Mr. Ellis observefion dat "nun ov de mofoons forwarded for inserfion in de Jurnal, had bin negativd in de Komiti", is te bi understud des; de wer not rejekted as "mofoons", but as "bj-lor".

The riders ov de "haret" July, (p. 3, bottom ov kolum 1,) hav no dot smjld at or havij bin kot publiij 3 mofoons dat wi had privinsli detekind, (tugeder wid sum uders,) ut havij no yustfai tendensi. Wi koufes or suspojs on diskaverij de "inkonsistensi" intai hwij wi had tolen. Wi hop hsever dat de adifonal publisiti givn te dir mofoons, wil have de efekt ov liding de members ov de Knsil te devot de tpin de kan speer for Knsil dypis, te sumbij praktikal. If eni rijter (Knsiler or not) ljks te den so, hi is at perfekt liberti te liv his "ja" and "ja" undoted, but it is not a subjejt fit for de konsiderefion ov de Knsil, simpli bekor if a majoriti vot kund bi obtend in fevor ov de proposifion, (hwij is berli posibel,) no ^{or dotij de tpin} way in de minoriti weed diskonting de praktis. The fud hav te ver de pris

207
or printing from type and Mr. in consequence of the diffi-
kulti of deciphering by the "kompositor", and "printers reader",
if the letters "i" and "j" were always undotted. We for the
members of the Komsil not the much money or material
over time, we can have no control, seeing that the very
wrest recommending persons no longer submit than that
it "only" extended the door to the great the accept it."

208
It is said in the July "Gazet", — "no the successive num-
bers of the ^{for the present year} "Jurnal", exhibit the same alphabet, or the same year
or an alphabet." This is not true, and if it were, instead
of its teaching the value of the "Jurnal" it would increase it.
The experiments for in the "Jurnal" this year are of great
practical value, both to the members of the Komsil, and
others who are equally interested in phonetics. We cannot
forget hoping for a few words from the letter or a real
working friend of the Reform, received yesterday. — "I
am much pleased with the progress you are making in the
"Fonetik Jurnal", in producing a simple and perfect al-
phabet. Such practical experiments are worth the trouble
of time and labor. It is true it may disgust some,
and diminish the sale of the "Jurnal", but if it can
be continued at all, it is highly expedient that such
experiments should be prosecuted till satisfaction has
been obtained."

209
We fear that we are finding in this Precursor some in-
stances of hardness or expression. But nothing can be
more opposite to the disposition than the twofold will, or
complexion of, even when; and if we did not have the "Fonetik
Reform" more than we have or in it and know it, we would
just let things take their course and let the next generation
take the more or perfect phonetic alphabet, and begin the
printing part of the Reform any, or give up the thing as
a bad job. It is better we cannot be a party to such an injury
to the men of the Kuning as, that we will be a good friend of
us, — using that we be extended, but will never achieve the be desired.

P R E C U R S U R .

Conducted by Isaac Pitman, Bqt (Bath ;

2. 6. 4, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100

LONDON: Fred Pitman, Phonetic Depo. 20 Paternoster Row.

BOSTON, U.S. Otis Clap. 23, School Street.

SEPTEMBER. 1852.

De Inglijf Karakter ov de i, e, Uvel Skel.

[*De foloij kwotifon from a leter riten by de Editor ov de "Precursor" toe Dr Plon, on de i, e and e, a, vvel skims, wil perhaps bi servisabel toe dor ov or riders haw ar not fulli konvinst ov de anti-Inglijf karakter ov de later skim wid respekt toe its yd ov de tyf 2.*]

I wil not induly in a forthand leter tikor ol wi hav no in work intae a praktikal form in de loyhand and printing alfabet. No amtnt ov korespondens in forthana wil priuv ov mug serves toards diskuvering and removing defekts in de loyhand alfabet; and i hold it as a maksim dat every member ov de Fonetik Knsil is under an engagment toe rjt fonetiek loyhand hwen hi rjts toe eni nder member on fonetiek kwestyons, (I due not refer toe korespondens on de propageson ov de Reformz) or toe eni nder person hwe kanot rid forthand, if hi is konfering a favor by his leter. Loyhand rjting, praktisiy ol sorts ov eksperiments wid de leter, and loyhand rjting alon, wil konsolidet de loyhand alfabet; and printing alon, wid rial metal tyfs, tyfing ol sorts ov forms and yser, and wel

serkulelity kwot is printed, — sug printing alon wil perfekt and kondolidet de printing alfabet.

U wil har in Amerika a greter difikulteti wid de printing alfabet dan wi sal, bikor der is a jeneral derjor wid u the har tw er, and der is an almost unanimous derjor har the har outhi wun. It is veri streng dat de nam Mary is pronoust har wid de Lip ^{more} and in Amerika wid de tsel ^{more}. Almost everi wun har the har lakt minytle in the vsl snds, aknoleser a distinktfon bitwin de vsls in hayrick and hairy, but it is konsiderd by praktikal tigers, as wel as by mir fonetifans, dat bikor de diferens in de kwoliti ov snd is so smol — not mor dan $\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{1}{3}$ ov de distans from e the a, and bikor de dip snd never okurs in eni nder posifon dan bifor v, and dat derfor de v points it st as efektuali as a distinkt tjp, — it wud bi an injuri to de Reform the burden de Alfabet wid a seperet tjp for it. I am surprisd dat u den not si de plen faket dat if u wil the konstrukt an alfabet wid seperet tjps for de minytf diferensers in de vsls ov mate & mare, am & among hew & make in dis maner Aa, Aa; Aa, Aa; u tsul den mug beter by teking an e form for de first the, bikor u wud den wont outhi 3 varjetis ov Aa, hwerar no u wont 5!! If u wil the mek provizion for de vsls in mate, mare, tjp

Li Ee Ee Aa Oo Oo Uu Hh Hh Uu
me may mare alms all oke food ice owl new

Ii Ee Aa Aa Oo Uu Uu
it met mat mar on up foot

I wil ns rjt by dis skim. Der is nading in it dat

is veri ugly in typografi, or difikult in skript; but in Skim No. 9 in yr Konsil Serkylär, der är 7 imposibel tips, and 3 imposibel grafs. De tips A, W, W, a, w, w, är imposibel on akrent ov der ugliness, and A bikor ov tve nix a liknes tve A; and de sekond ov de tve grafs in de pers a-a, q-q, o-o, is imposibel on akrent ov its nix resemblance tve de ferst. I kol der leterz "imposibel" for everide ys. I nid not se a wurd tve fo dat nider wud de typfonders kint at der on gærj sug, forme as A W W w, nor de publik i bi sekonsld tve dem in buks. A has bin trjd, and kondemnd as an unsatabel form. It wor yrd in wur ov de erli volums ov de "Fonotipik Jernal", bitwin 1845 & 1847. w wor yrd in de 1844 Jernal, and pruvd tve darlig. w wud bi wurs. w has bin trjd in de "Jernal" des yr, and pruvd tve bi tve mug lyk u. u letli introdyst, simz ol dat kan bi wift, - veri mug lyk u, and derfor sugestiv, and stil sufisentli unlyk it tve kor no trubel tve de rider. I hav no heriteson in prefering U tve W.

Der is grond ov komplant on de pært ov ol tve feor de ny alfabet, dat de un-tyglif antitypian, and unnatural vsel skim ei, ae, is denominated by de oponents ov de ny alfabet "Tyglo-Amerikan", ar distiggwift from de ii, ee skim, tveuli designated "Tyopian"; and wift bi olmost ar tveuli designated "Tyglif". Haviy des given de il-adorted pers ov tips ei, ae, a gud nem, & ask de Amerikan Konsil tve vot for de "Tyglo-Amerikan", or for de "Tyopian" skim. Ar wift hav bin ekspektet,

[End of experiment with Alphabet on p. 130.]

der is.
 a lang majoriti for de "Anglo-Amerikan", - 34 tie 6.
 But de nem is inkorektli aplid. De Amerikan
 Kinsil, if de wil aksept a tru interpretelon ov
 de wurd "Anglo-Amerikan", hav voted for de $\dot{\imath}$ i
 skim. I pruv my point dus. A fonetik skel
 ov tyfs on de besid ov de Romanik u ov de tyfs
 $a e i o u$ must tek dis leter for de sonda de most
 kornouli represent in de old speliy. Dis sonda
 ar dor hend in at, et, it, ot, ut. Wi hav onli wun
 uder fort vsel tie provjd for, nemli de wun in
 put, for hwi $\dot{\imath}$ find U wark beter dan ider U or
 \underline{U} . The provjd tyfs for de loy vsel, wi must hav
 rekons tie varjetis ov i, e, a, o, u, u , and $\dot{\imath}$ find dat
 if $\dot{\imath}$ loy vsel bi represented by a verselon ov dat fort
 vsel tyf hwi is de nixest tie it in kwoliti, ridig
 bikumt irien dan by eni uder aranjment; dus dat
 $\dot{\imath} \quad e \quad a \quad o \quad u \quad u$ } wil giv a stf ov printig mor
 $i \quad e \quad a \quad o \quad u \quad u$ } intelijibel tie wun hwi kan rid,
 dan by krosig de forma ov de fers tie vsel; dus
 e, a, a } $\dot{\imath}$. I trit "a a, a a" as de sam leter, "a"
 $i \quad e \quad a$ } biig de printig, and a de rftig and italit
 forma.

Eksept in de kes ov siks wurd, hwi (e) at de
 end ov a wurd represents $\dot{\imath}$, and a fy wurd (to
 ar nix ar $\dot{\imath}$ kan aderten) in hwi (e, a) represent
 $\dot{\imath}$ at de end ov a silable — $\dot{\imath}$ never represents
 $\dot{\imath}$ at de end ov a wurd — eksept den dis veri
 triffly keser, (e) olwer represents $\dot{\imath}$, and a olwer
 represents $\dot{\imath}$. Ten kalkulefons hwi $\dot{\imath}$ hav just
 med, konsistig ov haf a kolum $\dot{\imath}$, giv de foloig
 results. ^{Ferst,} $\dot{\imath}$ de artikels a, the, bikor it is
 disputed hwi de fad bi $\dot{\imath}$ (or $\dot{\imath}$ (

$$(e) = \begin{matrix} \cdot & \cdot \\ 7 & 30 \end{matrix}, \quad (a) = \begin{matrix} \cdot & \cdot \\ 7 & 30 \end{matrix}$$

In de sekond kalkulefon, inkludij de artikels, nem-
li (the) = de, and (a) = a, de results an

$$(e) = \begin{matrix} \cdot & \cdot \\ 5 & 62 \end{matrix}, \quad (a) = \begin{matrix} \cdot & \cdot \\ 7 & 33 \end{matrix}$$

Different kalkulefonen wurd fo mear varjet, on akont
ov de sekund ov partikular wurd. Wi me, derfor,
tek as an averij in ig tes, dat de tip e is up
for 1, and de tip a for 1, et tjins as open as for
enidij els. Dis folor from de fakt dat de bulk ov
or laygweij konsists ov klas silabels, or silabels end
ij in kondouants, and it stamps upon dis leter,
and ol varjetis ov dem, an 1 and 1 poer. De 1
eksepfon te de 1 1 smdr ov e, a, okurs olwer in
open silabels, or wurd endij in a vsl. I fal
prouv tidius, j am afred, in faelfilij mij wif te
mek de mater as plen te 4 ar it is te mi, but
4 must ber wid mi for de sek ov de kot. Eksept
in wun instans den st ov 8, de loy vslr 1 1 ar
represented Romanikali bi siun digraf or uder,
and veri frekwentli wun leter ov de digraf is
piest in wun part ov de wurd, and de uder in
anuder. I refer te de ffinal myt e. De most
komon representefons ov 1 ar ea, ee, e-e, as in
meet, meet, mete; and ov 1 ae, ai, and at de end
ov a wurd ay, ar in mane, main, may. (Si de Fo-
netik Shelij Brik, in hwig ol de monosilabels ov de
laygweij ar kolekted and arendj under den ro-
manik, representefon ov de vslr.) No it folor dat
if 4 part an e form in a klas silabel, 4 wil kon-
ve de fidia ov an 1 smdr, and wid an a form, 4

wil konve de jdia ov an ! sond. It wil bi so,
 & remark, in 7 keser st ov 8, and wi must
 bijelet for de 7 keser, and not for de solitari
 kes. Try men, man, led, lad, on a strenger tae
 de Fonetik Alfabet. & Wad hi pronons dis wurd
 at sijt ~ ~ ~ ~ ~, or ~ ~ ~ ~ ~. Hi
 wad giv de later sonde. I hav had prouf ov dis
 over and over agen; so dat if i had no persepsion
 on de subjeet myself, and no akwantans wid de
 general prinsipels and ^{de irregularities} Romanidism, i fied
 bi kontent tae akt upon de failijs ov uder.

I hav suferd yerself tae bi misled bi de 6
 komon wurdz be, he, me, she, we, ye, in hwiq (e)
 represents 'i, and y, derfor bijk it wil duu de sem
 in uder keser. It wil never duu so in a klos
 silabel; and dis siks ar de onli wurdz in de
 hol laygewej in hwiq (e) has dis pser eksept per
 haps in wun wurd in 50, hwer-(e) represents 'i
 at de end ov a silabel not terminetiv a wurd,
 as in decent. De Reform wil stand stil until
 de tae Fonetik Knsils konsent tae tek de best
 versieson ov i dat kan bi got. for 'i, and e font.
 I am, unfortunetli, de onli fonetik printer in dis
 kumtri. De Rev T. M. Simkis has just purg'est a
 46th font ov Buriois fonotips, and wil suen bi
 at wurk wid it. Boston at tae send st a wrikli
 or at list a fortnightly haf fit ov fonotipi, and
 reports ov progres. I begin tae fil ns dat der is
 an element tae bi konsiderd in kuminy tae a set-
 elment upon de Alfabet, hwiq has at list as mag
 fors as a vot ov de Knsil, nemli de komersfal
 element. De Knsil has nider pser nor rft tae

not awe eni mans muni. De ei, ae skim is dunn,
no it is fou dat de ei, ae skim kan bi wurket wid,
a gred set ov tips. However, den, prints in de old
skim, beyond de nesecitis ov a periodikal, ouli
les up west peper for de graser.

From William Silver, Hadington. [Mr Silver em-
ploir fonetik buks for de purpos ov tigin ridin in
his skul. In inkwiz biin med if hi fond de
diferent y sex ov de tip 2 in fonetik and romanik
buks, a trubel tae de pupils, he obseves:—] I kwijt
ag ri wie y, in yr remarks abot de tip 2. I hav
obseved dat it wor a sors ov trubel tae pupils
kasin from fonetik tae romanik ridin. I tizk
de ny, vsel skel wil bi a gret improvement.

From Harston Douglas. Kumpar, Fijf. — I am veri
mug in favor ov c insted ov k, esepali for tae
viron: tikor c is mug irier in loyhand, and
tikor k okeizour sug an enormus genj ov apirans
in almost ol wurdr in hwig it okurs, ar k is so
rer in romanik spelin. De keser in hwig c sug-
ests de ssond s ar komparativli fu, biin bifor "k,
i, j, e, e". Bifor ol de uder vsel, ^{ol} de konsonants,
it sugests de hard ssond.

I am veri glad dat y hav sethnd tae de siggel
letrs g, j. De trubt is dat de fenst komponents ov
dis ar not t, d, at list not de t, d komonli yrd in
Ingglif. Der ar 3 forms ov dis letrs: 1st wid de
tip ov de tuz at de tait, in sug a porifon dat brett
alod tae eskep meks 0 & 2; 2nd farder bak, so dat
brett eskepiz meks s. z; 3rd stil farder bak, on de

palet, so dat het skeping meks \int, z . Let dir bi
 hold in dis peper $t_1, t_2, t_3, \bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2, \bar{d}_3$ respektiwi. No
 de sonde ov t, \bar{d} herd in gied *Englief*, ar t_2, \bar{d}_2 . But
 g is t_3, \int , and j is \bar{d}_3, z ; de twee artikulefoun ar pro-
 nunt in a sort ov diffoeggal maner, bikoer de onli
 movement ov de vokal organ is de slijt luid-
 ening ov de tip ov de tuij te als de paterj ov de
 brest. In de sekond posifon ar formd in lyk maner
 t_2, s, \bar{d}_2, z ; t_2, s is de hibrue soadi (S); \bar{d}_2, z is bi
 meni supord te bi de griek drita or zita (Z).
 In lyk maner, in de fersst posifon, me bi formd
 t, θ , and \bar{d}, t , but \int doe not no eni laggewij in
 hwijs siggel spijr hav bin given te dir kombine-
 foun.

This remarks also fo de esor ov a klasifikafoun
 in par. 161-172 ov de Prekursor, in hwijs s is sed te
 hav de sem relafon te t ar \int te t ; de tuij brij
 dat s har de sem relafon te t_2 ar \int te t_3 , hwijs
 de sonde berij de sem relafon te t is de griek χ ,
 dat is de skog and gelik (ch) ar in (loch). Sum
 ander esor sim te bi involvd in de sem paragraff,
 but oe doe not lij at puerent in or we.

It me sim almost superfluous te se dat \int am
 komplattli in fevor ov de kormopolitan vrel skim.
 I even fjind dat it is mor plerij te mir romant-
 riders dan de misionend *Englief* skim.

Observefon bi de Editer. De leter c , properli med,
 is mor difikult dan k . It rekwijs de ten te bi
 teken of te mek de dot; and widst de dot c
 adr anuder te de "u" stroks, ov hwijs de
 alfabet har mor dan enuf. In keser ov dis fjind
vrons for preferens fard bi given, dat de me bi

kramind. In de *Fonetik Journal*, p. 166, is given a statement ov ol de riron wi kund at dat tjin kol te mjnd, for and agent c and k. It is not an unimportant adifonal riron for k biig emfloid in fonotipi, dat if c leid bi yrd, dis tjp wud bi kum so efektuali asafieked wid de k sond dat de ridig ov romanik books wud bi sendend unnesesari difikult, for de rader wud ever bi in denjer ov pronsinsin cent, civil, citiren, & ar kent-kivil, kitiren. If k bi emfloid in fonotipi, c in romanik books wil giv no mor trabel. Dan de verius pserd ov de 5 vrel tjps, hwig it is st ov so pser te remuuv.

On Kapital Leterz.

From Jory Dornbruf. London, 29 July 1832. De "Fonetik Knsil Garet" has for wun ov its objekts te serv ar a manr ov komunikeson bitwan de members ov de Knsil; but its ver aspirans, its smol spes, and uder nestrikfons, mek it te bi a ver inifijent vihtikel ov komunikeson; yet everi member is ekspekted te giv a vot on subjekts, from de diskusjon ov hwig hi is debard bj de wout ov an oportuniti, and de fasilitis nesessari for komunikeson. Everi member has te stagnet his vots in himself. If hi has found a roy opinyon, hwig wid a moments interkons wid anuder mans vots, hi miht konekt, hi perspektets it in his akfours, and me unwitizli bi de kor ov misgef.

De "Garet" is, in mij opinyon insufisient for de objekts it ems at, at de sam tjin i' frili konfes dat i am not prepared te ofer a proposal for

remunering de inkonveniens j an komplemenz of.

I enklor a fy vironz hwi j wud abolif kapital leters, te provok from dor hui tek an interest in de Speling Reform, remarks hwi j myt bi ysfel, and hwi j myt konvins mi ov biig roy, or indys y, te den awe wid kapital leters.

De foldig ar my vironz, kondent widin de smolest kumpas, for derjig de abolifon ov kapital leters in de ny alfabet.

1. De admifon ov kapital leters is in oporifon te wun ov de most important fundamental prinsipels ov de Speling Reform; nemli a fyn for a sond.

2. Kapital leters den not esenfali ad eni valy or merit te a wurd. "Frederik," riten wid a smol leter, is not les intelijibel and ekspresiv dan "Frederik" wid a kapital In Fonografi, and in several laygwijes, de ys ov kapitalz is not fond nesessari. It has bin de kustom hidertoe, te yr kapital leters in dikfonaris and buks ov referens, under an imprefon ov edig de j. I hui bitor mi a dikfonari wid de wurdz komensig wid smol leters, and not onli den j fjind no difikulti, but j biig de absens ov kapitalz is a desjided improvement.

3. It inkriser unnecessarili de ekspens ov printing, bj absorbig an amunt ov propeerti dat myt bi mor profitabli invested udloanz; derbj it also redes de kost ov buks, and konsekwentli gekz der ys.

4. At present no teu Ingelif printere sin te bi agrid upon huen te yr kapitalz, and

heven not. Ofen de sem printer difers. For instans,
on a slip ov paper representing Mr Pitman's let-
est ny, alfabet, Fonetik Alfabet is kapitalis'd in de
ferst ljin; a fu ljin laen de sem wuords apin
in smol letters.

5. De grettest objektsjon tse de us ov kapitalis, is
dat de wil inkris de difikultis ov ridig and leorning
tse sid; not onli bi de greter number ov spjns tse
bi tot and lernd, but also bi de kontradiktsjon tse
bi overkum tse tiz and tse lern dat aldo-wi stek
er a fundamental prinsipel - "a sijn for a sijn",
- yet wi admit tse spjns tse repaerent wun smol.
Hwotever inkris de difikultis ov ridig and
stiz, is an impediment in de we ov edykatsjon,
in de advans ov sivilisatsjon, and in de eks-
tensjon ov human hapines. And as de Spelz
Reform is eminentli an edykatsjonel muovement,
and derjor its grettest importants from dis fakte,
tst tse endeavor tse remue everi obstruktsjon
in de attemment ov its objekt.

Sum persons biyk kapital letters as indit-
ensabel in de beginnig ov a sentens. I seplj
at de beginnig is kwijt sufisient tse answe it-
elf as de beginnig widst sekwarig fiktifus ed.
in Fonografi no kapitalis ar wonted. Sum
e dat smol letters wud not kag de j' on plac-
vdr; but dis j' se, ^{de sem proses kwij} dat adapted p tse P, c tse C,
and adapt b tse B, d tse D, and der wud bi no
difikultis ov mistig ol de sekwarments. I spekiend
e alfabet kwij j' fied lyk tse si adopted,
and as a spesimen ov printig in it, a kopi ov
smol hand-wit ansuig a ny publikatsjon.

Alphabet.

I E Q O O W, I e a O U H, I X U
Y W h, P b t d g j c g, f v d a s z
§ 3, 1 r, m n n.

Specimen.

IN Æ PRES : PRTS, tU SUBSCRIBERZ, fiv shillz;
tU NONSUBSCRIBERZ, ss (4)

a t r i t i z

on Æ

PECU LIARITIZ OV Æ B I B L E

B R I T A N

E C S P O Z I S O N OV Æ P R I N S I P E L Z

I N V O I V A I N Æ M O S T

R E M A R C A B L E facts & f e n o m e n a OV R E V E L A T I O N

B Y Æ R E V. E. A. R E N D E L,

W R O R OV "A N T E A D A M M I A N H I S T O R Y," A N D OV J E S U S C R I S T, & c.

*We rekommend Mr. Dornbush ider he giv up de jdin
ov abolishing kapitalz, or he print buks widst kape
tals himself. We hav givver publiciti he his*

mekst alfabet ov kapitala and loen-kes, in hwiġi
avoids ascending and descending strokes, at his earnest
request, for de purpos ov foij dat it is a retro-
gred movement. De kwestyon kumt tui dis:-
? Gal we giv up a serten amont ov byti, and
a larġ amont ov distiġktnes, in tipografi, for de
smol konsideresjon ov swiġ iġ ejld de trubel ov
lerniġ 21 kapital leters hwiġ dixer in fep from
de smol wurd.

De Vokal 1.

From Thomas Ogle (Ogie) Leister Esq, Wexford, Ireland.

I hav residid yr letter ov 24 July, in hwiġ y de
der has bin a ġud dil ov debet among de friends
ov de Speliġ Reform respekting de proper we ov
reġerenting de romanik letters (le) as in temple,
heade, Bible, table, Ogie; and dat yr biori is dat
der is a vsel sunded tuggeder wid de l in de
most keeles and rapid pronunsijsjon ov sug-
wurds; and if de bi pronunst deliberetli,
in silabels, a vsel is herd distiġktli bitwin
de prisiidij konsonant and l; der biij a per-
septibel spes ov tġim bitwin de kontakt for g
and dat for l, in de nam Ogle; and y menten
dat de edens and de sol ov a silabel is a vsel,
and dat no silabel kan ekrist widst a vsel.

No i perfektli agri wid y, dat de sol ov a silabel
is a vsel, but not dat no silabel kan ekrist
widst wun!! I dont biġt dat de vsel e is at
ol sunded in miġ nom, and in Fonografi
(? Fonotipi) i vy it as ol tuggeder esles, and
ġud wiġ it in fonetik speliġ tui bi siden dis-"Ogl."

Propriety Asserted in her Pictur.

Letter from M^r S - to Dr. Franklin. Kensington
26 Sep. 1768

Dear Sir, - I have transcribed yr alphabet, which I think might be of service to our new wife the akwija an akwjet pronunciation, if that word be fixed; but I sh^d think it inconvenient, as well as difficult, that would attend the bringing yr orthography into common use. It is etimologically word be lost; consequently we cannot assert the meaning of many words. The distinction between words of different meaning and similar sound, would be lost, unless we living letters publication. In short, I believe we must let pipel speak on in the old way; and as we find it the easiest, due to the same ourselves.

"With ease and with sincerity I can; in the old way, subscribe myself, Dear Sir, your faithful & aff^d serv^t:"

Dr. Franklin

M^r S
[Miss Stevenson]

Answer to Miss S.

Dear Madam, - The objection of such the rectification of alphabet, that it "will be attended with inconveniences and difficulties", is a natural view, for it always occurs when any reformation is proposed; whether in religion, government, and even down as low as roads and wheel-carriage. The true question then is not whether there will be no difficulties or inconveniences but whether the difficulties are not to be surmounted; and whether the conveniences will not, on the whole, be greater than the inconveniences. In this case, the difficulties are all in the beginning or the practice. These are as winds overcome, the advantages are lasting. The idea of our new, new style will in the present mode,

i' imagin de difikulti ov genij is not so gret but
 dat wi myt perfektli get over it in a wiks o'tij.
 Ar tu dor him den not spel wel, if de tu difikult
 ar kompend: tu wit, dat ov tizij den tru spelij
 in de present mod, and dat ov tizij den de ny
 alfabet, and de ny spelij akordij tu it; i' am kon-
 fident: dat de later wurd bi by far de bes. De naty-
 rali fol intae de ny mod o'ledi, ar mug ar de
 imperfekfon ov der alfabet wil admit. Der present
 bad spelij is onli bad, bikor kontrari tu de
 present bad reuk: under de ny reuk, it wurd bi
 gred. De difikulti ov leernij tu spel (wel) in de
 old we, is so gret, dat fe aten it; orrande and
 orrande o'tij on tu old ej, wido't ever biij ebel
 tu akwij it. Tis, bisjdr, a difikulti kontinual
 inkroisij; ar de sond graduali veris mor and
 mor from de spelij; and tu forenere it mek
 de leernij tu pronons or laggweij, ar riten in
 or backs, slumst imposibel.

No ar tu de inkonvenienser y menfon. The first is, dat
 "ol or etimologis wurd bi lost; konsekwentli wi kaud not
 aserten de minij ov men wurd". Etimologis ar, at
 present, veri unserten; but, sug ar de ar, de old backs
 wurd stil preserv den, and etimologists wurd der find
 den. Wurd, in kons ov tijn, genij der minij, ar wel
 ar der spelij and pronundieson; and wi den not lek
 tu etimoloji for der present minij. If i' fud kol a
 man a new and a vilen, hi wurd hardli bi satis-
 fied wid my selij him, dat wun ov de wurd original
 signiffid onli a lad or servant; and de iber, an un-
 der ploman, or de inhabitant ov a vilej. It is from
 present vry onli, de minij ov wurd is tu bi de-
 termind.

Uw sekond inkonviniens is, dat "de distigtkon biter
wurdr ov diferent minig and similar sond, wurd bi de
stroid." Dat distigtkon is oledie destroid in pronunsi
dem; and wi sely on de sens alon te aserten hwig a de
several wurdr, similar in sond, wi intend. If dis is
sufisient in de rapiditi ov diskors, it wil bi mug mor
so in riten sentenses, hwig me bi sed lezuerli; and
attended te mor partikylarli in kes ov difikultti,
dan wi kan atend te a past sentens hwig a spaker
is hurig us alog wid ny wurd.

Uw derd inkonviniens is dat "ol de buks oledie
riten wurd bi ytes". Dis inkonviniens wurd onli
kun on graduali, in a kors ov eger. U and j, and
uder ^{no} living riters, wurd hardli forget de yd ov dem.
Papet wil loz lern te rid de old riting so de praktest
de ny, and de inkonviniens is not greter dan twot
har aktuali hapend in a similar kes in Itali. For-
merli its inhabitants ol spok and rot Latin: as de
laggwey genjd, de spelig folod it. It is tru dat, at
prezent, a mir unlearned Italyan kanot rid de
Latin buks; so de ar stil sed and understud by
meni. But if de spelig had never bin genjd, hi wud
no hav fond it mug mor difikult te rid and rit
his on laggwey, for riten wurd wurd hav had no
relefon te sonde; de wud onli hav stud for big; so
dat if hi wud ekspres in riting de jidd hi har hwen
hi sonde de wurd rescovo, hi must yr de leter
episcopos. In fort, hwotever de difikultis and inkonvi-
nienses no ar, de wil bi mor kili surmounted us dan
hiraffer; and sumtjin ov uder it must bi dem; or so riting
wil bakum de tem wud de Egnis, as te de difikultti ov
lernig and wryt it. And it wud oledie hav bin sug, if wi
had kontinud de Lakson spelig, and riting, yad by so fortdest

I am, my dear friend, yr afektoneli
London, Given 16th 18 Sep. 1768 B. Franklin

is wurs dan de dirar. De loxhand form z (for z)
is not iri ider tu rjt or desjfer. Mr. Juet
rjts veri fornsibli in fevor ov singel letters
for de distogr, twig hi wil si wi hav adopte
in obidiens tu de wiser ov de fonetik publik!

Fonetik Spelinz.

De Termines (sure) - A German fonetik friend,
huv har in de pres a German Fonetik Rider, in
de nu fonetik alfabet, inkwijrs hueder "plezur",
"plezur", "plezur", or "plezur", is de preferabel pro-
nansieson. He is opinyon is no for "plezur",
akordig tu de analoji ov qu, ju; and ar
dun ar de fonetik publik kan bi edyke d
up tu de point, hi wud prefer tu print "plezur",
akordig tu de analoji ov "tytur". He wiser tu no
de opinyon ov Inglij fonetisane on dis sakiykt.
He blyks dat "plezur" is inkorekt, bekor de fers
part ov de "u" is in de "z", - "zi" haviy liksom
"z". De pronansieson "plezur" hi konsiders slaw-
enli

(Treatise) - A korespondent asks hueder (trea-
tise) sud end wid de s and ov s or z. He wud
is pronansit wid z bi 5 or 6 epists, and wid s
bi 4, akordig tu Wusters Diksonari. Folow
Mr. Dornbusch kopi in de last Trekuerson, p. 140,
wi put s, but sud hav yrd z if wi had re-
flekted. "Tritis" is no mor difikeult tu spik
dan "ospis, onifis, benefis". Wi duu not, den, si
eni nesesiti for ekog enij s for z in dis wera-
do, over "tritis" a buk, and "tritis" de plu-
ral ov "triti", is a usful distigkfon.

Fonetik Printing

We hav on several okejons bin hold upon letli, tu farnif a kopi ov de Plan ov de Fonetipik Keeser yrd bi komporiters, twig hav bin konsid-
erabli impruud sins de wer last pæblist. We
inereint dem on de foloing pejer. If wi kud
hav suksided in "disolvig de diftoyr," de naem-
ber ov leters wud hav bin so mang redy st dat
7 bokser on ig syd ov de "Ulper Kes" wud hav
suffrd for de alfabet, and den de sem seper
keser ar ar yrd for romanik speliq, wud
hav servd for fonetik; but wid a 39 leter al-
fabet it is nesessari tu hav 8 divizons insted
ov 7.

De prjs ov a per ov keser is $7/6$ hwen meni
ar med tageder, but a printers joiner wud
garj 9/ or 10/ for a singel per.

A smol font ov 30 lb, or under, kan bi put in
tu a "dubel kes," dat is, tu keser ar kombreit intu
de dimensjoun ov wren, and de bokser med hof ov
der yzual bredth. In a fonetik "dubel kes," de loer
kes okypir de senter, and de tu hofs ov de seper kes
ar plect ar wiyr tu it, de aksented leters bring
on de left syd, and de kapitals on de rjt syd; dæd:



Ing keser ar komonli yrd for Italik
fontz, eksept in lanj printiq ofiser, hwen
it is nesessari tu hav ensaf Italik tu f. a per ov
keser. De prjs ov a dubel-kes is 6/.

We hop duw tu di fonetik fontz in yz in
meni printiq ofiser. Fontz, keser, and eveni in-
formefon, wil bi suflid on aplikefon at de Fonetik
Institute, Bat.

UPPER CASES.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----|-----|---------------|---------------|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| í | é | á | ó | é | ú | æ | œ | U | E | A | Q | σ | W | Æ | Œ |
| í | é | á | ó | é | ú | c | q | I | E | A | O | U | U | C | Q |
| í | é | á | ó | é | ú | | x | F | 8 | U | W | Y | H | | X |
| L | (| ! |) | | ξ | ? | — | P | B | T | D | € | J | K | G |
| ë | ñ | | | | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | F | V | H | a | S | Z | Σ | Z |
| ï | ë | ä | ö | ü | ü | + | ✓ | L | R | M | N | W | £ | 0 | 9 |
| <i>Broken
Lower</i> | <i>Upper
Spoken</i> | <i>Large
Print</i> | ... | ... | + | + | * | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

LØER KES.

| $\frac{1}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{2}{8}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---|---------------|
| ω | i | b | u | z | n | e | a | l | f | θ | |
| j | y | k | s | i | | | | | , | u | ✓ |
| 3 | i | | | | p | h | d | t | r | o | σ |
| a | η | g | m | d | | | | | | | r |
| ; | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | u | w | m | Nick Speser | Midling
Speser | Min
Speser | v | ⊙ | Lary-
Hoodnits | | |
| : | g | | | | | | | | | | |

Wie wiidt-ov de tokser is markt at de top-ov-de-Plan, in inger.

De Kristal Palas.

[De Kolonj akont ov de ny Kristal Palas har not, wi blyk, bin so wjdtli sarkaleket bj de pres, ar. it lud bi. It wil sero tu fil up de prerent nummer ov de "Prekursor," in de absens ov fonetik pepere.]

De Kristal Palas Kumpani.

Direktors - Samyel Ley ²¹ M.P. German
 Francis Fuler, Manijig Direktor
 Arbur Anderson, Tomas Brasi, E.P.P.
 Halvert, T.N. Parker (Farquhar), Garb
 Lig (Leach) M.P. Garb Lufington, Jon
 Skot Rausel, E.R.S.

Ofisere - Ser Jorex Pakston, Direktor ov Winter-
 garden, Park, and Konservatoris

Owen Jour, M.D. Wjat, Direktors ov
 Dekorejone

Garb Herd Wjld C.E. Enjinir
 Tomas Belfo, Manijig Superintend-
 ent ov Ekribiters Department

Jorg Grov, Sekretari

Mes. Jonston, Parker, and Lig, Sol-
 isiters.

Mes. Foks, Henderson Otto, Kontraktors
 for de rierekjone ov de bildig.

De Kristal Palas ov 1853.

De numerus aplikejone med bj intendig Ek-
 ribiters, bot in Sjyglant and abroad, for sam-
 mor dateld informesjon dan har hidertu bin
 afordet, ov de aktyal porifon and prospekts. ov
 bis anderteking, and ov de prinsipels upon
 hwig de ekribifon ov Masineri, Manufaktur,

17 and under artikeln, is intended tu bi kondukted,
1 hav indygt de Direktors tu preper de foloij
Statement.

18 Kri maents hav elapst sins de Direktors
ov de Kristal Palas Kampani ijd der Pros-
pektus tu de Paeblik, invijtj its koopereson
in de gret and nasjonal wurk, hwiq de had
underteken wid de vy, az de originali anst,
"ov reskyj de Buntni from de disgres ov partij
for ever wid de magnifisient struktjz wid heer
ekristens so kapi a porson ov de lif ov de pipel
ov Ingland had bikaum identifjd."

Progres.

19 De progres med durnj de brijf interval referd
tu, har eksided de most sagguin ekspekteson
dat kud hav bin formd. On de 17th Me, de Kristal
Palas Kampani wor provizionali rejisterd, pers-
syant tu statyjt. Widin a fortnjt ov dat det,
de alotment ov forr wor komplited, and de
Direktors wer preperd tu go forward wid der
wurk. Fy, komersjal spekuleeson kan tost so
spidi, and so satisfaktori an inogweson; and
it argys wel for de liberaliti and patriotism
ov de ferholders ov dis merkantjil asosjeson, dat
de grond sepon hwiq de Direktors menli best
der april, wor der derjz tu ed in de edykeson
ov de maser, and tu rer de karakter ov der
esenjal sekrieson.

De Staf.

20 Wun konvikjon har aktyeted de kondukt ov
de Direktors brust — dat naedij fort ov de
grandest wurk in de pser ov kompetent and

skilful artists in prodys, wud mit de eksigensy
 or de papel, or prosper in de handr or de projecten.
 De wurla had bin amerd by de sensurpaast splen-
 dor or de Pales or 1851. It must not bi disap-
 ointed wid de fabrik or 1853. De populefon or
 de United Kingdom, by de pement or a fy pend,
 had bin admitted tu a spektakel or byti, or
 twig de had privissoli formd no konsepfon, and
 from hwig de karid awe de kapiest impresfon. By
 de pement or a fy pend, Ossandra must agen
 bi admitted tu partek or enjoiments no les
 deljtful, and tu imbyz fdiaar ikwali elevetig.
 Influens by saug konsiderefon, de Direkters
 lost no tjin in plesig demselor in komynikefon
 wid gentelmen hur nemr wud pruv a garante
 tu de publik for de gud fet or de Kristal Pales
 Kampani, and hur reputefon had resid a
 markot impuls from de gud serviser de had
 renderd tu de Kristal Pales in 1851. De apljft
 tu Ser Jozef Pakston, oferig him de gif Direkten-
 sip or der intended Winter Garden, Park, and
 Konservatori. De propord tu Met. Fok, Henderson
 son and Ko, de rikonstrackfon or der on bytiful
 bildig, and de invjkt de asistans or Mr. Owen
 Jont, Mr. Digbi Wiat, and Mr. Charles Wild, hur
 had witnest and teken part in de gloris or de
 old bildig, and wer fuli aljv tu ol de rekogni-
 ments or de ny. De result or dis aplikefon
 is olredi nou tu de publik. De wer responded
 tu in a spirit dat mjt hav bin ekspekted
 from de antesidents or de gentelmen in kwestjon
 and de leborr and responsibilitiz or de Direkters

wer at ween materiali diminish by de akwififon ov de best services ov suq alfr.

De Carter.

Resolvd, ar far ar in dem le, tu sender de ny Kristal Palas an instrument ov lastig gud in de kuentri, de Direktors nekt aplid tu Her Majestis Gouvernement for a Carter ov Inkorporefon, embodiy suq regulefons ar gud sekur for ol tyn tu kaun, on de part ov demselov and der suksefer, de mentenans ov de underteking in dor by prinsipelr ov moral and sofal improvment in twig it origineted. It is dy tu Lord Derby tu stet dat his Lordship, sensibel ov de material importants ov de kwestyon, and aktig in de true interests ov de komuniti, at weens met de Direktors frankli, and biig satisfid wid de propord regulefons, promist a Carter.

Ferst Kolnam Rerd.

De Staf apointed, de Direktors forswid provided tu tek de necesari steps for praktikali karing st de derfin, and for enebly de papel tu set fut weens agen in der palas, in Me 1853. On Thursday, 5 August, de ferst kolnam ov de ny, bildig wor redd by Mr Ley, M.P., de German ov de Kristal Palas Kaunhami, in prerens ov a lang konkors ov persons, inkludiy gentelmen rensnd for der sjentifik and literari atnments; de nekt de de werks wer in aktiv progres, and at dis moment de fondesons ar biig led ov a straktyr twig wil rgr almost wid de kwiknes ov majik, and twig for gres byti, and ekotent, wil hav no paralel in de wuold.

Improvvd Derfin.

De genjer dat ar abot tu tek ples in de formefon

223 ov dat struktuur, wer akkureetli beskrybd in de
 Koluunr ov de "Tijner" on de 2nd Ogeest. De foloig ak-
 unt is ekstrakted from dat Jaurnal: - "It wil bi
 remembered dat de ferst derjin submitted tu de
 Roial Komisjon by Ser Josef Pakston, wor a bildig
 in tri steps, kondistig ov a new and for sjd jkr,
 stret from end tu end. Biet, in order tu mit
 de rekuzgments ov de Bildig Komite, a transept
 wor aded in de senter; and hwen it wor desjded
 dat de lang tris lud bi prerervd, and enklord
 widin de bildig, dis transept wor meurd istward,
 dres dijdig de bildig unikwali, and, for de paerpa
 ov kuverij de tris, an argt reuf, similar tu de
 Catswaert konservatori, wor plett on de top ov it.
 It wor suggested by Ser Karls Bari tu kontenij dis
 argt reuf over de new ov de bildig, biet de jdia wor
 abandond, oij tu de ekspens, and de fir dat de
 difikultit ov Konstraktsjon mit possibli dele de
 opening ov de Ekribisjon bigond 1st Me, 1851. It wor
 felt universali dat dis fatur wor wontig tu sander
 de Kristal Palas in Hjd Park, a perfekt bildig, and
 it wor wren ov de esliest desjgnur ov de Kristal
 Palas Kumpani, dat de nu bildig lud hav a
 serkyklar reuf tu de new. Alder modifikatsjns and
 improvements wer saksjekwentli adopted from
 de rekuzgments ov de sjt, and dis wij fal ns prosid
 tu deskryb"

De Sjt.

224 "De sjt goren for de rierektsjon ov de Kristal Palas
 is an iregylar paralelogram ov 300 ekers, ekstending
 from de Brighton Relwe, hwer it has a freuntej ov
 1300 fit (bitwin de Lidenham and Anerli stejns)

tu de rod hwig borders de top ov Dailij wud, hwer it has a frauntej toards de rod, ov 3000 fit. De fol from dis point tu de Briston relwe is 2000 fit. It wor at wrens felt dat de onli porifon for de ny bildij wor on de summit ov dis hil, and in idietli ajoining de rod. De bildij plest in dis komandij porifon wil bi veribel from Lunden on de wren sjd, and from a vast ekstent ov kaentri on de iber. In konsekwens ov de rapid fol ov de grond, an adifonal stori bikem nesesai on de park fraent ov de bildij; and dis wil aemedi a defekt universali felt in de old bildij, nemli de litel elevasjon ov de fraent.

"De Ekstirior.

"Bj de gois ov dis sjt, also, a komplit gey bikem nesesai in de eksternal karakter ov de bildij. Hwot in Hyd Park had bin de sjd, hir bikem de fraent. De gret lejt ov de old bildij prevented its biij komprihended in wren vy; it wor derfor, fortend bj 240 fit. A transept similar tu de transept ov de old bildij wor plest at ig end, and a senter transept, wid a vast serkular ruff, 120 fit in diameter, rrij majestikali over de serkular ruff ov de nev. De transepts, wid derjbr, advans from de men tijn ov de bildij, and dis form a most majestik grupe at de interseksjon ov de ruffs ov transepts and nev, wil bi lo tsere, adij inensli tu de jeneral efekt.

"A funder improvment, ov vast important, wor de introdaksjon ov argt sedeser at de ends ov dis transepts, 24 fit dip; dat ov de senter transept 194 fit hj, 120 fit wjd; doz ov de sjd transepts 150 fit hj, and 72 fit wjd.

33
یا

...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

The Intending Exhibitors

34

... 1891 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

35

...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

36

...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

37

...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

later, urguere and unguere; for de Augustan vulnus,
 maximus, omnes, and omneis; imbuer, aspirare, aspi-
 cere; urgere and ungere. A diskrimineting is, lyk Ver-
 jilz, maest find in illi a strengt or lebus, or lebus
 or strengt; not in illi, as naturali pliering on deli-
 ket, des for nided on komon okegons. Nor is

illi inter sese magna vibrachia tollunt.

Wd mytcal esfert hej, on hej de hie der arms.

or ekspresiv dan

Ille colit terras: illi mea carmina curae.

Hee models man; my verser flo his ker.

How dens wud everikwer praefor illi tu illi. Not a
 Verjil; mor dan illius tu illius; hwen his gratityd, hon-

Namque erit ille mihi semper Deus: illius aram

Saepe tener nostris ab ovilibus imbuet agnus.

Tu him, as tu a God, j'l vir a fen;

His altar oft, my lamkin jal disten.

Wat bot parts ov de Latin distboys de and oe wer
 klirle, de konjunktli, odibel is viribel, in Augustan
 time, no les dan bot parts ov de Grik predesesers,
 kanot bi doted by de konversant in der dikfont. Truul
 modern is derfor de invenfon ov de priti neksyre &
 and e; hwiq saem noted skolars ov leter der hav stre-
 in skwir not onli intu Latin, but intu Fraenf and
 abgglif; praesentig wid ikwal ardaer as wid ikwal
 viron Cesar and Phobus for Caesar and Phobus, Cesar
 and Phobus, Laxar, Fabus.

Fit analojiz establisment, Isiografis parts ar but
 hej divided. Bifer de Augustan ej, e printed bot
 prae. Vicinti, des de praent ov vicesimus and vicies,
 woid tu viginti hwiq product vigesimus. Ten bikim
 aught aljk tu j and ar, de komponents centi

and genti; as in quingenti and sexcenti. So Cairus and Gaius wer intergeijnd for de nem, as wi si de later translated into Tards (Gaios) so de former remend almost eksklusivli at Rom. Alpon dis dy diskjinnesjon, c no longer involviq also g, x hwiq had in Griek sijferd de guttural aspiriet (ch) kem in Latin tu nekter c wid de sibilisfon cs or gr, as in pax, noc, nix. Pacs mjt irili open intu pacis; noctis and nivis, even legis and regis apind unnatural or distorted projeni ov noes, nics, lees, and recs; hwiq, tu last wud derfor har bin also worpt intu legs and regs (legs & regr), had not de nekter in lex and rex send at wrens realiti and aspirans. Direkt artikulefon simt indid, tj nekter, med privius tu de presiv; hwiq, hsever vering (even kontrastig) der but konting hwt de ider bigan. Ol tuzer dis send prjuzivli and preferabli tu de former; de direkt biig obvivoli de irier pser til karid intu kontingefon.

Hens den wi fjind ol primitiv djaletks prefer it; hens had de Griek and Latin onli direkt aspiriets; if wi eksept de Toelik dzjama, (hrens or f) wid its Latin ofspring v de depresiv labial. Hens also de les wonder dat de Latin kombinesjon ov de dental aspiriet gud har mor dan sreffed tu doter tuzer, dat no nider pser ov dat aspiriet; and even tu wren, dat from dis et sors har dron bot. Biet we no si, as wel ar his, de biig for wi tu har fond or ortografi.

Or Foren Etimoloji.

Hwen, under de smjt ov a Lini XIV, haum de jennis hi grefft mjt wel suoren de gret, a trusli Augustan konstulefon had maturd de Faem laggung tu a sistem; stil Odoeipi wor not asistent and

sekyrd in Orthografi; nor stud de perfekt sol konfess
in de perfekt bodi tisor de aspiktes ren ov his sack-
seser, or indid sentil olmost de middel ov de present
sentype. Far greter ar den wor necesari de genj in
de Frensch, dan had de Augustan ej sin in de Latin,
do not greter dan dis ej rejoiser tu fynd praktikabel
in de Engles Orthografi; hoosposfond wor de resistans
tu de innoveson; or twig de necesiti, or even de pro-
jekt, no mor unird tu Etimoloji dan tu Preskrip-
sion; o too so klisli de odefesnes, ar de denjer, ov
sug an insult tu de lorned world. Pomphus ed-
ifons wer med, widst dele, ov de prym Frensch klasi-
ks, tu sew alix from mytleson or metamorphosis
(ikwali impendi!) der venerabel and orthodox,
ar original and unolterabel form. Molier tris-
eld wid radikals, olmost up tu Menander; and
Boile (Boileau) stud impenetrabli meld, ar ever
Hores by Bentli. It alas! tu no purpos. Molier &
Boile wer too spoild ov der armor, by dat rumbles
reformer Analogi, hui, in a libel tym, left not a
sinis ov Frans unekspord tu de kaptur ov de fabled
asclants, in ol de defensables ov orthografi. Ever
sins har de Frensch tuy pruwv aksesibel ar de
Latin, and, biig a yuy djalet, med lawli by
vixon, har not onli ekstended ar far, but me kop
tu blum ar loy ar her penenyal penent.

§ Gal Briten disput wid everi neson de eksensj
ov vixon, and giv up tu eni neson de vithikel ov
it. § Gal her laygwey, at leyf in ol de garme ov
matyriti, and a ryal in ekselent tu eni sister
aljo, remen senkerd ar unsin abrod; ne sunnon
ar sunvgt at hom, for wont ov de sluring and

2
1
scentiketig piktur dron by de hand ov trunt. Hævil
everi langgewej rond har sedid from analoji de ful
enjoiment ov her respektiv pser, and dens ov fjing
befor ol men in perfekt fridom? Jal Britons kon-
ting tu bast de separier liberti ov dat spig hwig is
absolutli loht in de gens ov Etimoloji.

3
Ortografi is de piktur but ov wren treg at wrens,
as a portret kan present but an individual. Wren
langgewej derfor, no mor dan wren person, kan bi re-
presented by de piktur ov anader, hwotever bi de relifon
or proportionet noremblans. If a painter, insted ov
ol and onli de fitur ov his objekt, gud substitut
or miggel dor ov a percent, kærin, or eni nder objekt,
a veri strogking lyknes must bi ekspektet! And swig
is de praktis ov dor hui wud pent de sonde ov wren
langgewej by de sinbols ov anader. Eweni individual
biig dus distigkt; hwever, wud ekraktli represent wren
must avoid, for de moment, de dft ov eni nder. Dus, alon,
har ig matyr dikfon bin delinieted: from swig delini-
efon alon, as de Hebreu, Grik, and Latin, demonstra-
bel at dis de; and by swig kondukt alon har de Italian,
Spanif, and Frenf bikaem difuribel for ever tu man-
kind. Wd swig proprieti did de respektiv akademis,
tu diverst nider demselvr nor der dissipls from
prinsipel, preklud, in der dikfonaris, ol akses tu
foren, and but sperigbli indaul; domestik etimoloji.
De wel nu, dat relifon so nix and so distigkt as
percent and gild, hwever mutuali nremblig kind
aserten nabig ov nder. Wer indid networ progresiv
veriefon uniformli investigabel, jenialoji ov eweni
sort wud bikaem a sjens; but, if tranfer in de
sun langgewej depart ofen so far, in dens at wrens

and sound, from der roots, hueder by gradual distans
 or figurativ oporison, wi sud rader wuender, hwen wren,
 hadig from wren tuz tu anader, kipe de presjs original
 ider sal or bodi, dan dat de sud, in der ny stet, adu in
 ny bod; konsekwensal veri naturali, or analogues; yet
 ofen de rewers or identiki. (Dus in de original.) Dus apu (amo)
 from signiffing i rip, gader, or kombin, biken in Latin
 amo i laur; λεγω i se, da also i gader; λεγο i rid,
 or i gader (wren gaders de fidiar, de ider de levers, bifon
 emittig dem: δεικω (deico) i so, dico i se, or so tu de
mjud: δοκεω (dokeo) i bipe, or i sim; doceo (de Latin
dokeo) i tuz — iders tu bipe or sim; αρκεω (arkeo) i saeffi,
 or am saeffid; so redi tu transmit arces (de Latin
 arkeo) i repel — from satjeti: βρέω (breuo) i blaster
 Latinli breuo i son or i rej; Frengh, ze fremi i je faimig
i fader; τρέω (treo) i trembel; hwen not onli de Latin
 sinonimais breuo, but terreo i teniff or mek trembel.
 En lyk maner verius modern transmissiws ider never
 wer, or har (by gud kor) sist tu bi koincident in
 sens wid der preants. Dus de Latin mittere tu send
 har produkt de Frengh metr (mettre) tu put, set, or le;
 ar de Grik form παίρω (rhaino) twig kud bi but rai
no) i springkel: i ren or wet, sachord personal, biig
vo (tyo). Lyk de Grik διδέω (deleo) i hurt, and de Lat
 in tello i blot st or destroi; is de Frengh heuter tu
nok, and de Englij tu hurt, twig is blesser or nuire.
 So from Latin tu Italian, ar from everi tuz tu her
 ofspring, de obvious ressemblans dat almost promiser
 identiti, kan bi no gjd tu sens, sound, or piktyr
 ov de desendent. Dus de Latin bucca gik, produse
 de Italian bocca mst, and dis de Frengh bouf bouch
 wid engengid fidiar. Ne de Florentin adij Lingua

Toscana in bocca Romana, winstet dat de Florentine tusschen
sichem kwijt ziedt in a Roman mout.

Numberless an de Engelfoot verb and now di-
rekthi from French; te huij de preserv a palpabel an-
aloji, in absolute diskriminatsjon; hoeder by partal or
total genj, saemtjins intergenj, or general and par-
tikular, direkthi and kolateral; and ofen by transj-
from literal te figurativ. Nor is it unakontabel
dat present and gylde, never having de sem bodi, sijn
frikwentli kaem not onli te difer in mynd, but even
te pores oporit jidias; dat derfor, in leyt of tjin, dis-
tans of ples, or by respektiv kompani, de me foot
veri naturali fjind kloster korespondens, ne mor
intim et friends dan ige ziedt.

[After kwotjng numerous wairds etimologjikali selcted,
saem diferens in minig in a most remarkabel maner,
for de purpos of toij dat etimoloji is no gylde te de
minig of a waerd, Elfrinston givt de foloijg interestig
ekstrakt from de waerk of a French Abt, in huij is
projekted a reform of French orthografi. De waerk apint
anonimaesli.]

"Sond politi, de edr wi o in gratitied te strenjert
de improument of or layzgewij, de enlargement of
de empir of letters, and de inkreis of her subjehts,
al interests ungt te mek ses wij de devjral of a
sistem te fikse and represent de sonda of sprij,
te establis uniformiti of pronansierjng, te difer
de obentik praktis of de kapital, and if it bi not
iri te mend it hwen kontrakte, at list te prevent
de vijals aksent of de provinses.

"Frans kontent in herself, for de perfekjng of de art,
resorves no zider kuentri kan boot; and, hwen fj de

sal plus, mirakelr dunselvs wil bi iri for her in dis
mater. Glorias is it dotter for de metropolis te men-
ten, under de protekfon ov her kinge, a soefeti ov de
learned, hui pores and tig ol byge; even de Arabie,
de Persian, de Egnie, and Lwie kan furnif interpraetere
tu ol foreners from hwolewer kworder, meni even from
de endr ov de ert. Baet S hui maect de nativ tey
bi alon ekskluded general studi. At Aken was
tot in de publiek skule baet wren, and dat de Griff
tey. At Paris ar gers institutied for everi, baet de
Frans laygwij.

"Strengere reproeg us wio neglektig de vsfel,
tu giv intu de fantastik; wio misig, almost ol-
wer, de mark ov glori, bakor wi em at it onli brue
vaniti; wio atemtig tu bi goet by peti mint; wio
biig, in fort, rig in de superfluous, and peur in
biig necesari. Let us klier soelvs from dis gars, ht-
ever unjest and ekxagereted it me apir.

"Nabig irier, fuorti, dan tu form, in fwaer ov
so laygwij, a nasional etablissement. It kan bi no
mater ov wonder dat de universitie, at de spote ov
der fondsef, gud har neglekted de nasional tey: it
did not yet eksist. A monstrous medli ov Peltik,
ov Grik, and ov Latin; it den was no nder dan a
harf, rind, and barbarse jargon: no despidiv plan
in de moer ov its pronansiefon; in its sintaks, no
verken lör; in stfl, nider proporfon nor harmoni.
So was it litel mor regarded dan ir nra krounfal
giberif; nor did eni wren drim ov employing it for
a serious and vsfel wurk. Baet us dat so laygwij
emulets and rjvalr de laygwijer ov Rom and ov
Aken; us dat de Kornelr (Cornilles) de Bosuer (Bos-

suets; de Fenelon, de Ruissor (Rousseaus), de Montesquieu, de Bufon, hav rendered it de laygwey ov poetri, ov elokwens, ov de greser, ov histori, ov politiks, and ov filosofi; dis de wat it prouvs tu Frans a sors ov splendeur and ov glori; and, if wien me so se, a brang iven ov riger; ? Is kan it bi dat so litel is daen tu bring it widin rig ov de foren wuold, or in daid ov de inhabitants ov or provinses; dat it had not, iven in de kapitaal, de distinkshon and fover so justli in daid tu enfont and foren tyege.

"I wil derfor mek a vs, and j der se dat everi Fraen spirit hui sal har ov de vs, wil join in unison wid mi, tu pant after its akomplishment. It is, dat ov so meni kolejer, huiq konstitute de universiti ov Paris, wien bi konsekreteed tu de pablike institushon ov de Fraen tyege; dat in de gif tsn ov everi provins bi fondeed, at de dem tijn, a ger ov de Fraen laygwey; dat de skool ov Paris, de nasional establisment, bi saefisient tu admit a konsiderabel nummer ov students, huieder strengers or netivers; dat dis kolej bi de naerseri ov de masters, huiem ig provins sal gher tu fil de Fraen ger ereketed in her kapital; dat, after de ekraampel ov Itali, hui fondeed skoolen and akademis for improuwing her laygwey; bi ekspleniig de bytir ov a Dante, a Tasso, an Ariosto, dor nasional gers sal tek for der klasiks or most selebreted rijters; dat de profsers sal ekreent den selvs tu disple de mekanism ov de laygwey; dat is, de jinis ov its sintaks, de strakter ov Fraen forriolaji, its harmoni fondeed on de aranjment ov wuords, and huiotever biloyr tu de mekanism ov sonde. Dis establisment, yet woutig tu Frans,

so wu'di ov Frans, and hwiġ wud kost her bait de sakrifis ov sum lackeren or superfluiti, prerents a serten mins ov difyring over Urop de jemies ov de lag gweġ, and ov setliġ de uniformiti ov pronansiesjon bren de hol kumpas ov de kingdom.

"A sistern ov ortografi dat gud tig res tu suply de defekts, and korekt de errors ov riting, is an ed ov hwiġ de ekspedjens is no les evident, and ov hwiġ de efekt wud bi stil mor universal. De provinsers hav a rīt tu ekspekt dis from de kapital: ? Fal ol Urop de mand it ov Frans, and demand it slwer in ven.

"U, dttles, is de ruil ov lag gweġ; wid empjer pekuliarli absolut in maters ov pronansiesjon. Bait amidst a ssand vijes or understen greges, ? Ho fal wi diskriminet de suli per and identite. It kost is establiht de tribynal ov u; dens alon u sir (ude's) orakels ar ifyd. De fu, dat frikwent it, bring tu de kapital its desizons and its sonds, hwiġ from de kapital pas from mst tu mst intu de provinsers and intu foren kountries. Yet, ar de kod ov its lo is not riken, bait transmitted by oral tradisjon, de deporit subsists not loy, bifer dats aġs konserning its integriti and its identisiti. Ez kwets hir on praktis, and meks a point tu worant it de best ov periti. De ruil stands uneksepsjonabel; de aplikasjon alon is disputed.

"Tu perfekt de art ov pentiġ spig; tu bring riken lag gweġ ar nir as posibel tu lag gweġ spoken; so dat de q fal rid presipili hwot is komited tu de in; sug is de sol mins ov representing febfult de pronansiesjon ov de kapital, ov determiniġ de identisiti ov praktis, ov transmiġing its instabiliti and konsekrerij its lor. Sug is de voljekt ov r. leban.

Now fursli de objekt ov Trens laburs alon; les indik
 us ov dem dan ov eni siders. Had de spirited patriot
 and generous filolojers non his maeg mor his doktrin
 wor rekjurit, not te se aplikabel, abrod dan at
 hom; his gariti wud his te har past de ganel, te
 a land pronsnt enltend him har yet no pablike
 instityfon ov domestik letters; do her turg, ikwali
 matyrd in everi posibel ekselens, kanot but hav
 an ikwal kleim te asertennent in de kapital,
 and kondekwent difyfon intu de provinses. In
 dis kapital, ^(London) derfor, a humble individual him loz
 ago redyut de laygwey intu biori, har us seneded
 (but by Heven,) and senod by man, de boldnes te
 komens dat sistematizad praktis kwig kan alon,
 by ekribiting, traut in her jenzin byti, sekys her a
 tempel kuwer fi ferst apirr, and hend transmit her
 pyriti te de fardest limits hweder ov ples or tjin.

Fonetik Ridin.

From de "Pensilvenia Penn Journal."

The etel Report ov de Komiti ov de Laykaster Kombi Edy-
 kelsonal Asosijefon, in Fonografi and Fonotipi, kwig ek-
 uppr so maeg ov de Jurnal dis maent, sins te rekwijs
 sum notis.

Ho senchel te konkurs in de konkluizon ov de Komiti,
 wi ar not amayg der him fir, or dekerj, de laburs ov
 fonetifans. On de kontrari, wi luk for maeg gud from
 dem. Alkimi gev de wuold de yoful spens ov Kemis-
 tri; Astroloji kontributed larjli te de improvement
 ov de nobler wren ov Astronomi; de atemnt te fjind
 a forter ruit te India, diskuwerd Amerika; and de
 serg after perpetual mofon har no dst led te yoful

improvements in praktikal Mechaniks. Dus, do de kuw-
 eted gud is generali denijd te so most ardent per-
 suct, yet ofen a greter so unanticipated benefit is
 vögseft te so onest efforts. Anoder singgular result,
 tw, frikwentli atende de hiest aspirasjon ov de human
 intelekt, twen operetig in saem nu direkshon, and abrak-
 led onward by de hop ov dis diskeuveri, or de glori ov pub-
 lik benefakshon. De sam kler hedrs dat persiv plenli
 de netys and ekstent ov an evil, and de fitnes and
 nesesity ov a remedi, ar generali apt te bi karid awe-
 far beyond a mir praktikal degri ov utiliterian sukses,
 and te huf de aplikeshon ov de remedi not mirli te de
 remuval ov de admitted defekt, but te de ekstensjeshon
 ov de sistem itself hwig is disfigurd. Dis "nut and
 branf" wurk has ever bin de folt ov diskeuverers and
 reformers; hwil avoidans ov ekstrims and turnig te
 akont ol praktikabel improvements, has bin de polisi
 ov konservativ komon sers.

In dis point ov vy, it is, dat wi luk for maeg ben-
 efit from de relus labours ov fonetifans. De ar no ana-
 grig, and wil hirafter mor fuli disklor de netys
 ov higgwal sonde, and de konekshon bitwin deir and
 de simbole by hwig de ar represented te de j. De wil
 klasifj, kolok, and kontrast der verius netys, eksistig
 defekts, and numerus difikaultis. But hir utiliterian
 komon sers wil, probabli, step in and rip ol ov benefis
 or profit hwig, in de netys ov bys der labours kan
 prodys. Hwyl de demselvs ful bi far ahead, strenig afte
 de betifful but impraktikabel idial ov der spentifak
 vizon, mir plodig bakmekers wil aply de veri prin-
 sipels first diskauverd, but loz sirs past by dem, te de
 remuval ov so maeg ov eksistig defekts as de eksistig

order of surounding circumstances wil ber; and dis wil,
in ol probabiliti bi de end. In a woord, speliig buks
wil bi vastli improve, but de alfabet wil semer
ungenjd[!!]

But even if de Roman wer te bi supersided by
de Fonetike alfabet, wi den not suppose dat ol de ewilke
hwiç ar komonli prognostiketed, wud folo. Among de
most frikwentli sited objekfons te Fonotipi, is de
konfyzon and dso hwiç it wud kast over de etimologj
ikol afinitis oode laygweij. At ferst, dis apird te
bi a denjer ov saem magnitud; but mor mater
refleksjon har discheld it. If or laygweij wer dron
eksklusivli from dor tye hwiç ar de Roman letters,
der wud bi saem fondefon for aprikenfon, but even den,
it wud bi mor in apirans dan rialiti: komparativ
ortografi for us, for instans, dat de woord Journal
kumt from de Freng, biko der wi find a woord spelt
ekrakthi in de sen maner, and minig de sen bii. Even
wi puf de etimologjikol kwest, howev, when step further
and suspekt dat de Freng woord kumt from de Latin
Diurnum, wi find dat de ir ar wel ee de j, must tek
part in de desigon, and its ed havig aksnted for de
sofenig ov de inifal d intu j, and or nolej ov de netje
ov ori laygweijer havig brot de ffinal Latinsilabel in
te anaider klas mor konsonant wud de Freng struk-
tur, wi bikum priti serten ov biiç on de rgt trak.
Thus far de similariti ov alfabet har bin ov saem
asistans, so not a serten gjd. But, hwen wi doç
de investigefon wun or te degris doper, and stik
de Latin met Dies, a de, nider j nor ir — dat is,
nider leter nor sond — ar ov eni avel, and wi ar
holli bron upon or jeneral nolej ov ol de laygweijer

involved. Wi dus persiv dat hweeder de Englij word Journal bi spelt wie Roman or Fonetike karakter, it meks litel diferens tu de etimologist. Hie first dependens is necesarili on de ssnd; his sekond on de mining and his derd on a notej ov ol de kognet laygweger. If, derfor, de ssnd bi korektli represented by de fonetike lehren, his notej ov de reder tu rekwirits wil lid him as sententi, tu se de list ov it, tu korekt results, as if presented tu de g by de Roman alfabet.

De ekrampel given, do an ekstrim, is not a solitari wren: hundreds sueg migt bi adyot. But twee, in adifon, it is teken intu de akont dat etimologikal reserch lidde ses intu a duren reder alfabet dan de Roman, as de German, Teltike, Welf, Griek, Arabik, Heibruu, etc., it wil bi admitted dat de ssnd and mining, after ol, and not de form ov leer yad in nepraesentij de former, konstitute de onli set refians ov de verhal ruit-haenter.

As tu etimoloji widri so on laygweg, de efekt ov Fonotipi repon de relefon ov desgod wrods tu iz reder, wud bi mor injurises tu it dan dat ov de praesent alfabet. De diferens tu de g, bitwan bring and brought, catch and caught, etc., kund bi no greke in de dres ov wren alfabet dan de reder; hwijs similiarli ssndij wrods wud, probabli, bi fonetikali brot intu maeg klooser similarite dan in numerous instanses de no ar.

Wi kin spake onli ov Fonotipi, or de ny mod ov nepraesentij ssnds en point bi ny karaktors. Ov Fonografi, as a sistem ov forhand, best on fonetike prinsipels, no mor mid bi sed dan dat it same tu bi de best and onli truli filosofikal sistem ov

stenografi yet invented; and dat it wil probabli supersid ol anders. And her agen is wun ov der tanjibel and most valyabel fruits hwig de levers ov fonetizans hav konferd upon de wuold; bat hwig de semselve, in akordans wid de prinsipels ov stonij human neter abau aluded tu, sim tu mek veri litel ov, in der hjer entyriarm.

In ekraminij de klem ov fonotipi itself, wi put et ov vy, in desjidi, do wi fal padingli ekramin de ekspidient no evidentli in progres tu efekt der rial bat ultiriser objekt, nemli, dat de onli woz it ar de best mod ov tigi hwt de ar plied tu kol Hoekotipi, or speliq by de 4th ov Roman lters. Do de duu not intend, nor if frankli kwestiyond, wil de se dat de desjn tu stop hir; yet de eventli wif graduali tu supersid de Roman wid de Fonetik alfabet, by akustomij de pablik mjnd tu it, in siij it subsidiari, for a tijn, tu de former. Der kan bi no dwt ov dis.

Bat grantij de limit ov de present klem in fover ov Fonotipi, we har it ben suffisientli poud tu bi de best mins ov tigi komon ridij and speliq. We veri maq dwt it.

In de ferst pled, it is hard tu understand ho de lernij ov wun bij kan materiali ed de akwizifon ov anuder bij, bizond de mir interst mental pset hwig de mental eksersjz efekted by de ferst akwizifon konfers. Bat Roman and Fonetik speliq ar not de sem, is admitted by de klem dat wun et tu displas de uider. It is also an obvious fakt. Let us luk at his mater praktikali. De most monstrous klas ov Romanik abominifous,

We no it is in efekt sertijsd, by nems ov hy edy-
 kefonal sboriti, dat fonotipi har suksided in
 tigiig tu rid so komon print beter, and in forter
 tigiig dan so komon print kan tigiig itself, (and de
 aserfon in dis plen form sins kwis, tu se de
 list ov it,) and dat lang newords hav bin in
 ven oferd for pruf ov de rewers ov dis porifon.
 De last nems, bin a test mor ugwali apitid
 tu de spid ov a hors, de psor ov a ripig masin,
 or de vertyr ov a kwak medisin, dan tu a
 sistem in edykefon, mid not bi hin diskrest;
 but wid regard tu de ferst, it me, wid ol dy
 defersens, bi remarkt, dat Mezermerism har, sot
 yore sins and laterli, bin bakt up wid nems
 ov kwijt az marg wet, and yet stil mids bakin.
 We hav also sin klaser in kyrografi, buk-keping,
 droig, jiografi, gramar, nemoniks, ets, ets.,
 eskribed az de livig prufs ov de sukses ov
 den respektiv ny sistems, hin aktualli performd
 olmost marvelous fits after a fy lesur. But
 de sistems ar ol gon, and de yot ov de land
 skil plod on in de old, slo, memori-eksersjig
 jument-straybenig, mynd-felij mod ov skwipig
 de rudiments ov notej hwig god himself sins
 tu hav ordend, for de orderli and jeneral de-
 velopment ov dat wonderful aranjment — de
 hyman intelekt. Lukig dus at de past wi
 kenot sern de konviksion droon from ekspiri-
 ens, and de true netyr ov tigs, iven tu de brijt
 are ov nems hwig fonotipi avger in its bihof.
 But funder — in ven hav wi lukt for a de-
 skripsjon ov de "modus operandi" by hwig dis sig-

67
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529
 530
 531
 532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545
 546
 547
 548
 549
 550
 551
 552
 553
 554
 555
 556
 557
 558
 559
 560
 561
 562
 563
 564
 565
 566
 567
 568
 569
 570
 571
 572
 573
 574
 575
 576
 577
 578
 579
 580
 581
 582
 583
 584
 585
 586
 587
 588
 589
 590
 591
 592
 593
 594
 595
 596
 597
 598
 599
 600
 601
 602
 603
 604
 605
 606
 607
 608
 609
 610
 611
 612
 613
 614
 615
 616
 617
 618
 619
 620
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 626
 627
 628
 629
 630
 631
 632
 633
 634
 635
 636
 637
 638
 639
 640
 641
 642
 643
 644
 645
 646
 647
 648
 649
 650
 651
 652
 653
 654
 655
 656
 657
 658
 659
 660
 661
 662
 663
 664
 665
 666
 667
 668
 669
 670
 671
 672
 673
 674
 675
 676
 677
 678
 679
 680
 681
 682
 683
 684
 685
 686
 687
 688
 689
 690
 691
 692
 693
 694
 695
 696
 697
 698
 699
 700
 701
 702
 703
 704
 705
 706
 707
 708
 709
 710
 711
 712
 713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719
 720
 721
 722
 723
 724
 725
 726
 727
 728
 729
 730
 731
 732
 733
 734
 735
 736
 737
 738
 739
 740
 741
 742
 743
 744
 745
 746
 747
 748
 749
 750
 751
 752
 753
 754
 755
 756
 757
 758
 759
 760
 761
 762
 763
 764
 765
 766
 767
 768
 769
 770
 771
 772
 773
 774
 775
 776
 777
 778
 779
 780
 781
 782
 783
 784
 785
 786
 787
 788
 789
 790
 791
 792
 793
 794
 795
 796
 797
 798
 799
 800
 801
 802
 803
 804
 805
 806
 807
 808
 809
 810
 811
 812
 813
 814
 815
 816
 817
 818
 819
 820
 821
 822
 823
 824
 825
 826
 827
 828
 829
 830
 831
 832
 833
 834
 835
 836
 837
 838
 839
 840
 841
 842
 843
 844
 845
 846
 847
 848
 849
 850
 851
 852
 853
 854
 855
 856
 857
 858
 859
 860
 861
 862
 863
 864
 865
 866
 867
 868
 869
 870
 871
 872
 873
 874
 875
 876
 877
 878
 879
 880
 881
 882
 883
 884
 885
 886
 887
 888
 889
 890
 891
 892
 893
 894
 895
 896
 897
 898
 899
 900
 901
 902
 903
 904
 905
 906
 907
 908
 909
 910
 911
 912
 913
 914
 915
 916
 917
 918
 919
 920
 921
 922
 923
 924
 925
 926
 927
 928
 929
 930
 931
 932
 933
 934
 935
 936
 937
 938
 939
 940
 941
 942
 943
 944
 945
 946
 947
 948
 949
 950
 951
 952
 953
 954
 955
 956
 957
 958
 959
 960
 961
 962
 963
 964
 965
 966
 967
 968
 969
 970
 971
 972
 973
 974
 975
 976
 977
 978
 979
 980
 981
 982
 983
 984
 985
 986
 987
 988
 989
 990
 991
 992
 993
 994
 995
 996
 997
 998
 999
 1000

wud bi no mor difikaalt tu wien dus tot, dan de akwififon ov de differensia bitwin de roman and fonetike modr wud bi bi wien ferst fonetikaali tot. Wa kan, agen, arju at no sider konkluzon.

Bast wevieg ol dis, and admittig for de sek ov de argument, ol de klemr tu superioriti, in everi respekt, hwiig fonotipi meks, wud it bi for de gud ov de komyniti, and eshefali ov yst, tu adopt fonotipi tu de ekskluzon ov or present alfabet. Dis is de men and de true kwestyon at is, and wor de wien gifli intended tu bi diskut in dis artikel. Wont ov spes, hsever, kompels its postponment til neks. maent.

[De abaw artikel wi kopi from de Cincinnati "Wekli Fonetik Advoket" ov 2 Okt. De editer har atended sum sensibel remarks on de objektions brot forward, for hwiig wi hav not ruim, and de ar de les nided in de Prekursuer, biker it is sed onli bi de faendr ov de Reform, hui no his fytel de objektions ar. Wa hav presented de artikel tu Inglijsh Spelin Reformers as an indikelson - and der ar meni saeg indikelsons - ov de goet interest hwiig de saelyjekt ov fonetike ridig eksists in de Unjted Stets.]

De Fonetike Reform.

"Everi ny doktrin berz in itself de side or stiff" V. 1. 1. x

Admittig de fors ov dis aserfion, hwiig me bi regarded as an aserfion, dedyst from universal eksperiens, it wud bi unworantabel tu ekspekt dat de spens ov fonetiks fud bi ekremt from de komon lot ov ol nyli propagated or resusitated doktrins.

Consulting the historic past, and noting the reser-
vation has bin invariably accorded to every dis-
cussion and revelation of truth, we find have learned by his-
tory to anticipate objection, impediment, opposition,
and disappointment. It matters not his plan and
intelligible the message to be born - its usefulness may
be over the most exalted desecration, its birth over the
grandest order, and its truth translucent - still, as
a re over suffering heart into a gloomy chamber,
it will inevitably meet with antagonism, even-
tually into contact with the prejudices over the
human heart and judgment. It stands up the sin-
kling critics over corrupted nature. We will, in most
cases, feel tortured by its presence, and seek to excommunicate
it.

Even my doctrine bears in itself the seeds of strife,
not because it is necessarily evil or false, but because it
is opposed to selfish proposals. It may assume the
most pacific attitude, and be furnished with creden-
tials over god-will to mankind, but no sooner does
it enter the avenue of sense than it meets with some
sturdy keeper over the door, who refuses it admittance, and
him, if he finds the troublesome visitor disposed to leave,
will hold up a host over antiquated vasa to be ex-
posed him. Thus is the nature over prejudice, and its de-
pendent misconception.

Truth, however, may be repulsed, but it will linger
about the precincts over the memory. Or if rejected, and
skewed, and trampled down in the streets over the site,
still its pure spirit return to God who gave it, still
it cannot be extinguished. It will build over the fallen
reels over humanity still the door over a brighter day, even

it wil fjind a harti welkum. It kanot wel bi
 aidentwje dan dat hwen de "sids ov striff" or trude
 or son brodkast over de leyf and bredt ov de
 land, de wil, in tu meni instanser, fol intu
 an unpreperd and unkulturd soil. O der
 nativ esurts tu jermineet and fruktiff wil pruv
 abortiv. Baet hapili in saum keser, de sid wil
 fol intu de gud grond ov a preperd hart and
 reseptiv disporifon. De fonetik muvment har
 unfortunetli, tu enkunter de akumyleted prejudio
 ov meni eger. Mankjind har paerdud de biten
 trak dat wor strak st for dem bi der ansesturs
 — a trak hwiç forsibli remjnds wren ov dor
 kuentri rods so frikwentli tu bi met wid, hwiç
 apir tu har bin ferst troden bi saum overwurkt
 inebriet, tuu adopted ar his prinsipel, — given
 tu points, tu fjind de grtkest distans bitwin dem.
 Or likereti har strak st saug a rond-abst pad,
 and men, felij a beter we, har bin kontent
 tu wok derin. It is tru a fy mor sofful
 and praktikal mjnded dan der felor, har ven-
 tyrd tu kwestyon de proprieti ov saug a mod
 ov progres in de rod tu larnin, and har dron st
 plans for de mor spidi akompliment ov de end
 in vy, and in ples ov a jig-jog and wisi muv-
 ment, har sijested a plesant minn ov kansit,
 but der volder har bin disregarded; de kry har
 bin agenst dem, "or faders konstruktet dis
 rod, and wi der not diviet from it. De livd
 der fjin, and wotk hapili in it, and past
 pisfuli st ov eksistens; wi kan den de sem.
 Venerefon for de bons ov so ansesturs forbids

or medly wid der bikidments", as if de er ov
a valyabel but neglekted estet fud prohibit
eni improvements bing med in it. And rir
hav wi bin lumbering klumsili aloy a perpesty-
ali diverjenz rod bikor it has bin dimd titel
les dan sakrilej tu atemnt tu rimodel de gud
old wer. But no, not onli is de proprijeti ov
de old plans kwestjond, and dat lodli, bikor
repon filosofikal and humaniterian gronds,
but de aks is led at de out ov de evil, - a
ny ljn has bin struck st, pland repon de prin-
sipel - given de attemnt ov an objekt, tu find
de best, brifest, and most agriabel mins ov
sekyrity it, kompatibel wid order. Dis ny pad
wil bi direk; it alor ov no diverjenz; from de
startig point tu de terminas is a stret and
kontinuous ljn. Its mins ov transit ar also
gretli separiser tu eni dat hav presidid it.

For hu hav lukt dipli intu de present ten-
dencir ov sofal institjuns, dekleer dat a brif
er method ov ekspresjiz riten tot, and a mor
rapid mins ov akwizjiz de abiliti tu qz it,
ar demanded by de wunts ov de ej. Tu kip pres
wid de iber gret muvments ov de de, der maad
bi greter fasilitis aforded for de akwizjiz ov
de arts ov ridiz and rftiz. Men, tu huem ljn
is prefes, kanot maeg loyger rest ike in der
konfenser, hwoj okupjiz yirs in duij imper-
fektli, dat hwi, upon an improvd sisten,
kan bi performd perfektsli in ar meni manns.
Gildsen kanot, or fud not, bi kompeld tu sub-
mit tu de glesiz injastis ov westiz der nativ

275
C

enerjis, and gelyk awe dat tjin in skool hwig kund bi put te sum avelabel purpos in provi-
 dij store ov wurdorn for futur us. Parents, wi
 dyk, wil not sluer in dis mater, bi wilyg
 te bi led blindli by antikuwetad kustoms.
 Be vois ov de skoolmaster, dan hwig hwen el-
 kuent wid truit, and gloyg wid gudnes, derka
 bi fond skers eni mor swit and plizing, wil
 not sluer despotikali swe de inklinifons ov
 dor te huen de ker ov ofspring is entrusted.
 Enlytend riron klems an odiens. Giv it a
 kandid hring and tek kounsel from it. Hwot
 ever akords wid it, is best. Dat hwig is rjt, is
 konsonant te enlytend riron; derfor dat hwig
 is rjt is best. It is rjt dat everi biig huen
 de Kriester has blest wid de desjr te ekspres
 his wots and filigs, gud bi provided wid de
 mins ov duiig so; not onli vjva vosi, bax
 by manual aktiviti.

276
C

If edykafon bi gud for eni, it is gud for ol,
 if it is rjt dat sum bi edyketed, it is not de
 les rjt dat ol gud enjoi de bledig. It is derfor
 rironabel dat ^{forzamples} de most efikefals and truofuls
 mod ov arjivg at dis resault. ? Hwot objekfon
 is der te saig logik. ? Is not its sondnes
 self-evident. Onli imajin for a moment da
 mankjind wid der present eksperiens wern
 kold upon, for de ferst tjin, te konstrukt an
 alfabet. ? Wud not der ferst inkwijn bi as te
 de user rekwyrd ov it. And havig satisfjd
 demselvs repon dis point. ? Hwot kjnd ov sistem
 wud de adopt. ? Qu y dyk de wud toleret

a plan hwiġ wor fuġ or prevarikasjon, inkonsistenti, and vageri, and hwiġ in siks kesest or ten feld ta' akomplif hwiġ wor intended or? wud not a plen, persipikwus, filosofikal, and irili akwizjod sistem bi prefera. Seting usid ol predilekzjoni for dat hwiġ is tjin-onend and wjdi spred, wi kanot dissent from dis later vy. But de piosfuli dispozd, dar kjnd solr firful or giviny ofens, wud perhaps rader ber wid an ivil dan ren de risk or wuending der nebuus mynd bi atentiv ta' eradiket it. Wi wud urj dar ta' konsider for a moment dat de disseminasjon or trunt is wren or de hjest ofises or gariti. Trunt bers no malis in herself. Ji is de ekkrektriks or justis, but or herself mersiful and tender, never wantonli provoking it-filing. Rjt is her suprem objekt, and de prodaksjon or gud her gif em. If, in de orderli prosekusjon or her bi avokasjon, men gans, ar de most afuredli wil, ta tek ofens at her, & ta huem is de blem impytabel. Wren li ta dat stet or de mynd hwiġ has never yet lerd ta resiv de trunt in miknes or spirit, hwiġ has never yet bin sxfisientli huembeld bi eksperiens ar ta konfes de possibiliti or its biing roy. Fuili haf or de repugnans ta ny doktrins arjres from dis kondit or intelijens, hwiġ naturali inhirs in man, and almost persweds him dat hi is infalibel. Bi de doktrins pyr and pasifik in der tendensi ar heven itself, huem de kaun inta kolizion wid saeg a mental obstinasi, de wil bi

sin tu bes wid dem de "sindr ov striff." Tu aenons wurrs bilif, is tu proklem dat y has bin in euer, and dis is, tu meni, a kenais ofens. As vjtali dars it afekt mans selfhud. Hi wud be tot wjs; if filig a hj ofis, hi wud hav his opinyon unimpugnabel; if in a humbler spin, hi wud fen pas for an orakel among dars tilo him. His sevir den is de tjal tu forfit dis demigodship! His humbler de konfeson "I ful luis kredet, and wid it oovriti, and fal spidili hav tu lament, wid de Meer ov Venis, "ol mij okypesoniz gon." This is de natyral wurking ov meni mjnde, but far suez a kondifon komports wid de tazing ov jengim filosofi, and de prisepths ov pur Kristianiti, wi liv ig ov sr riders tu deter min for himself. Tu ses, suez a polisi apin naitig fort ov deluzon, and destruktiv ov ol substantjal hapines.

The Terminuson (-sure).

From Josef Edmundsain, Worington. My praktis has loy bin tu se plezur. Plezur is de norma form after de genj ov xi intu z; but su, biig unakcented, has folen intu u, its true fort sond. Plezur, de not imposibel, is difikult ov pronounsifon. Plezur is slauventli. Plezur is not justiffid bj natu, for wi hav not a tjp for de true sond represented bj t in de later wurd, hwil wi hav urin for dat repre sented bj s in (pleasure) namli z. De t in (nature) is veri lyk de first element ov g;

and if wi als de asimilefon bitwin t and i
 tu bi kompliit, wi maist ojt nequr, not
 nequr, nor nequr.

De Ny Fonetiek-Alfabet.

From Arthur Wolbry Lunn, Leendon. [After givin
 his reasons for preferin de ny alfabet tu de old wien
 (in Fonetiek Journal for 4 Dec^r). Mr. Lunn observe —
 No kunn de kwestyon tuweder de sijesthed ny
 alfabet is stil ar kompliit ar it st tu bi, bifer
 wi ar kold upon tu aksept it ar de final wien.

I syuk it is not, in konsekwens ova fy fortkeuning.
 Forms ar sekwiird for de fort Italyan a, for de
 fort Italyan o, for de Inglyf usel sond in turn, pearls,
 &c, twig is abst ekwivalent tu de Frensch er in
 peuple &c, for de Inglyf diftong in point. De perin ov a
 in father and a in fat is in de worst spirit ov old
 Inglyf vokalik barbarism, and sima tu fat st de
 after adifon ov de fort Italyan a. No st ov de ny
 forms invented for fonoteki, onli a fy ar, abstrakt-
 edli, plairin tu de f', but wien ov de best ov dis fy
 y propor tu bro awe — de form a. No f sijest a
 father, a ravine, a fat. De form a jud ofen bi employd
 in wuerdr derjod from Frensch, &c, kwer y emploi a,
 de kontinental simpatis dis biig kultiveked. De
 rjting forms wud bi a father, a ravine, a fat. Dis
 last form is just de leup ov a karid tree, and
 is an eksidinyli ire leter tu rjt and join tu seders.

De form o f wud tek, ar in de "Fonetiek Nyr" skem
 for point, for de absens ov a form for dis diftong, (de
 open) wud de praerens ov forms for ol de seders, sima
 parjal and absurd. [It wud bi mor parjal & absurd
 tu tek oi and liu ai unprovided wid a tip.]

